arXiv:1701.05175v2 [math.PR] 4 Apr 2017

Convergence of percolation on uniform quadrangulations with boundary to SLE_6 on $\sqrt{8/3}$-Liouville quantum gravity

Ewain Gwynne
MIT

Jason Miller
Cambridge

Abstract

Let Q be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary decorated by a critical ($p = 3/4$) face percolation configuration. We prove that the chordal percolation exploration path on Q between two marked boundary edges converges in the scaling limit to chordal SLE_6 on an independent $\sqrt{8/3}$-Liouville quantum gravity disk (equivalently, a Brownian disk). The topology of convergence is the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform topology, the natural analog of the Gromov-Hausdorff topology for curve-decorated metric measure spaces. We also obtain an analogous scaling limit result for face percolation on the uniform infinite half-plane quadrangulation with simple boundary. Our method of proof is robust and, up to certain technical steps, extends to any percolation model on a random planar map which can be explored via peeling.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Overview . . . 2
1.2 Preliminary definitions . . . 5
1.3 Main results . . . 7
1.4 Other scaling limit results . . . 8
1.5 Outline . . . 9

2 Preliminaries **10**
2.1 Notational conventions . . . 10
2.2 The Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform metric . . . 12
2.3 Liouville quantum gravity and SLE . . . 13

3 Peeling of the UIHPQ with simple boundary **18**
3.1 Estimates for free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary . . . 18
3.2 The peeling procedure . . . 19
3.3 The face percolation peeling process . . . 21
3.4 Face percolation interfaces . . . 23

4 Boundary length processes **24**
4.1 Definition of the boundary length processes . . . 24
4.2 Scaling limit of the $\mathrm{UIHPQ}_{\mathrm{S}}$ boundary length processes . . . 26
4.3 Estimates for free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary . . . 27
4.4 Tightness of the boundary length process in the finite boundary case . . . 30
4.5 Identification of the limiting boundary length process . . . 33

5 Tightness in the GHPU topology **36**
5.1 Estimates for distances in terms of boundary length . . . 37
5.2 Jumps of the UIHPQ boundary length process . . . 38
5.3 Proof of Proposition 5.2 . . . 41

6 Crossings between filled metric balls **46**
6.1 Interface path crossings and peeling-by-layers clusters . . . 47
6.2 Crossings of semi-annuli in the UIHPQ$_S$ . . . 48
6.3 Boundary lengths of peeling-by-layers clusters . . . 53
6.4 Proof of Proposition 6.3 . . . 56

7 Proof of main theorems **58**
7.1 Subsequential limits . . . 59
7.2 Laws of complementary connected components . . . 61
7.3 Z is the boundary length process of $\widetilde{\eta}$ . . . 66
7.4 Existence of a homeomorphism . . . 69
7.5 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 . . . 73

8 The case of triangulations **73**
8.1 Preliminary definitions . . . 74
8.2 Conditional scaling limit results . . . 75

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

Let G be a graph and $p \in [0,1]$. Recall that *site (resp. bond) percolation* on G with parameter $p \in [0,1]$ is the model in which each vertex (resp. edge) of G is declared to be open independently with probability p. A vertex (resp. edge) which is not open is called closed. If G is a planar map (i.e., a graph together with an embedding into the plane so that no two edges cross), one can also consider *face percolation*, equivalently site percolation on the dual map, whereby each face is open with probability p and closed with probability $1-p$. We refer to [Gri99, BR06] for general background on percolation.

Suppose now that G is an infinite graph with a marked vertex v. The first question that one is led to ask about percolation on G, which was posed in [BH57], is whether there exists an infinite *open cluster* containing v, i.e. a connected set of open vertices, edges, or faces (depending on the choice of model). For $p \in [0,1]$, let $\theta(p)$ be the probability that there is such an open cluster containing v and let $p_c = \sup\{p \in [0,1] : \theta(p) = 0\}$ be the *critical probability* above (resp. below) which there is a positive (resp. zero) chance there is an infinite open cluster containing v. The value of p_c is in general challenging to determine, but has been identified in some special cases. For example, it is known that $p_c = 1/2$ for both bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and for site percolation on the triangular lattice [Kes82]. As we will explain below, p_c has also been identified for a number of random planar map models.

The next natural question that one is led to ask is whether the percolation configuration at criticality ($p = p_c$) possesses a *scaling limit*, and this is the question in which we will be interested in the present work. For percolation on a two-dimensional lattice when $p = p_c$, the interfaces between open and closed clusters are expected to converge in the scaling limit to Schramm-Loewner evolution (SLE)-type curves [Sch00] with parameter $\kappa = 6$. The reason for this is that the scaling limits of these percolation interfaces are conjectured to be conformally invariant (attributed to Aizenman by Langlands, Pouliot, and Saint-Aubin in [LPSA94]) with crossing probabilities which satisfy Cardy's formula [Car92]. The particular value $\kappa = 6$ is obtained since this is the only value for which SLE possesses the *locality property* [LSW01], which is a continuum analog of the statement that the behavior of a percolation interface is not affected by the percolation configuration outside of a sub-graph of the underlying lattice until it exits that sub-graph. This conjecture has been proven in the special case of site percolation on the triangular lattice by Smirnov [Smi01]; c.f. [CN08] for a detailed proof of the scaling limit result. The proof of [Smi01] relies crucially on the combinatorics of site percolation on the triangular lattice and does not generalize to other percolation models.

In this paper we will prove scaling limit results for percolation on *random planar maps* and identify the limit with SLE$_6$ on $\sqrt{8/3}$-Liouville quantum gravity, equivalently, SLE$_6$ on a Brownian surface. Statistical mechanics models on random planar maps and deterministic lattices are both of fundamental importance in mathematical physics. Indeed, both are well-motivated in the physics literature and both possess a rich mathematical structure. Many questions (e.g., scaling limit results for random curves toward SLE) can be asked for both

random planar maps and deterministic lattices, and it is not in general clear which setting is easier. There are scaling limit results which have been proven for models on deterministic lattices but not random planar maps (e.g., the convergence of Ising model interfaces to SLE_3 [Smi10] or, prior to this paper, the convergence of percolation to SLE_6) or for random planar maps but not deterministic lattices (e.g., the convergence of self-avoiding walk to $\mathrm{SLE}_{8/3}$ [GM16a] or peanosphere scaling limit results [DMS14, She16b, KMSW15, GKMW16]).

We will focus on the particular model of face percolation on a random quadrangulations. (We will discuss the universality of the scaling limit in Section 8 in detail in the setting of site percolation on triangulations.) Critical probabilities for several percolation models on random planar maps are computed in [AC15], building on ideas of [Ang03, Ang05]; in particular, $p_c = 3/4$ for face percolation on random quadrangulations. The fact that $p_c = 3/4$ and not $1/2$ is related to the asymmetry between open and closed faces: open faces are considered adjacent if they share an edge, whereas closed faces are considered adjacent if they share a vertex. See [Ric15, MN14] for the computation of p_c for other planar map models.

One useful feature of percolation on random planar maps is the so-called *peeling procedure* which allows one to describe the conditional law of the remaining map when we explore a single face. For face percolation with open/closed boundary conditions, the peeling process gives rise to a natural path from the root edge to the target edge which we call the *percolation exploration path* (see Section 3.3 for a precise definition of this path). The peeling exploration path is closely related to, but not in general identical to, the percolation interface from the root edge to the target edge; see Section 3.4 for further discussion of this relationship. In the special case of site percolation on a triangulation the percolation exploration path is the same as the percolation interface.

For $\gamma \in (0,2)$, a *γ-Liouville quantum gravity (LQG) surface* is (formally) the random surface parameterized by a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ whose Riemannian metric tensor is $e^{\gamma h(z)}\, dx \otimes dy$, where h is some variant of the Gaussian free field (GFF) on D and $dx \otimes dy$ is the Euclidean metric tensor. This does not make rigorous sense since h is a distribution, not a function. However, it was shown in [DS11] that one can make rigorous sense of the volume form associated with a γ-LQG surface, i.e. one can define a random measure μ_h on D which is a limit of regularized versions of $e^{\gamma h(z)}\, dz$ where dz is the Euclidean volume form (see [RV14] and the references therein for a more general approach to constructing measures of this form). Hence a γ-LQG surface can be viewed as a random measure space together with a conformal structure.

In the special case when $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$, it is shown in [MS15b, MS16a, MS16b], building on [MS16d, MS15a, MS15c], that (D, h) can also be viewed as a random metric space, i.e. one can construct a metric $\mathfrak{d}_h$ on D which is interpreted as the distance function associated with $e^{\gamma h(z)}\, dx \otimes dy$. For certain special $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surfaces introduced in [DMS14, She16a], the metric measure space structure of a $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surface is equivalent to a corresponding Brownian surface. In particular, the Brownian map, the scaling limit of the uniform quadrangulation of the sphere [Le 13, Mie13], is equivalent to the *quantum sphere*. Also, the Brownian half-plane, the scaling limit of the uniform quadrangulation of the upper-half plane $\mathbb{H}$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology [GM16c, BMR16], is equivalent to the $\sqrt{8/3}$-*quantum wedge*. Finally, the Brownian disk, the scaling limit of the uniform quadrangulation of the disk $\mathbb{D}$ [BM15], is equivalent to the *quantum disk*.

The metric measure space structure of a $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surface a.s. determines the conformal structure [MS16b], so we have a canonical way of embedding a Brownian surface into $\mathbb{C}$. This enables us to define an independent SLE_6 on the Brownian map, half-plane, and disk as a curve-decorated metric measure space by first embedding the Brownian surface into $\mathbb{C}$ to get a $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surface and then sampling an independent SLE_6 connecting two marked points. The canonical choice of parameterization is the so-called *quantum natural time* with respect to this $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surface, a notion of time which is intrinsic to the curve decorated quantum surface [DMS14]. See Section 2.3 for more on $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surfaces and their relationship to SLE_6 and to Brownian surfaces.

The main theorem of this paper is that the exploration path associated with face percolation on a random quadrangulation with simple boundary between two marked edges converges in the scaling limit to SLE_6 on the $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG disk, equivalently SLE_6 on the Brownian disk. The topology of convergence is given by the *Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform (GHPU) metric* introduced in [GM16c]. The GHPU metric is the natural analog of the Gromov-Hausdorff metric for curve-decorated metric measure spaces: two such spaces are close in this metric if they can be isometrically embedded into a common metric space in such a way that the spaces are close in the Hausdorff distance, the measures are close in the Prokhorov distance, and the curves are close in the uniform distance. We also deduce from this finite-volume scaling limit result an analogous infinite-volume scaling limit result for face percolation on a uniform quadrangulation of $\mathbb{H}$ toward SLE_6 on the $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG wedge, equivalently SLE_6 on the Brownian half-plane.

We remark that the present paper together with [Smi01] are the only works in which the scaling limit of some variant of critical percolation in two dimensions has been shown to converge to SLE_6 in the uniform topology.[1]

As mentioned above, the results of [MS15b, MS16a, MS16b] allow one to give a definition of SLE_6 on a Brownian surface. The main result of the present paper says that this definition agrees with the scaling limit of percolation on random planar maps, which implies that this is the correct definition of SLE_6. It is also not difficult to see that the conformal structure imposed on Brownian surfaces by the results of [MS15b, MS16a, MS16b] is characterized by the property that it embeds the scaling limit of percolation as constructed in the present paper to SLE_6 on an independent $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surface. Indeed, this follows because any homeomorphism which takes an SLE_6 to an SLE_6 must be conformal. From this perspective, the results of the present paper can be interpreted as implying that the conformal structure from [MS15b, MS16a, MS16b] imposed on Brownian surfaces is the correct one. (A similar conclusion also follows from the main results of [GM16a], which show that the aforementioned embedding is the one which maps the scaling limit of self-avoiding walk on a random quadrangulation to an independent $\mathrm{SLE}_{8/3}$.)


Le Gall / Miermont: [Le 13, Mie13]
Convergence of random quadrangulations of the sphere to the Brownian map
Sheffield: [She16a]
Basic theory of conformal welding of quantum surfaces
Bettinelli-Miermont: [BM15]
Convergence of random quadrangulations of the disk to the Brownian disk
Duplantier-Miller-Sheffield: [DMS14]
General theory of quantum surfaces and conformal welding
Gwynne-Miller: [GM16c]
Convergence of random quadrangulations of the upper half-plane with simple boundary to the Brownian half-plane
Miller-Sheffield: [MS15a,b,c,MS16a,b,d]
Construction of metric on the $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG sphere, cone, disk which is isometric to the Brownian map, plane, disk
Gwynne-Miller: [GM17c]
Convergence of random quadrangulations with simple boundary to the Brownian disk
Gwynne-Miller: [GM17a,b]
Characterization of SLE_6 explorations of $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG
Gwynne-Miller:
Percolation on random quadrangulations with boundary converge to SLE_6 on $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG

Figure 1: An illustration of the dependencies between results which lead to the main theorem of the present paper, which is the blue box at the bottom of the figure. See also [BMR16] for another proof that the UIHPQ converges to the Brownian half-plane.

A major open problem in the theory of LQG is to show that certain random planar map models conformally embedded into the plane converge in the scaling limit to γ-LQG, e.g., in the sense that the counting measure on vertices converges to the γ-LQG area measure. The results of this paper have applications to this problem in the case $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$. Indeed, one can define an embedding of a random planar map into the plane by matching crossing probabilities for percolation on the planar map to crossing probabilities for SLE_6. Convergence of this embedding to $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG is closely related to certain *quenched* scaling limit results for percolation on a random planar map toward SLE_6 on $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG (i.e., scaling limit results for the conditional law of the percolation given the map). This amounts to showing that any finite number N of independent (given the

[1] The papers [She16b, GS15, GM17d, BHS17] identify the scaling limit of various percolation models on random planar maps with SLE_6 on $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG in the so-called *peanosphere sense*. The peanosphere notion of convergence, however, is not obviously related to the metric structure of the map.

planar map) percolation explorations converge to N independent SLE_6 curves on a $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surface. The present paper shows that the exploration associated with a single percolation configuration converges. There is current work in progress by Holden and Sun, building on the present paper as well as their various joint works with other authors, which aims to prove a quenched scaling limit result for site percolation on random triangulations toward SLE_6 on $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG. We expect that this work will eventually lead to an embedding convergence result for random triangulations in joint work by the present authors as well as Holden, Sheffield, and Sun.

Unlike the combinatorial argument of [Smi01], our method of proof is robust in the sense that it does not rely on the particular random planar map or percolation model, provided one has certain technical inputs. As we will explain later, one (roughly) only needs to know that the boundary length processes associated to the percolation exploration converge to their continuum counterpart and that the corresponding planar map model with the topology of the disk converges to the Brownian disk. The main reason that we focus on the case of face percolation on a quadrangulation of the disk is that this latter step has been carried out for quadrangulations [GM17c]. In Section 8, we will give a precise statement of a conditional result in the setting of site percolation on a random triangulation.

We only consider chordal percolation exploration paths and chordal SLE_6 in this paper, but with some additional work our methods can be extended to obtain analogous scaling limit theorems for radial or whole-plane percolation exploration paths. Likewise, we expect that our results can be extended to obtain a scaling limit statement for the full collection of percolation interfaces toward a conformal loop ensemble [She09] with $\kappa = 6$. See Section 1.4 for more details.

A key tool in our proof is a characterization theorem for chordal SLE_6 on a Brownian disk which is proven in [GM17a] and re-stated as Theorem 2.7 below. Roughly speaking, this result says that if $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\eta})$ is a random curve-decorated metric measure space such that $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu})$ is a Brownian disk, $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\eta})$ differs from an SLE_6 on a Brownian disk via a curve- and measure-preserving homeomorphism, and the connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ for each $t \geq 0$ equipped with their internal metrics are independent Brownian disks, then $\widetilde{\eta}$ is an independent chordal SLE_6 on $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu})$. We also use the scaling limit result for free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary toward the Brownian disk [GM17c] and some properties of SLE_6 on the quantum disk proved in [GM17b].

We will prove tightness of the face percolation exploration path on a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary in the GHPU topology (which amounts to showing equicontinuity of the percolation exploration path since we already know the scaling limit of the underlying map), then check that every subsequential limit satisfies the hypotheses of this characterization theorem.

Although our proof relies on the theory of SLE and LQG, in the form of the characterization result Theorem 2.7 to prove uniqueness of subsequential limits, most of our arguments can be read without any knowledge of SLE or LQG if one takes this characterization theorem plus a few other results as a black box.

Acknowledgements We thank Nina Holden, Scott Sheffield, and Xin Sun for helpful discussions. J.M. thanks Institut Henri Poincaré for support as a holder of the Poincaré chair, during which part of this work was completed.

1.2 Preliminary definitions

In this subsection we recall the definitions of the objects involved in the statements of our main results.

1.2.1 Quadrangulations with simple boundary

A *quadrangulation with boundary* is a (finite or infinite) planar map Q with a distinguished face f_∞, called the *exterior face*, such that every face of Q other than f_∞ has degree 4. The *boundary* of Q, denoted by ∂Q, is the smallest subgraph of Q which contains every edge of Q adjacent to f_∞. The *perimeter* of Q is defined to be the degree of the exterior face. We note that the perimeter of a quadrangulation with boundary is necessarily even.

We say that Q has simple boundary if ∂Q is simple, i.e. it only has vertices of unit multiplicity. In this paper we will only consider quadrangulations with simple boundary.

For such a quadrangulation Q, a *boundary path* of Q is a path β from $[0, \#\mathcal{E}(\partial Q)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (if ∂Q is finite) or $\mathbb{Z}$ (if ∂Q is infinite) to $\mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$ which traces the edges of ∂Q (counted with multiplicity) in cyclic order. Choosing

a boundary path is equivalent to choosing an oriented root edge on the boundary. This root edge is $\beta(0)$ and is oriented toward $\beta(1)$. In the finite boundary case the *periodic boundary path* is the path obtained by extending β to be $\#\mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$-periodic on $\mathbb{Z}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(n,l)$ for the set of pairs (Q, e) where Q is a quadrangulation with simple boundary having $2l$ boundary edges and n interior vertices and e is an oriented root edge in ∂Q. By convention, we consider the trivial quadrangulation with one edge and no interior faces to be a quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter 2 and define $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(0,1)$ to be the set consisting of this single quadrangulation, rooted at its unique edge. We define $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(0,l) = \emptyset$ for $l \geq 2$.

We define the *free Boltzmann partition function* by

$$\mathfrak{Z}(2l) := \frac{8^l (3l-4)!}{(l-2)!(2l)!}, \qquad \mathfrak{Z}(2l+1) = 0, \qquad \forall l \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{1.1}$$

Definition 1.1. For $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the *free Boltzmann distribution* on quadrangulations with simple boundary and perimeter $2l$ is the probability measure on $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(n,l)$ which assigns to each element of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(n,l)$ a probability equal to $12^{-n}\mathfrak{Z}(2l)^{-1}$.

It is shown in [BG09] that $\mathfrak{Z}(2l) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 12^{-n} \#\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(n,l)$, so that the free Boltzmann distribution is indeed a probability measure.

The *uniform infinite half-plane quadrangulation with simple boundary* ($\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$) is the infinite rooted quadrangulation (Q^∞, e^∞) with infinite simple boundary which is the Benjamini-Schramm local limit [BS01] in law of the free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary as the perimeter tends to ∞ [CM15, CC15]. When we refer to a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with perimeter $2l = \infty$, we mean the $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$.

1.2.2 Critical face percolation on quadrangulations with simple boundary

In this subsection we give a brief description of the percolation exploration path of critical face percolation on a quadrangulation with simple boundary; see Section 3.3 for a precise definition and Figure 3 for an illustration.

Let (Q, e) be a quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $2l \in 2\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. A *critical face percolation configuration* on Q is a random function θ from the set of quadrilaterals q of Q to $\{0,1\}$ such that the values $\theta(q)$ are i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables which equal 1 with probability $3/4$ and 0 with probability $1/4$. We say that q is white or open (resp. closed or black) if $\theta(q) = 1$ (resp. $\theta(q) = 0$).

Let $l_L, l_R \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l_L + l_R = 2l$ or let $l_L = l_R = \infty$ in the case when $l = \infty$. The *percolation peeling procedure of* (Q, e, θ) *with* l_L*-white/*l_R*-black boundary conditions* is the algorithm for exploring (Q, e, θ) described as follows. If $l < \infty$, let $\beta : [0, 2l]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$ be the boundary path of Q and let $e_* := \beta(l_R + 1)$ be the target edge; or if $l = \infty$ let $e_* = \infty$. Attach a white (resp. black) quadrilateral in the external face of Q to each edge of Q in the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) arc of ∂Q from e to e_*, with e and e_* included in the clockwise, but not the counterclockwise, arc.

The *percolation peeling process* of Q is the procedure defined as follows, which explores Q from e to e_* one quadrilateral at a time. At time 0, reveal the quadrilateral of Q incident to the root edge e, and its color. Inductively, for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $\overline{Q}_j$ be the complementary connected component of the union of the previously explored quadrilaterals containing e_* on its boundary (or $\overline{Q}_j = \emptyset$ if e_* lies on the boundary of one of these quadrilaterals). If $\overline{Q}_j \neq \emptyset$ there are at most two edges of $\partial \overline{Q}_j$ which are on the boundary of a white explored or external quadrilateral and which share a vertex with a black explored or external quadrilateral. One of these edges is equal to e_*. We let $\dot{e}_j$ be the other of these two edges, or e_* if there is only one such edge, and reveal the quadrilateral incident to $\dot{e}_j$ together with its color.

Successive edges $\dot{e}_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ may not share an endpoint but lie at Q-graph distance at most 1 from one another. The *percolation exploration path* $\lambda : \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ is any path in Q such that $\lambda(j) = \dot{e}_j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\dot{e}_j$ is defined, and $\lambda(j) = e_*$ for each $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ for which $\dot{e}_{\lfloor j \rfloor}$ is not defined, i.e., at each time after the percolation peeling process reaches the target edge. Note that we do not require that the edges of a path can be oriented in a consistent manner, so long as successive edges share an endpoint (c.f. Section 2.1.2).

As explained in more detail in Section 3.4, the path λ is closely related to, but not identical, to the percolation interface which goes from e to e_*. (In the case of site percolation on a triangulation, however, the analog of λ is the same as the percolation interface; see Section 8.)

1.2.3 Brownian disk and Brownian half-plane

For $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{l} > 0$, the *Brownian disk with area* $\mathfrak{a}$ *and perimeter* $\mathfrak{l}$ is the random curve-decorated metric measure space (H, d, μ, ξ) with the topology of the disk which arises as the scaling limit of uniformly random quadrangulations with boundary. The Brownian disk can be constructed as a metric space quotient of $[0, \mathfrak{a}]$ via a continuum analog of the Schaeffer bijection [BM15]; we will not need this construction here so we will not review it carefully. The area measure μ is the pushforward of Lebesgue measure on $[0, \mathfrak{a}]$ under the quotient map and the path $\xi : [0, \mathfrak{l}] \to \partial H$, called the *boundary path*, parameterizes ∂H according to its natural length measure (which is the pushforward under the quotient map of the local time measure at the set of times when the encoding function attains a record minimum). The *periodic boundary path* of H is the path obtained by extending ξ to be $\mathfrak{l}$-periodic on $\mathbb{R}$.

The *free Boltzmann Brownian disk with perimeter* $\mathfrak{l}$ is the random curve-decorated metric measure space (H, d, μ, ξ) obtained as follows: first sample a random area $\mathfrak{a}$ from the probability measure $\frac{\mathfrak{l}^3}{\sqrt{2\pi a^5}} e^{-\frac{\mathfrak{l}^2}{2a}} \mathbb{1}_{(a \geq 0)}\, da$, then sample a Brownian disk with boundary length $\mathfrak{l}$ and area $\mathfrak{a}$. The free Boltzmann Brownian disk is the scaling limit in the GHPU topology (c.f. Section 2.2) of the free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary equipped with its graph metric, the measure which assigns each vertex a mass equal to its degree, and its boundary path [GM17c].

The *Brownian half-plane* is the random-curve-decorated metric measure space $(H^\infty, d^\infty, \mu^\infty, \xi^\infty)$ with the topology of $\mathbb{H}$ which (like the Brownian disk) can be constructed via a continuum analog of the Schaeffer bijection [GM16c, BMR16]. The path $\xi^\infty : \mathbb{R} \to H^\infty$ is called the *boundary path*. The Brownian half-plane is the scaling limit in the local GHPU topology of the $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$ equipped with its graph metric, the measure which assigns each vertex a mass equal to its degree, and its boundary path [GM16c].

As alluded to in Section 1.1 and discussed in more detail in Section 2.3, the $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG metric [MS15b, MS16a, MS16b] gives a natural embedding of the Brownian disk into the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and the Brownian half-plane into $\mathbb{H}$ which enables one to define an independent SLE_6 curve between two given boundary points of either of these metric spaces.

1.3 Main results

We first state the finite-volume version of our scaling limit result. Define the boundary length scaling constant

$$\mathbb{c} := \frac{2^{3/2}}{3}. \tag{1.2}$$

Also fix a time scaling constant $\mathbb{s} > 0$, which we will not compute explicitly, which depends on the random planar map model and on the scaling parameter of the 3/2-stable process appearing in [DMS14, Theorem 12.1], which has not been computed explicitly (the choice of $\mathbb{s}$ is made in (4.7)).

Fix $\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R > 0$ and a sequence of pairs of positive integers $\{(l_L^n, l_R^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $l_L^n + l_R^n$ is always even, $\mathbb{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} l_L^n \to \mathfrak{l}_L$, and $\mathbb{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} l_R^n \to \mathfrak{l}_R$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(Q^n, \mathbb{e}^n)$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $l_L^n + l_R^n$ (Definition 1.1), viewed as a connected metric space by replacing each edge with an isometric copy of the unit interval and let θ^n be a critical face percolation configuration on Q^n (so that conditional on Q^n, θ^n assigns to each face of Q^n the color white with probability 3/4 and the color black with probability 1/4).

Let d^n be the graph metric on Q^n, thus extended, rescaled by $(9/8)^{1/4} n^{-1/4}$. Let μ^n be the measure on Q^n which assigns to each vertex a mass equal to $(2n)^{-1}$ times its degree. Let $\beta^n : [0, l_L^n + l_R^n] \to \partial Q^n$ be the counterclockwise boundary path of Q^n started from the root edge $\mathbb{e}^n$, extended by linear interpolation, and define the rescaled boundary path $\xi^n(s) := \beta^n(\mathbb{c} n^{1/2} s)$ for $s \in [0, \mathbb{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} (l_L^n + l_R^n)]$ where here $\mathbb{c}$ is as in (1.2). Also let $\lambda^n : [0, \infty) \to Q^n$ be the percolation exploration path of $(Q^n, \mathbb{e}^n, \theta^n)$ with l_L^n-white/l_R^n-black boundary conditions (Section 1.2.2), extended to a continuous path on $[0, \infty)$ which traces the edge $\lambda^n(j)$ during each time interval $[j - 1/2, j]$ for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$; and for $t \geq 0$ let $\eta^n(t) := \lambda^n(\mathbb{s} n^{3/4} t)$. Define the doubly-marked curve-decorated metric measure spaces

$$\mathfrak{Q}^n := (Q^n, d^n, \mu^n, \xi^n, \eta^n).$$

Let (H, d, μ, ξ) be a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with boundary length $\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R$ equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path. Conditional on H, let η be a chordal SLE_6 from $\xi(0)$ to $\xi(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ in H,

parameterized by quantum natural time (recall Section 1.2.3) and the doubly-marked curve-decorated metric measure space $\mathfrak{H} = (H, d, \mu, \xi, \eta)$.

Theorem 1.2. *One has $\mathfrak{Q}^n \to \mathfrak{H}$ in law with respect to the (two-curve) Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform topology. That is, face percolation on a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary converges to chordal* SLE_6 *on a free Boltzmann Brownian disk.*

See Section 2.2 for more on the GHPU topology.

It will be clear from our proof of Theorem 1.2 that we actually obtain a slightly stronger statement: namely, the joint law of $\mathfrak{Q}^n$ and the associated rescaled boundary length process $Z^n = (L^n, R^n)$ of Definition 4.2 converges to $\mathfrak{H}$ and its associated left/right boundary length process $Z = (L, R)$ (Section 2.3.3) in the GHPU topology on the first coordinate and the Skorokhod topology on the second coordinate.

We next state a scaling limit theorem for face percolation on the $\mathrm{UIHPQ}_{\mathrm{S}}$, which will be an easy consequence of Theorem 1.2. To state the theorem, let $(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ be a $\mathrm{UIHPQ}_{\mathrm{S}}$, viewed as a connected metric space by replacing each edge with an isometric copy of the unit interval as in the case of Q^n above. Let θ^∞ be a critical face percolation configuration on Q^∞.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $d^{\infty,n}$ be the graph metric on Q^∞, thus extended, rescaled by $(9/8)^{1/4} n^{-1/4}$. Let $\mu^{\infty,n}$ be the measure on Q^∞ which assigns to each vertex a mass equal to $(2n)^{-1}$ times its degree. Let $\beta^\infty : \mathbb{R} \to \partial Q^n$ be the boundary path of Q^∞ with $\beta^\infty(0) = \mathbb{e}^\infty$, extended by linear interpolation, and let $\xi^{\infty,n}(s) := \beta^\infty(\mathbb{c} n^{1/2} s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Also let $\lambda^\infty : [0, \infty) \to Q^\infty$ be the percolation exploration path of $(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty, \theta^\infty)$ with white/black boundary conditions, extended to $[0, \infty)$ as in the case of λ^n above; and for $t \geq 0$ let $\eta^{\infty,n}(t) := \lambda^\infty(\mathbb{s} n^{3/4} t)$ (with $\mathbb{s}$ the same time scaling constant as above). Define the doubly-marked curve-decorated metric measure spaces

$$\mathfrak{Q}^{\infty,n} := (Q^{\infty,n}, d^{\infty,n}, \mu^{\infty,n}, \xi^{\infty,n}, \eta^{\infty,n}).$$

Let $(H^\infty, d^\infty, \mu^\infty, \xi^\infty)$ be a Brownian half-plane equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path. Conditional on H^∞, let η^∞ be a chordal SLE_6 from $\xi^\infty(0)$ to ∞ in H^∞, parameterized by quantum natural time and the doubly-marked curve-decorated metric measure space $\mathfrak{H}^\infty = (H^\infty, d^\infty, \mu^\infty, \xi^\infty, \eta^\infty)$.

Theorem 1.3. *One has $\mathfrak{Q}^{\infty,n} \to \mathfrak{H}^\infty$ in law with respect to the local (two-curve) Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform topology. That is, face percolation on the UIHPQ*$_{\mathrm{S}}$ *converges to chordal* SLE_6 *on the Brownian half-plane.*

As in the case of Theorem 1.2, our proof of Theorem 1.3 also yields a scaling limit for the joint law of $\mathfrak{Q}^{\infty,n}$ and its rescaled left/right boundary length process $Z^{\infty,n}$ (Definition 4.2) toward $\mathfrak{H}^\infty$ and its associated left/right boundary length process (Section 2.3.3).

1.4 Other scaling limit results

There are a number of other natural settings in which one can consider the scaling limit of face percolation on a quadrangulation (or more generally other percolation models on random planar maps which can be explored via peeling). We expect that scaling limit results in these settings can be deduced from the results of this paper modulo some technical steps.

One can consider face percolation on a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, with its law weighted by the total number of interior vertices, and study a percolation exploration path targeted at a uniformly random interior vertex $\mathbb{v}_*$ rather than a fixed boundary edge. In this case, the scaling limit will be radial (rather than chordal) SLE_6 on a free Boltzmann Brownian disk weighted by its area, targeted at a uniformly random interior point. This result can be extracted from the main result of the present paper as follows. Choose a uniformly random target edge on the boundary (in addition to the interior marked vertex) and follow the chordal exploration path from the root edge towards this random target edge until the first time that it separates the target edge from $\mathbb{v}_*$. Once this happens, choose another uniformly random marked boundary edge on the boundary of the complementary connected component containing $\mathbb{v}_*$ and then repeat the procedure. Due to the target invariance of SLE_6, one can produce a radial SLE_6 curve by re-targeting and concatenating chordal SLE_6 curves via a continuum analog of the above construction. Hence the scaling limit result follows from Theorem 1.2 with a little bit of extra technical work.

One can also work with a quadrangulation of the sphere and consider the percolation exploration between two uniformly random marked vertices. In this case, the scaling limit will be whole-plane SLE_6 on the

Brownian map. To see this, one notes that if one explores the percolation exploration path for a little bit, then one ends up in the radial setting above. Since our scaling limit result only applies to free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary, without specified area, one needs to work with the free Boltzmann distribution on quadrangulations of the sphere (which is obtained from the free Boltzmann distribution on quadrangulations with simple boundary of perimeter 2 by identifying the boundary edges) in order for the unexplored regions to be free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary. This distribution, appropriately rescaled, converges vaguely to the infinite measure on doubly marked Brownian maps considered in [MS15a], where the area is sampled from the infinite measure $a^{-3/2}\,da$.

It is also natural to ask for convergence of all of the interfaces on a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, rather than a single exploration path. In this setting, the scaling limit should be a free Boltzmann Brownian disk decorated by a CLE_6 [She09]. We expect that this convergence can be extracted from Theorem 1.2 and the aforementioned radial variant by exploring the discrete interfaces via a discrete analog of the branching SLE_6 process used to construct CLE_6 in [She09]. Here one needs to use the relationship between the percolation exploration path and the percolation interface; see Section 3.4.

1.5 Outline

In this subsection we give an overview of the content of the rest of this article. In Section 2, we introduce some (mostly standard) notation and review the GHPU metric, the Brownian disk and Brownian half-plane, and LQG surfaces and their relationship to SLE and to Brownian surfaces. We will also recall some results from [GM17a, GM17b] about SLE_6 on a free Boltzmann Brownian disk which will be needed in the present paper, in particular the description of the law of the left/right boundary length process (Theorem 2.5); and the characterization theorem in terms of the topology of the curve-decorated metric space and the law of the internal metric spaces parameterized by the complementary connected components of the curve at each time $t \geq 0$ (Theorem 2.7).

In Section 3, we recall the definition of the peeling procedure for the uniform infinite half-plane quadrangulation, review some formulas and estimates for this procedure, and give a precise definition of the face percolation peeling process and the associated percolation exploration path which we will show converges to SLE_6. In Section 3.4 we also discuss the relationship between this path and the face percolation interface.

In the remainder of the paper we commence with the proofs of our main theorems. Our main focus is on proving the finite-volume scaling limit result Theorem 1.2, which will imply the infinite-volume version Theorem 1.3 via a short local absolute continuity argument. However, we will frequently switch back and forth between proving statements in the finite-volume and infinite-volume settings, depending on the setting in which the proof is easier. We will transfer estimates between the two settings using a Radon-Nikodym derivative estimate for peeling processes on a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with respect to peeling processes on the $\mathrm{UIHPQ}_{\mathrm{S}}$ (Lemma 4.5).

In Section 4 we introduce the *boundary length processes* for the percolation peeling process. These processes encode the number of edges on the outer boundary of the percolation peeling cluster to the left and right of the tip of the curve, the number of edges to the left and right of the starting edge which this cluster disconnects from the target edge (or ∞, in the case of the $\mathrm{UIHPQ}_{\mathrm{S}}$), and the differences between these quantities at each time j for the peeling process.

We then prove that the boundary length processes for face percolation on the $\mathrm{UIHPQ}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and on a free Boltzmann quadrangulation converge in the scaling limit to the analogous processes for the hulls of a chordal SLE_6 on the Brownian half-plane and on a free Boltzmann Brownian disk, respectively. In the case of the $\mathrm{UIHPQ}_{\mathrm{S}}$, the desired limiting boundary length process is a pair of independent 3/2-stable processes [DMS14, Theorem 1.19] (c.f. Section 2.3) so this convergence statement amounts to a straightforward application of the peeling estimates of Section 3 and the heavy-tailed central limit theorem. In the case of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation, however, the argument is more subtle and relies on the description of the desired limiting boundary length process from [GM17b] (see Theorem 2.5) as well as some estimates for peeling which will also be used in subsequent sections.

In Section 5 we prove tightness of the curve-decorated metric measure spaces appearing in Theorem 1.2. Since we already know that the rescaled free Boltzmann quadrangulations (Q^n, d^n, μ^n, ξ^n) converge in the scaling limit to the Brownian disk, this amounts to proving that the rescaled percolation exploration paths η^n are equicontinuous in law. The idea of the proof is to estimate the diameter of the outer boundary of a

small increment $\eta^n([(k-1)\delta, k\delta])$ using the scaling limit result for the boundary length process and estimates for distances along the boundary in a quadrangulation with simple boundary (which follow from analogous estimates for the Brownian disk), then use the fact that the Brownian disk has the topology of a disk to bound the diameter of $\eta^n([(k-1)\delta, k\delta])$ in terms of the diameter of its outer boundary.

Most of the remainder of the paper is devoted to checking the hypotheses of the characterization result Theorem 2.7 for a subsequential limit $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}} = (\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\eta})$ of the curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\mathfrak{Q}^n$ of Theorem 1.2. As will be explained in the first several subsections of Section 7, one can deduce from the convergence of the boundary length processes and the the Markov property of peeling that internal metrics on the complementary connected components of $\widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ at each time t have the same law as the corresponding objects for SLE_6 on a Brownian disk (i.e., condition 1 in Theorem 2.7 is satisfied). Furthermore, one can show that $\widetilde{\eta}$ hits itself at least as often as an SLE_6, so that there exists a coupling of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ with a SLE_6-decorated free Boltzmann Brownian disk $\mathfrak{H} = (H, d, \mu, \xi, \eta)$ and a continuous surjective measure-preserving, curve-preserving map $\Phi : H \to \widetilde{H}$ which maps $H \setminus \eta$ bijectively to $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}$.

This most difficult part of the proof is showing that Φ is injective, so that the topology and consistency condition 2 in Theorem 2.7 is satisfied. For this purpose we will use the topological result [BOT06, Main Theorem], which says that if $\Phi : M \to N$ is a continuous map between manifolds with boundary which is *almost injective*, in the sense that $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x)) = \{x\}$ for a dense set of points $x \in M$ and *light* in the sense that $\Phi^{-1}(\{y\})$ is totally disconnected for each $y \in N$, then $\Phi|_{M \setminus \partial M}$ is an embedding. The map Φ discussed in the preceding paragraph is almost injective.

In Section 6 we prove an estimate for the percolation exploration path η^n which will enable us to show that the above map Φ is light. In particular, we will to prove an estimate for the number of times that η^n can cross an annulus between two metric balls in Q^n, which will enable us to conclude in Section 7.4 that a subsequential limit of the curves η^n can hit a single point at most 6 times. This will imply that the pre-image of any point under Φ is finite, and hence that Φ is light and therefore a homeomorphism. In Section 7.5 we will conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2 and then deduce Theorem 1.3 by coupling the $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$ with a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with large simple boundary in such a way that they agree in a neighborhood of the root edge with high probability.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notational conventions

In this subsection, we will review some basic notation and definitions which will be used throughout the paper.

2.1.1 Basic notation

We write $\mathbb{N}$ for the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ and $r > 0$, we define the discrete intervals $[a,b]_{r\mathbb{Z}} := [a,b] \cap (r\mathbb{Z})$ and $(a,b)_{r\mathbb{Z}} := (a,b) \cap (r\mathbb{Z})$.

If a and b are two quantities, we write $a \preceq b$ (resp. $a \succeq b$) if there is a constant $C > 0$ (independent of the parameters of interest) such that $a \leq Cb$ (resp. $a \geq Cb$). We write $a \asymp b$ if $a \preceq b$ and $a \succeq b$.

If a and b are two quantities depending on a variable x, we write $a = O_x(b)$ (resp. $a = o_x(b)$) if a/b remains bounded (resp. tends to 0) as $x \to 0$ or as $x \to \infty$ (the regime we are considering will be clear from the context). We write $a = o_x^\infty(b)$ if $a = o_x(b^s)$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.1.2 Graphs and maps

For a planar map G, we write $\mathcal{V}(G)$, $\mathcal{E}(G)$, and $\mathcal{F}(G)$, respectively, for the set of vertices, edges, and faces, respectively, of G.

By a *path* in G, we mean a function $\lambda : I \to \mathcal{E}(G)$ for some (possibly infinite) discrete interval $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$, with the property that the edges $\lambda(i)$ and $\lambda(i+1)$ share an endpoint for each $i \in I$ other than the right endpoint of I. We also allow paths defined on discrete intervals $I \subset \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ (such as the percolation exploration path). We do

not require that the edges traversed by λ can be oriented in a consistent manner, since some of the paths we consider (such as the percolation exploration path) do not have this property.

For sets A_1, A_2 consisting of vertices and/or edges of G, we write $\operatorname{dist}(A_1, A_2; G)$ for the graph distance from A_1 to A_2 in G, i.e. the minimum of the lengths of paths in G whose initial edge either has an endpoint which is a vertex in A_1 or shares an endpoint with an edge in A_1; and whose final edge satisfies the same condition with A_2 in place of A_1. If A_1 and/or A_2 is a singleton, we omit the set brackets. Note that the graph distance from an edge e to a set A is the minimum distance between the endpoints of e and the set A. We write $\operatorname{diam}(G)$ for the maximal graph distance between vertices of G.

For $r > 0$, we define the graph metric ball $B_r(A_1; G)$ to be the subgraph of G consisting of all vertices of G whose graph distance from A_1 is at most r and all edges of G whose endpoints both lie at graph distance at most r from A_1. If $A_1 = \{x\}$ is a single vertex or edge, we write $B_r(x; G)$ for $B_r(\{x\}; G)$.

Let Q be a quadrangulation with boundary and let $S \subset Q$ be a subgraph. We define its *boundary* $\partial_Q S$ of S relative to Q to be the subgraph of S consisting of all vertices of S which either belong to ∂Q or which are incident to an edge not in S; and the set of edges of S which joint two such vertices. We typically drop the subscript Q if the quadrangulation Q we are considering is clear from the context. If S is itself a quadrangulation with boundary such that ∂Q lies in the external face of S and every internal face of S is a face of Q (which is the case we will most often consider), then the boundary of S relative to Q coincides with the intrinsic boundary of S.

2.1.3 Metric spaces

Here we introduce some notation for metric spaces and recall some basic constructions. Throughout, let (X, d_X) be a metric space.

For $A \subset X$ we write $\operatorname{diam}(A; d_X)$ for the supremum of the d_X-distance between points in A.

For $r > 0$, we write $B_r(A; d_X)$ for the set of $x \in X$ with $d_X(x, A) \leq r$. We emphasize that $B_r(A; d_X)$ is closed (this will be convenient when we work with the local GHPU topology). If $A = \{y\}$ is a singleton, we write $B_r(y; d_X)$ for $B_r(\{y\}; d_X)$.

For a curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to X$, the *d_X-length* of γ is defined by

$$\operatorname{len}(\gamma; d_X) := \sup_P \sum_{i=1}^{\#P} d_X(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i-1}))$$

where the supremum is over all partitions $P : a = t_0 < \cdots < t_{\#P} = b$ of $[a, b]$. Note that the d_X-length of a curve may be infinite.

For $Y \subset X$, the *internal metric d_Y of d_X on Y* is defined by

$$d_Y(x, y) := \inf_{\gamma \subset Y} \operatorname{len}(\gamma; d_X), \quad \forall x, y \in Y \tag{2.1}$$

where the infimum is over all curves in Y from x to y. The function d_Y satisfies all of the properties of a metric on Y except that it may take infinite values.

We say that (X, d_X) is a *length space* if for each $x, y \in X$ and each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a curve of d_X-length at most $d_X(x, y) + \epsilon$ from x to y.

2.1.4 Skorokhod topology

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{D}^k_\infty$ be the set of cádlag functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^k$, i.e., those which are right continuous with left limits. Also let $\mathcal{D}^k$ be the set of those $f \in \mathcal{D}^k$ which extend continuously to the two-point compactification $[-\infty, \infty]$, equivalently $\lim_{t \to -\infty} f(t)$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t)$ exist. We view a cádlag function $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^k$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}^k$ by setting $f(t) = f(a)$ for $t < a$ and $f(t) = f(b)$ for $t > b$.

We define the *Skorokhod metric* on $\mathcal{D}^k$ by

$$d^{\mathrm{Sk}}(f,g) := \inf_{\phi} \max\{\|f \circ \phi - g\|_\infty, \|g - \mathrm{Id}\,\|_\infty\}$$

where the infinimum is over all increasing homeomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, Id denotes the identity function on $\mathbb{R}$, and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the uniform norm. Note that $d^{\mathrm{Sk}}(f,g)$ is finite for $f, g \in \mathcal{D}^k$ since f and g are nearly constant outside of some compact interval.

We define the *local Skorokhod metric* on $\mathcal{D}^k_\infty$ by

$$d^{\mathrm{Sk}}_\infty(f,g) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k}\big(1 \wedge d^{\mathrm{Sk}}(f|_{[-k,k]}, g|_{[-k,k]})\big)$$

so that convergence with respect to d^{Sk}_∞ is equivalent to Skorokhod convergence on each compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$.

2.2 The Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform metric

In this subsection we will review the definition of the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform (GHPU) metric from [GM16c], which is the metric with respect to which our scaling limit results hold. In fact, in this paper we will have occasion to consider the GHPU topology for metric measure spaces decorated by multiple curves, the theory of which is identical to the theory in the case of a single curve. Actually, for this paper we will only need to consider metric measure spaces with two curves, but we treat an arbitrary finite number of curves for the sake of completeness.

For a metric space (X,d), we let $C_0(\mathbb{R},X)$ be the space of continuous curves $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to X$ which are "constant at ∞," i.e. η extends continuously to the extended real line $[-\infty,\infty]$. Each curve $\eta : [a,b] \to X$ can be viewed as an element of $C_0(\mathbb{R},X)$ by defining $\eta(t) = \eta(a)$ for $t < a$ and $\eta(t) = \eta(b)$ for $t > b$.

- Let $\mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{H}}_d$ be the d-Hausdorff metric on compact subsets of X.
- Let $\mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{P}}_d$ be the d-Prokhorov metric on finite measures on X.
- Let $\mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{U}}_d$ be the d-uniform metric on $C_0(\mathbb{R},X)$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}_k$ be the set of $3+k$-tuples $\mathfrak{X} = (X,d,\mu,\eta_1,\dots,\eta_k)$ where (X,d) is a compact metric space, d is a metric on X, μ is a finite Borel measure on X, and $\eta_1,\dots,\eta_k \in C_0(\mathbb{R},X)$.

Given elements $\mathfrak{X}^1 = (X^1,d^1,\mu^1,\eta^1_1,\dots,\eta^1_k)$ and $\mathfrak{X}^2 = (X^2,d^2,\mu^2,\eta^2_1,\dots,\eta^2_k)$ of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}_k$, a compact metric space (W,D), and isometric embeddings $\iota^1 : X^1 \to W$ and $\iota^2 : X^2 \to W$, we define their *GHPU distortion* by

$$\mathrm{Dis}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}_{\mathfrak{X}^1,\mathfrak{X}^2}\big(W,D,\iota^1,\iota^2\big) := \mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{H}}_D\big(\iota^1(X^1),\iota^2(X^2)\big) + \mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{P}}_D\big(((\iota^1)_*\mu^1,(\iota^2)_*\mu^2)\big) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{U}}_D\big(\iota^1\circ\eta^1_j,\iota^2\circ\eta^2_j\big). \tag{2.2}$$

We define the *Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-Uniform (GHPU) distance* by

$$\mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}\big(\mathfrak{X}^1,\mathfrak{X}^2\big) = \inf_{(W,D),\iota^1,\iota^2} \mathrm{Dis}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}_{\mathfrak{X}^1,\mathfrak{X}^2}\big(W,D,\iota^1,\iota^2\big), \tag{2.3}$$

where the infimum is over all compact metric spaces (W,D) and isometric embeddings $\iota^1 : X^1 \to W$ and $\iota^2 : X^2 \to W$. It is shown in [GM16c, Proposition 1.3] that in the case when $k = 1$, this defines a complete separable metric on $\mathbb{M}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}_k$ provided we identify two elements of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}_k$ which differ by a measure- and curve- preserving isometry. Exactly the same proof shows that the same is true for general $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

GHPU convergence is equivalent to a closely related type of convergence which is often easier to work with, in which all of the curve-decorated metric measure spaces are subsets of a larger space. For this purpose we need to introduce the following definition, which we take from [GM16c].

Definition 2.1 (HPU convergence)**.** Let (W,D) be a metric space. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathfrak{X}^n = (X^n,d^n,\mu^n,\eta^n_1,\dots,\eta^n_k)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{X} = (X,d,\mu,\eta_1,\dots,\eta_k)$ be elements of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}_k$ such that X and each X^n is a subset of W satisfying $D|_X = d$ and $D|_{X^n} = d^n$. We say that $\mathfrak{X}^n \to \mathfrak{X}$ in the *D-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform (HPU) sense* if $X^n \to X$ in the D-Hausdorff metric, $\mu^n \to \mu$ in the D-Prokhorov metric, and for each $j \in [1,k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\eta^n_j|_{[a,b]} \to \eta_j|_{[a,b]}$ in the D-uniform metric.

The following result, which is the variant of [GM16c, Proposition 1.9] in the case of k curves (and which is proven in exactly the same manner as in the case of one curve), will play a key role in Section 7.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{X}^n = (X^n, d^n, \mu^n, \eta_1^n, \dots, \eta_k^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{X} = (X, d, \mu, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k)$ be elements of $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU}}$. Then $\mathfrak{X}^n \to \mathfrak{X}$ in the local GHPU topology if and only if there exists a compact metric space (W, D) and isometric embeddings $X^n \to W$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \to W$ such that the following is true. If we identify X^n and X with their embeddings into W, then $\mathfrak{X}^n \to \mathfrak{X}$ in the D-HPU sense.*

For our scaling limit result for percolation on the $\mathrm{UIHPQ}_{\mathrm{S}}$, we need to consider the local version of the GHPU metric. Following [GM16c], for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU},\infty}$ be the set of $3+k$-tuples $\mathfrak{X} = (X, d, \mu, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k)$ where (X, d) is a locally compact length space, μ is a measure on X which assigns finite mass to each finite-radius metric ball in X, and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k : \mathbb{R} \to X$ are curves in X. Note that $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU}}$ is not contained in $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU},\infty}$ since elements of the former are not required to be length spaces.

The following definition, which is slightly modified from [GM16c], is used to define the local GHPU metric in terms of the GHPU metric.

Definition 2.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, d, \mu, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k)$ be an element of $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU},\infty}$. For $\rho > 0$ and $j \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, let

$$\underline{\tau}_\rho^{\eta_j} := (-\rho) \vee \sup\{t < 0 : d(\eta_j(0), \eta_j(t)) = \rho\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\tau}_\rho^{\eta_j} := \rho \wedge \inf\{t > 0 : d(\eta_j(0), \eta_j(t)) = \rho\}. \tag{2.4}$$

The *ρ-truncation* of η_j is the curve $\mathfrak{B}_\rho \eta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}; X)$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{B}_\rho \eta_j(t) = \begin{cases} \eta(\underline{\tau}_\rho^{\eta_j}), & t \le \underline{\tau}_\rho^{\eta_j} \\ \eta_j(t), & t \in (\underline{\tau}_\rho^{\eta_j}, \overline{\tau}_\rho^{\eta_j}) \\ \eta(\overline{\tau}_\rho^{\eta_j}), & t \ge \overline{\tau}_\rho^{\eta_j}. \end{cases}$$

The *ρ-truncation* of $\mathfrak{X}$ is the curve-decorated metric measure space

$$\mathfrak{B}_\rho \mathfrak{X} = \big(B_\rho(\eta_1(0); d), d|_{B_\rho(\eta_1(0);d)}, \mu|_{B_\rho(\eta_1(0);d)}, \mathfrak{B}_\rho \eta_1, \dots, \mathfrak{B}_\rho \eta_k\big).$$

Note that the curve η_1 plays a distinguished role in Definition 2.3 since $\eta_1(0)$ is taken to be the base point.

The *local Gromov-Prokhorov metric* on $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU},\infty}$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{GHPU},\infty}(\mathfrak{X}^1, \mathfrak{X}^2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho} \big(1 \wedge \mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}(\mathfrak{B}_\rho \mathfrak{X}^1, \mathfrak{B}_\rho \mathfrak{X}^2)\big)\, d\rho \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{GHPU}}$ is as in (2.3). It is shown in [GM16c, Proposition 1.7] that in the case when $k = 1$, $\mathbb{d}^{\mathrm{GHPU},\infty}$ defines a complete separable metric on $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU},\infty}$, and the case of general $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is treated in exactly the same manner.

Remark 2.4 (Graphs as elements of $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU}}$)**.** In this paper we will often be interested in a graph G equipped with its graph distance d_G. In order to study continuous curves in G, we identify each edge of G with a copy of the unit interval $[0, 1]$. We extend the graph metric on G by requiring that this identification is an isometry.

If $\lambda : [a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{E}(G)$ is a path in G, we extend λ from $[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $[a-1, b]$ in such a way that λ is continuous and for each $i \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\lambda|_{[i-1,i]}$ is a path lying in the edge $\lambda(i)$. Note that there are multiple ways to do this, but different choices result in paths whose uniform distance from one another is at most 1.

If G is a finite graph and we are given a measure μ on vertices of G and curves $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ in G and we view G as a connected metric space and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ as continuous curves as above, then $(G, d_G, \mu, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is an element of $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU}}$. Similar considerations enable us to view infinite graphs equipped with a locally finite measure and k curves as elements of $\mathbb{M}_k^{\mathrm{GHPU},\infty}$.

2.3 Liouville quantum gravity and SLE

In this subsection we review the definition of Liouville quantum gravity (LQG) surfaces (Section 2.3.1) and explain their equivalence with Brownian surfaces in the case when $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$ and how this enables us to define SLE_6-type curves on Brownian surfaces (Section 2.3.2).

We also state the results about SLE and LQG from [GM17a] which are used in our proofs (Section 2.3.3). Our proofs do not make any explicit use of SLE or LQG outside of these results, so if the reader is willing to take these results described in this subsection as a black box, the paper can be read without any knowledge of SLE and LQG.

2.3.1 Liouville quantum gravity surfaces

For $\gamma \in (0,2)$, a *Liouville quantum gravity (LQG)* surface with $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ marked points is an equivalence class of $(k+2)$-tuples $(D, h, x_1, \dots, x_k)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain; h is a distribution on D, typically some variant of the Gaussian free field (GFF) [DS11, She07, SS13, She16a, MS16c, MS13]; and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in D \cup \partial D$ are k marked points. Two such $(k+2)$-tuples $(D, h, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $(\widetilde{D}, \widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_k)$ are considered equivalent if there is a conformal map $f : \widetilde{D} \to D$ such that

$$f(\widetilde{x}_j) = x_j, \quad \forall j \in [1,k]_{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{h} = h \circ f + Q \log |f'| \quad \text{where } Q = \frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Several specific types of γ-LQG surfaces (which correspond to particular choices of the GFF-like distribution h) are studied in [DMS14]. In this paper we will only consider the special case when $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$ and the only quantum surfaces we will be interested in are the quantum disk and the $\sqrt{8/3}$-quantum wedge.

It is shown in [DS11] that a Liouville quantum gravity surface for general $\gamma \in (0,2)$ admits a natural area measure μ_h, which can be interpreted as "$e^{\gamma h(z)}\, dz$", where dz is Lebesgue measure on D, and a length measure ν_h defined on certain curves in D, including ∂D and SLE_κ-type curves for $\kappa = \gamma^2$ [She16a]. These measures are invariant under coordinate changes of the form (2.6), so one can think of a γ-LQG surface as an equivalence class of measure spaces modulo conformal maps.

For $\gamma \in (0,2)$, a *quantum disk* $(\mathbb{D}, h)$ is a finite-volume quantum surface typically taken to be parameterized by the unit disk, defined precisely in [DMS14, Definition 4.21]. One can consider quantum disks with fixed area, boundary length, or both; in this paper we will primarily be interested in the case of fixed boundary length. A *singly (resp. doubly) marked quantum disk* is a quantum disk together with one (resp. two) marked points sampled uniformly from its γ-quantum boundary length measure. One can consider a doubly marked quantum disk with specified left and right boundary lengths (and possibly also area) by conditioning on the γ-quantum lengths of the two arcs between the marked points.

For $\alpha < Q$, an *α-quantum wedge* $(\mathbb{H}, h^\infty, 0, \infty)$ is an infinite-volume (i.e., $\mu_{h^\infty}(\mathbb{H}) = \infty$) doubly-marked quantum surface which is typically taken to be parameterized by the upper half plane. This quantum surface is defined precisely in [She16a, Section 1.6] and in [DMS14, Definition 4.4]. Roughly speaking, the distribution h^∞ can be obtained by starting with the distribution $\widetilde{h} - \alpha \log|\cdot|$, where $\widetilde{h}$ is a free-boundary GFF on $\mathbb{H}$, then zooming in near the origin and re-scaling to get a surface which describes the local behavior of this field when the additive constant is fixed appropriately [DMS14, Proposition 4.6]. The case when $\alpha = \gamma$ is special because the γ-LQG boundary length measure is supported on points where the field has a $-\gamma$-log singularity, so the γ-quantum wedge can be thought of as describing the local behavior of the field at a quantum typical boundary point (see [She16a, Proposition 1.6] for a precise statement along these lines).

It is particularly natural to consider a γ-LQG surface decorated by an independent SLE_κ-type curve for $\kappa \in \{\gamma^2, 16/\gamma^2\}$. Such a curve admits a natural quantum parameterization with respect to the underlying field, which depends on the phase of κ:

1. For $\kappa \in (0,4)$, we parameterize by quantum length (which is shown to be well-defined on SLE_κ curves in [She16a]).
2. For $\kappa \in (4,8)$ we parameterize by *quantum natural time*, which roughly speaking means that we parameterize by the "quantum local time" of η^∞ at the set of times when it disconnects a bubble from ∞ (see [DMS14, Definition 7.14] for a precise definition).
3. For $\kappa \geq 8$, we parameterize by the quantum mass of the region filled in by the curve.

2.3.2 The $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG metric and SLE_6 on a Brownian surface

It was recently proven by Miller and Sheffield that in the special case when $\gamma = \sqrt{8/3}$, a $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surface admits a natural metric $\mathfrak{d}_h$ [MS15b, MS16a, MS16b], building on [MS16d]. This metric is also invariant under

coordinate changes of the form (2.6). Hence one can view a $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surface as a metric measure space. In the case of $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG surfaces with boundary (such as quantum disks and quantum wedges), one also obtains a natural boundary path, modulo a choice of starting point, by traversing one unit of $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG length in one unit of time.

In particular, it is shown in [MS16a, Corollary 1.5] that the quantum disk is equivalent as a curve-decorated metric measure space to the Brownian disk, equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path. This holds if we condition on area, boundary length, or both. In particular, the quantum disk with boundary length $\mathfrak{l} > 0$ is equivalent to the free Boltzmann Brownian disk with boundary length $\mathfrak{l}$. Similarly, it is shown in [GM16c, Proposition 1.10] that the $\sqrt{8/3}$-quantum wedge is equivalent as a curve-decorated metric measure space to the Brownian half-plane.

It is shown in [MS16b] that the metric measure space structure a.s. determines the embedding of the quantum surface into a subset of $\mathbb{C}$. In particular, there is a canonical embedding of the Brownian disk (resp. the Brownian half-plane) into $\mathbb{D}$ (resp. $\mathbb{H}$).

This embedding enables us to define a chordal SLE_6 on a doubly marked Brownian disk (H, d, μ, x, y) (with fixed area, boundary length, or both) via the following procedure:

1. Let $(\mathbb{D}, h, -i, i)$ be the doubly marked quantum disk obtained by embedding our given Brownian disk into $(\mathbb{D}, -i, i)$.
2. Let $\eta_{\mathbb{D}}$ be an independent chordal SLE_6 from $-i$ to i in $\mathbb{D}$, parameterized by quantum natural time with respect to h (recall the discussion at the end of Section 2.3.1), and let η be the curve from x to y in H which is the pre-image of $\eta_{\mathbb{D}}$ under the embedding map.

We note that the law of η does not depend on the particular choice of embedding since the quantum natural time parameterization is invariant under coordinate changes as in (2.6). One can similarly define chordal SLE_6 on the Brownian half-plane.

The same procedure also allows one to define other variants of SLE_6 or $\mathrm{SLE}_{8/3}$ on other Brownian surfaces, but in this paper we will only consider chordal SLE_6 on the Brownian disk or the Brownian half-plane.

2.3.3 Boundary length processes and characterization theorem

In this subsection we review some particular facts about SLE_6 on a $\sqrt{8/3}$-quantum wedge or a doubly marked quantum disk (equivalently, on a Brownian half-plane or a doubly marked Brownian disk).

Suppose first that $(\mathbb{H}, h^\infty, 0, \infty)$ is a $\sqrt{8/3}$-quantum wedge and $\eta^\infty : [0, \infty) \to \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is a chordal SLE_6 from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$ sampled independently from h^∞ and then parameterized by quantum natural time with respect to h^∞.

For $t \geq 0$, let K_t^∞ be the closure of the set of points disconnected from ∞ by $\eta^\infty([0,t])$ and let L_t^∞ (resp. R_t^∞) be equal to the ν_{h^∞}-length of the segment of $\partial K_t^\infty \cap \mathbb{H}$ lying to the left (resp. right) of $\eta^\infty(t)$ minus the ν_{h^∞}-length of the segment of $(-\infty, 0]$ (resp. $[0, \infty)$) which is disconnected from ∞ by $\eta^\infty(t)$. We call $Z_t^\infty := (L_t^\infty, R_t^\infty)$ the *left/right boundary length process* of η^∞.

It is shown in [DMS14, Theorem 12.1] that Z^∞ evolves as a pair of independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no upward jumps. That is, the Lévy measure of each of L^∞ and R^∞ is given by a constant times $|t|^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(t<0)}\, dt$ and

$$\mathbb{P}[L_1^\infty < -r] = \mathbb{P}[R_1^\infty < -r] \sim \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Stable}} r^{-3/2} \tag{2.7}$$

for $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Stable}} > 0$ a constant which is not computed explicitly. Henceforth, whenever we refer to a totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no upward jumps we mean one with this choice of scaling constant $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Stable}}$ (which determines the scaling constant for the Lévy measure).

By [DMS14, Theorem 1.19], if we condition on Z^∞ then the quantum surfaces obtained by restricting h^∞ to the bubbles disconnected from ∞ by η^∞, each marked by the point where η^∞ finishes tracing its boundary, have the law of a collection of independent singly marked quantum disks indexed by the downward jumps of the two coordinates of Z^∞, with boundary lengths specified by the magnitudes of the downward jumps. Furthermore, for each $t \geq 0$ quantum surface obtained by restricting h^∞ to $\mathbb{H} \setminus K_t^\infty$ is a $\sqrt{8/3}$-quantum wedge independent from the quantum surface obtained by restricting h^∞ to K_t^∞.

One has similar statements in the case of a chordal SLE_6 on a doubly marked quantum disk. Let $(\mathbb{D}, h, -i, i)$ be a doubly marked quantum disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L > 0$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R > 0$ and let η be an independent chordal SLE_6 from $-i$ to i in $\mathbb{D}$, parameterized by quantum natural time with respect to h. In this case η is only defined on some random finite time interval $[0, \sigma_0]$ but we extend the definition of η to all of $[0, \infty)$ by setting $\eta(t) = i$ for $t > \sigma_0$. Define the left/right boundary length process $Z = (L, R)$ in exactly the same manner as above. Then $Z_0 = (0, 0)$ and $Z_t = (-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$ for each $t \geq \sigma_0$ (note that the left/right boundary length process in this paper coincides with the definition in [GM17b] but is shifted by $(-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$ as compared to the definition in [GM17a]). The law of the process Z is described by the following theorem, which is [GM17b, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 2.5 ([GM17b]). *The process $Z = (L, R)$ satisfies the following properties.*

1. *(Endpoint continuity) Almost surely, the terminal time σ_0 is finite. Furthermore, a.s.*

$$\sigma_0 = \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t = -\mathfrak{l}_L\} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : R_t = -\mathfrak{l}_R\} \tag{2.8}$$

   and a.s. $\lim_{t \to \sigma_0} \eta(t) = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \to \sigma_0} Z_t = (-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$.

2. *(Radon-Nikodym derivative) Let $Z^\infty = (L^\infty, R^\infty)$ be a pair of independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no upward jumps, scaled as in (2.7), and define*

$$\sigma_0^\infty := \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t^\infty \leq -\mathfrak{l}_L \text{ or } R_t^\infty \leq -\mathfrak{l}_R\}. \tag{2.9}$$

   For $t \geq 0$, the law of $Z|_{[0,t]}$ on the event $\{t < \sigma_0\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $Z^\infty|_{[0,t]}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative given by

$$\left(\frac{L_t^\infty + R_t^\infty}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1 \right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(t < \sigma_0^\infty)}.$$

Similarly to the $\sqrt{8/3}$-quantum wedge case, if we condition on $Z|_{[0,t]}$ then the conditional law of the quantum surfaces obtained by restricting h to the bubbles disconnected from i by η, each marked by the point where η finishes tracing its boundary, has the law of a collection of independent singly marked quantum disks indexed by the downward jumps of the two coordinates of Z, with boundary lengths specified by the magnitudes of the downward jumps. Furthermore, the conditional law of the doubly marked quantum surface obtained by restricting h to the connected component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \eta([0, t])$ with i on its boundary, with marked points $\eta(t)$ and i, is that of a doubly marked quantum disk with left/right boundary lengths $L_t + \mathfrak{l}_L$ and $R_t + \mathfrak{l}_R$ [GM17b, Theorem 1.1]. The $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG metric induced by the restriction of h to a sub-domain coincides with the internal metric (Section 2.1.3) of $\mathfrak{d}_h$ on that sub-domain [GM17a, Lemma 7.6], and a quantum disk conditioned on its boundary lengths is equivalent to a free Boltzmann Brownian disk (as defined in Section 1.2.3). Hence we can re-phrase [GM17b, Theorem 1.1] in terms of Brownian disks instead of quantum disks to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 ([GM17b]). *Let (H, d, μ, ξ, x, y) be a doubly marked Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$ and let η be an independent chordal SLE_6 in H from x to y, parameterized by quantum natural time. Let $Z = (L, R)$ be the corresponding left/right boundary length process. For $t \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{U}_t$ be the collection of singly marked metric measure spaces of the form (U, d_U, μ_U, x_U) where U is a connected component of $H \setminus \eta([0, t])$, d_U is the internal metric of d on U, and x_U is the point where η finishes tracing ∂U. If we condition on $Z|_{[0,t]}$, then the conditional law of $\mathcal{U}_t$ is that of a collection of independent singly marked free Boltzmann Brownian disks with boundary lengths specified as follows. The elements of $\mathcal{U}_t$ corresponding to the connected components of $H \setminus \eta([0, t])$ which do not have the target point y on their boundaries are in one-to-one correspondence with the downward jumps of the coordinates of $Z|_{[0,t]}$, with boundary lengths given by the magnitudes of the corresponding jump. The element of $\mathcal{U}_t$ corresponding to the connected component of $H \setminus \eta([0, t])$ with y on its boundary has boundary length $L_t + R_t + \mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R$.*

It is shown in [GM17a, Theorem 7.12] that the Markov-type property of Theorem 2.6 together with the topological curve-decorated measure space structure of (H, d, μ, ξ, η) uniquely characterizes its law. We re-state this theorem here for the sake of reference.

Theorem 2.7 ([GM17a]). *Let $(\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R) \in (0,\infty)^2$ and suppose we are given a coupling of a doubly-marked free Boltzmann Brownian disk $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$ with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$, a random continuous curve $\widetilde{\eta} : [0,\infty) \to \widetilde{H}$ from $\widetilde{x}$ to $\widetilde{y}$, and a random process $Z = (L, R)$ which has the law of the left/right boundary length process of a chordal* SLE_6 *on a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$, parameterized by quantum natural time. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.*

1. *(Laws of complementary connected components) For $t \geq 0$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ be the collection of singly marked metric measure spaces of the form $(U, \widetilde{d}_U, \widetilde{\mu}_U, \widetilde{x}_U)$ where U is a connected component of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$, $\widetilde{d}_U$ is the internal metric of $\widetilde{d}$ on U, and $\widetilde{x}_U$ is the point where $\widetilde{\eta}$ finishes tracing ∂U. If we condition on $Z|_{[0,t]}$, then the conditional law of $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ is that of a collection of independent singly marked free Boltzmann Brownian disks with boundary lengths specified as follows. The elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ corresponding to the connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ which do not have the target point $\widetilde{y}$ on their boundaries are in one-to-one correspondence with the downward jumps of the coordinates of $Z|_{[0,t]}$, with boundary lengths given by the magnitudes of the corresponding jump. The element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ corresponding to the connected component of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ with $\widetilde{y}$ on its boundary has boundary length $L_t + R_t + \mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R$.*

2. *(Topology and consistency) The topology of $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\eta})$ is determined by Z in the same manner as the topology of a chordal* SLE_6 *on a doubly marked free Boltzmann Brownian disk, i.e. there is a curve-decorated metric measure space (H, d, μ, ξ, η) consisting of a doubly marked Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$ and an independent chordal* SLE_6 *between its two marked points parameterized by quantum natural time and a homeomorphism $\Phi : H \to \widetilde{H}$ with $\Phi_* \mu = \widetilde{\mu}$ and $\Phi \circ \eta = \widetilde{\eta}$. Moreover, for each $t \in [0,\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, Φ a.s. pushes forward the natural boundary length measure on the connected component of $H \setminus \eta([0,t])$ containing the target point of η on its boundary to the natural boundary length measure on the connected component of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ containing the target point of $\widetilde{\eta}$ on its boundary (these boundary length measures are well-defined since we know the internal metric on the connected component is that of a Brownian disk).*

Then $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\eta})$ is equivalent (as a curve-decorated metric measure space) to a doubly-marked free Boltzmann Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$ together with an independent chordal SLE_6 *between the two marked points, parameterized by quantum natural time.*

Theorem 2.7 is the key tool in the proofs of Theorem 1.2; it will be used to identify the law of a subsequential limit of the curve-decorated metric measure spaces in that theorem.

Again suppose that $(\mathbb{D}, h, -i, i)$ is a doubly-marked quantum disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$ and that η is an independent chordal SLE_6 from $-i$ to i, parameterized by quantum natural time with respect to h. Also let Z be its left/right boundary length process. We end this subsection by pointing out the manner in which the left/right boundary length process Z encodes the topology of the pair $(\mathbb{D}, \eta)$ and the quantum length measure on the complementary connected components of η, which will be needed in Section 7.3. We first observe that if $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \sigma_0]$ with $t_1 < t_2$ and $\eta(t_1) = \eta(t_2)$, then

$$L_{t_1} = L_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} L_s \quad \text{or} \quad R_{t_1} = R_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} R_s; \tag{2.10}$$

indeed, in this case $\eta(t_1)$ lies on the outer boundary of $\eta([0, t_2 - \epsilon])$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ and at time t_2 the curve η must disconnect the boundary arc of η between $\eta(t_1)$ and $\eta(t_2)$ from the target point i, so (2.10) follows from the definition of the boundary length process. Similar considerations show that if η hits the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) arc of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ from $-i$ to i at time $t > 0$, then L (resp. R) attains a running infimum at time t and L_t (resp. R_t) gives the quantum length of the arc of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ between $\eta(t)$ and $-i$ which does not include the target point i.

One can also describe the quantum boundary length measure on the connected components of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \eta([0,t])$ in terms of Z. If we let

$$T_t^L(u) := \sup\{s \leq t : L_s \leq L_t - u\}, \quad \forall u \in \left[0, L_t - \inf_{s \in [0,t]} L_s\right]$$

then it follows from the definition of the left/right boundary length process that $\eta(T_t^L(u))$ is the point on the outer boundary of $\eta([0,t])$ lying to the left of $\eta(t)$ with the property that the counterclockwise arc of the

outer boundary of $\eta([0,t])$ from $\eta(T_t^L(u))$ to $\eta(t)$ is equal to u. A similar statement holds with "left" in place of "right". Furthermore, if η disconnects a bubble B from i at time τ which lies to the left of η then

$$(L_{\tau^-} - L_\tau) \wedge \left(L_{\tau^-} - \inf_{s \in [0,\tau)]} L_s \right)$$

is the quantum length of the boundary segment of B which is traced by η (the rest of ∂B is part of $\partial \mathbb{D}$) and for $u \in [0, L_{\tau^-} - \inf_{s\in[0,\tau)]} L_s]$, if we let

$$T_\tau(u) := \sup\{s \leq t : L_s \leq L_{\tau^-} - u\}$$

then $\eta(T_\tau(u))$ the point of ∂B such that the clockwise arc of ∂B from $\eta(\tau)$ to $\eta(T_\tau(u))$ has quantum length u. Similar statements hold for bubbles disconnected from i on the right side of η.

We note that, as explained in [DMS14, Figure 1.18, Line 3], in the infinite-volume case the curve-decorated topological space $(\mathbb{H}, \eta^\infty)$ can be expressed as an explicit functional of the boundary length process Z^∞. By local absolute continuity one has a similar description for the topology of $(\mathbb{D}, \eta)$ in terms of Z.

3 Peeling of the UIHPQ with simple boundary

In this section, we will review the peeling procedure for the UIHPQ_S and for free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary (also known as the spatial Markov property) and formally define the percolation peeling process for face percolation on a quadrangulation, which is the main object of study in this paper. The first rigorous use of peeling was in [Ang03], in the context of the uniform infinite planar triangulation. The peeling procedure was later adapted to the case of the uniform infinite planar quadrangulation [BC13]. In this paper, we will only be interested peeling on the UIHPQ_S and on free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary, which is also studied, e.g., in [AC15, AR15, Ric15, GM16a, CC16, GM17c].

In Section 3.1, we will review some basic estimates for the free Boltzmann distribution on quadrangulations with simple boundary and given perimeter. In Section 3, we will review the definition of peeling and introduce notation for the objects involved (which is largely consistent with that of [GM16a]). In Section 3.2.3, we will review some formulas and estimates for peeling probabilities. In Section 3.3 we will define the face percolation peeling process, which is main peeling process which we will be interested in this paper and which is used to define the peeling exploration paths in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In Section 3.4 we will discuss how this peeling process is related to face percolation interfaces.

3.1 Estimates for free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary

Recall the set $\mathcal{Q}_\text{S}^\rightarrow(n,l)$ of rooted quadrangulations with simple boundary having $2l$ boundary edges and n interior vertices, the free Boltzmann distribution on quadrangulations with simple boundary of perimeter $2l$ from Definition 1.1, and the associated partition function $\mathfrak{Z}$ from (1.1). Stirling's formula implies that for each even $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathfrak{Z}(l) = (c_\mathfrak{Z} + o_l(1)) 54^{l/2} l^{-5/2} \tag{3.1}$$

for $c_\mathfrak{Z} > 0$ a universal constant. By [BG09, Equation (2.11)] (c.f. [AC15, Section 2.2]),

$$\#\mathcal{Q}_\text{S}^\rightarrow(n,l) = 3^{n-1} \frac{(3l)!(3l-3+2n)!}{n!l!(2l-1)!(n+3l-1)!} = C_\text{S}^\rightarrow(l)(1+o_n(1)) 12^n n^{-5/2} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$C_\text{S}^\rightarrow(l) = \frac{8^{l-1}(3l)!}{3\sqrt{\pi} l!(2l-1)!} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3\pi}} 54^{l/2} \sqrt{l}. \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3), we obtain the tail distribution of the number of interior vertices of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $2l$: if $(Q, \mathbb{e})$ is such a random quadrangulation, then

$$\mathbb{P}[\#\mathcal{V}(Q \setminus \partial Q) = n] = (c + o_l(1))(1 + o_n(1)) l^3 n^{-5/2} \tag{3.4}$$

where $c > 0$ is a universal constant, the rate of the $o_l(1)$ is universal, and the rate of the $o_n(1)$ depends on l.

3.2 The peeling procedure

3.2.1 General definitions for peeling

Let Q be a finite or infinite quadrangulation with simple boundary. For an edge $e \in \mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$, we let $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$ be the quadrilateral of Q containing e on its boundary or $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e) = \emptyset$ if $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(0,1)$ is the trivial one-edge quadrangulation with no interior faces. If $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e) \neq \emptyset$, the quadrilateral $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$ has either two, three, or four vertices in ∂Q, so divides Q into at most three connected components, whose union includes all of the vertices of Q and all of the edges of Q except for e. These components have a natural cyclic ordering inherited from the cyclic ordering of their intersections with ∂Q. We write

$$\mathfrak{P}(Q,e) \in \{\emptyset\} \cup (\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}) \cup (\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\})^2 \cup (\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\})^3$$

for the vector whose elements are the number of edges of each of these components shared by ∂Q, listed in counterclockwise cyclic order, or $\mathfrak{P}(Q,e) = \emptyset$ if $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e) = \emptyset$. If $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and the ith component of $\mathfrak{P}(Q,e)$ is k, then the total boundary length of the ith connected component of $Q \setminus \mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$ in counterclockwise cyclic order if there is only one such component $k+3$, and otherwise is $k+1$ (resp. $k+2$, ∞) if k is odd (resp. even, ∞). We refer to $\mathfrak{P}(Q,e)$ as the *peeling indicator*. The procedure of extracting $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$ and $\mathfrak{P}(Q,e)$ from (Q,e) will be referred to as *peeling Q at e*. See Figure 2 for an illustration of the possible cases that can arise when peeling Q at e.



Figure 2: A finite rooted quadrangulation with simple boundary $(Q,e) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(n,20)$ together with three different cases for the peeled quadrilateral $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$ (shown in light blue). In the left panel, we have $\mathfrak{P}(Q,e) = 19$. In the middle panel, we have $\mathfrak{P}(Q,e) = (11,8)$. In the right panel, we have $\mathfrak{P}(Q,e) = (5,11,3)$.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{e}_* \in \partial Q \setminus \{e\}$ or that ∂Q is infinite and $\mathfrak{e}_* = \infty$.

- Let $\mathrm{Peel}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$ be the connected component of $Q \setminus \mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$ with $\mathfrak{e}_*$ on its boundary, or $\mathrm{Peel}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e) = \emptyset$ if $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e) = \emptyset$ (equivalently $(Q,e) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\rightarrow}(0,1)$).
- Let $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$ be the union of the components of $Q \setminus \mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$ other than $\mathrm{Peel}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$ or $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e) = \emptyset$ if $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e) = \emptyset$.
- Let $\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$ be the number of *exposed edges* of $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$, i.e. the number of edges of $\mathrm{Peel}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$ which do not belong to ∂Q (equivalently, those which are adjacent to $\mathfrak{f}(Q,e)$).
- Let $\mathrm{Co}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$ be the number of *covered edges* of ∂Q, i.e. the number of edges of ∂Q which do not belong to $\mathrm{Peel}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$ (equivalently, one plus the number of such edges which belong to $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$). Also let $\mathrm{Co}^L_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Co}^R_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e)$) be the number of *left (resp. right) covered edges*, i.e., the number of covered edges lying in the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) arc of ∂Q from e to $\mathfrak{e}_*$, with e not included in either arc and $\mathfrak{e}_*$ included in the clockwise arc, so that

$$\mathrm{Co}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e) = \mathrm{Co}^L_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e) + \mathrm{Co}^R_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(Q,e) + 1. \tag{3.5}$$

3.2.2 Markov property and peeling processes

If $(Q, \mathbb{e})$ is a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $2l$ for $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ (recall that $l = \infty$ corresponds to the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$) and we condition on $\mathfrak{P}(Q, \mathbb{e})$, then the connected components of $Q \setminus \mathfrak{f}(Q, \mathbb{e})$ are conditionally independent. The conditional law of each of the connected components, rooted at one of the edges of $\mathfrak{f}(Q, \mathbb{e})$ on its boundary (chosen by some deterministic convention in the case when there is more than one such edge), is the free Boltzmann distribution on quadrangulations with simple boundary and perimeter $2\widetilde{l}$ (Definition 1.1), for a $\sigma(\mathfrak{P}(Q, \mathbb{e}))$-measurable choice of $\widetilde{l} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. These facts are collectively referred to as the *Markov property of peeling*.

Due to the Markov property of peeling, one can iteratively peel a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with boundary to obtain a sequence of nested free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary. To make this notion precise, let $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and let $(Q, \mathbb{e}_*)$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary with perimeter $2l$; we also allow $\mathbb{e}_* = \infty$ in the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case (when $l = \infty$). A *peeling procedure* of Q targeted at $\mathbb{e}_*$ is described by a sequence of quadrangulations with simple boundary $\overline{Q}_j \subset Q$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, called the *unexplored quadrangulations*, such that the following is true.

1. We have $\overline{Q}_0 = Q$ and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which $\overline{Q}_{j-1} \neq \emptyset$, we have $\dot{e}_j \in \mathcal{E}(\partial \overline{Q}_{j-1})$ and $\overline{Q}_j = \mathrm{Peel}_{\mathbb{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which $\overline{Q}_{j-1} = \emptyset$, we also have $\overline{Q}_j = \emptyset$.
2. Each edge $\dot{e}_{j+1}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is chosen in a manner which is measurable with respect to the σ-algebra generated by the peeling indicator variables $\mathfrak{P}(\overline{Q}_{i-1}, \dot{e}_i)$ for $i \in [1, j]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the peeling cluster $\dot{Q}_j := (Q \setminus \overline{Q}_j) \cup (\partial \overline{Q}_j \setminus \partial Q)$.

It follows from the Markov property of peeling that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the conditional law of $(\overline{Q}_j, \dot{e}_{j+1})$ given the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_j$ of condition 2 is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with perimeter $2\widetilde{l}$ for some $\widetilde{l} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ which is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_j$ (where here a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with perimeter 0 is taken to be the empty set).

3.2.3 Peeling formulas and estimates

Let $(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ be a UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$. As explained in [AC15, Section 2.3.1], the distribution of the peeling indicator of Section 3.2.1 when we peel at the root edge is described as follows, where here $\mathfrak{Z}$ is the free Boltzmann partition function from (1.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{P}(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) = \infty] &= \frac{3}{8} \\
\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{P}(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) = (k, \infty)] &= \frac{1}{12} 54^{(1-k)/2} \mathfrak{Z}(k+1), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ odd} \\
\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{P}(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) = (k, \infty)] &= \frac{1}{12} 54^{-k/2} \mathfrak{Z}(k+2), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ even} \\
\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{P}(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) = (k_1, k_2, \infty)] &= 54^{-(k_1+k_2)/2} \mathfrak{Z}(k_1+1) \mathfrak{Z}(k_2+1), \quad \forall k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ odd}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We get the same formulas if we replace (k, ∞) with (∞, k) or (k_1, k_2, ∞) with either (∞, k_1, k_2) or (k_1, ∞, k_2) (which corresponds to changing which side of $\mathbb{e}^\infty$ the bounded complementary connected components of $\mathfrak{f}(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ lie on).

From (3.1), we infer the following approximate versions of the probabilities (3.6).

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{P}(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) = (k, \infty)] &\asymp k^{-5/2}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \\
\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{P}(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) = (k_1, k_2, \infty)] &\asymp k_1^{-5/2} k_2^{-5/2}, \quad \forall k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ odd}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We get the same approximate formulas if we replace (k, ∞) with (∞, k) or (k_1, k_2, ∞) with either (∞, k_1, k_2) or (k_1, ∞, k_2).

Recall from Section 3.2.1 the definitions of the number of exposed edges $\mathrm{Ex}_\infty(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ and the number of covered edges $\mathrm{Co}_\infty(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ and left/right covered edges $\mathrm{Co}_\infty^L(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ and $\mathrm{Co}_\infty^R(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ when we peel targeted at ∞.

By [AC15, Proposition 3],

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Ex}_\infty(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)] = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{Co}_\infty^L(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{Co}_\infty^R(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)\big] = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.8}$$

whence

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Co}_\infty(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Ex}_\infty(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)] = 2, \tag{3.9}$$

so in particular the expected net change in the boundary length of Q^∞ under the peeling operation is 0. We always have $\mathrm{Ex}_\infty(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, but $\mathrm{Co}_\infty(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ can be arbitrarily large. In fact, a straightforward calculation using (3.6) shows that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\mathrm{Co}_\infty^L(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) = k\big] = (\mathbb{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}} + o_k(1))k^{-5/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}\big[\mathrm{Co}_\infty^R(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty) = k\big] = (\mathbb{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}} + o_k(1))k^{-5/2} \tag{3.10}$$

for $\mathbb{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}} = \frac{10\sqrt{2}}{27\sqrt{3\pi}}$.

3.3 The face percolation peeling process

In this subsection we will define the percolation peeling process, which is the main peeling process we will consider in this paper. This peeling process leads to the definition of the percolation exploration paths λ and λ^∞ appearing in Theorems 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, respectively. See Figure 3 for an illustration.

Let $l_L, l_R \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that l_L and l_R are either both odd, both even, or both ∞. Let $l := l_L + l_R$, so that l is either even or ∞, and let $(Q, \mathbb{e})$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and perimeter l (so that $(Q, \mathbb{e})$ is a UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ if $l = \infty$). Throughout this paper, when we want to refer to just the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case $l = \infty$ we will add a superscript ∞ to all of the objects involved; and when we take l_L and l_R to depend on n, we will add a superscript n.

Let $\beta : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$ be the counterclockwise boundary path of Q with $\beta(0) = \mathbb{e}$, extended by periodicity in the finite case, and define the target edge

$$\mathbb{e}_* := \begin{cases} \beta(l_R + 1), & l < \infty \\ \infty, & l = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Conditional on $(Q, \mathbb{e})$, let $\theta = \{\theta(q) : q \in \mathcal{F}(Q) \setminus \{f_\infty\}\}$ be a collection of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables which assign to each quadrilateral of Q the number 0 with probability 3/4 and the number 1 with probability 1/4. We say that quadrilaterals with $\theta(q) = 0$ are *white* or *open* and quadrilaterals with $\theta(q) = 0$ are *black* or *closed*.

To assign boundary conditions to Q, we attach a quadrilateral q_e lying in the external face of Q to each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$. We call the quadrilaterals q_e *external quadrilaterals* and their edges and vertices *external edges and vertices*, respectively. We also define the extended quadrangulation

$$Q^{\mathrm{ext}} := Q \cup \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\partial Q)} q_e. \tag{3.11}$$

We declare the $\theta(q_e) = 0$ for each edge e in the clockwise arc of ∂Q from $\mathbb{e}$ to $\mathbb{e}_*$ (including $\mathbb{e}$ and also $\mathbb{e}_*$ if $l < \infty$) and $\theta(q) = 1$ for each edge e in the counterclockwise arc of ∂Q from $\mathbb{e}$ to $\mathbb{e}_*$. We then identify any two external edges which have a common endpoint in ∂Q and which are incident to external faces of the same color. We declare that an edge $e \in \mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$ is *white* (resp. *black*) if it is incident to a white (resp. black) external quadrilateral. In other words, we impose l_L-white/l_R-black or l_L-open/l_R-closed boundary conditions.

We define a peeling process on Q started from $\mathbb{e}$ and targeted at $\mathbb{e}_*$, which we call the *percolation peeling process of* $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ *with* l_L*-white/*l_R*-black boundary conditions* as follows. Let $\overline{Q}_0 = Q$ and let $\dot{Q}_0 = \emptyset$. Inductively, suppose $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have defined quadrangulations with boundary $\overline{Q}_i$ and $\dot{Q}_i$ for $i \in [0, j-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in such a way that the following holds.

1. $\overline{Q}_i \cup \dot{Q}_i = Q$ and $\overline{Q}_i$ and $\dot{Q}_i$ intersect only along their boundaries.



Figure 3: **Top:** The percolation peeling process in the case when $l_L = l_R = \infty$, in which case $(Q, \mathbb{e}) = (Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ is a UIHPQ$_S$ and we denote objects associated with the percolation peeling process by a superscript ∞. The external quadrilaterals used to define boundary conditions are shown below the horizontal line indicating the boundary of Q^∞. The peeled edges $\dot{e}_j^\infty$ for $j \in [1,7]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are shown in red, the peeled quadrilaterals are colored according to the face percolation configuration, and the regions disconnected from ∞ by the percolation peeling process (which, along with the peeled quadrilaterals, belong to $\dot{Q}_7^\infty$) are shown in light green. **Bottom:** Same setup as in the top panel but with one possible choice of the percolation exploration path λ^∞ shown in red and a possible realization of the associated percolation interface $\mathring{\lambda}_*^\infty$ started from the right endpoint $\mathbb{v}^\infty$ of $\mathbb{e}^\infty$ shown in blue (the realization depends on the colors of the quadrilaterals inside the green regions, which are not shown). Note that $\lambda^\infty(j) = \dot{e}_j^\infty$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, but $\lambda^\infty(j)$ is allowed to traverse edges which are not among the e_j^∞'s and/or to re-trace itself at half-integer times in order to get a continuous path. Although the percolation path $\mathring{\lambda}_*^\infty$ does not coincide with λ^∞, Lemma 3.1 tells us that λ_*^∞ hits the endpoint $\dot{v}_j^\infty$ of $\dot{e}_j^\infty$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and does not exit the cluster $\dot{Q}_j^\infty$ until after it hits $\dot{v}_j^\infty$.

2. Either $\overline{Q}_i = \emptyset$ and $\dot{Q}_i = Q$; or $\partial\overline{Q}_i$ is a simple path containing $\mathbb{e}_*$ which has white-black boundary conditions, i.e. there is an edge $\dot{e}_{i+1} \in \partial\overline{Q}_i$ such that $\dot{e}_{i+1}$ is incident to a white quadrilateral lying in the external face of $\overline{Q}_i$ and each edge of $\partial\overline{Q}_i$ lying in the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) arc of $\partial\overline{Q}_i$ from $\dot{e}_{i+1}$ to $\mathbb{e}_*$ is incident to a white (resp. black) quadrilateral lying in the external face of $\overline{Q}_i$.

If $\overline{Q}_{j-1} = \emptyset$, we set $\overline{Q}_j = \emptyset$ and $\dot{Q}_j = Q$. Otherwise, we peel the quadrilateral of $\overline{Q}_{j-1}$ incident to $\dot{e}_j$ and add the subgraph which it disconnects from $\mathbb{e}_*$ in $\overline{Q}_{j-1}$ to $\dot{Q}_{j-1}$, i.e., in the notation of Section 3.2.1 we set

$$\dot{Q}_j := \dot{Q}_{j-1} \cup \mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) \cup \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{Q}_j = \text{Peel}_{\mathbb{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j).$$

It is clear that $\overline{Q}_j$ and $\dot{Q}_j$ satisfy the above two conditions with j in place of i, which completes the induction. We note that $\overline{Q}_j = \emptyset$ and $\dot{Q}_j = Q$ if and only if $\dot{e}_j = \mathbb{e}_*$.

We define the terminal time

$$\mathcal{J} := \inf\big\{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \overline{Q}_j = \emptyset\big\}, \tag{3.12}$$

and note that $\mathcal{J} = \infty$ in the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case (i.e., when $l = \infty$). We also write

$$\theta^j := \theta\big(\mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)\big), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$$

for the Bernoulli random variable indicating the color of the jth peeled quadrilateral. For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ define the σ-algebra

$$\mathcal{F}_j := \sigma\Big(\dot{Q}_i, \mathfrak{P}(\overline{Q}_{i-1}, \dot{e}_i), \theta^i \,:\, i \in [1,j]_{\mathbb{Z}}\Big) \tag{3.13}$$

where here $\mathfrak{P}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the peeling indicator (Section 3.2.1). Note that $\dot{e}_{j+1} \in \mathcal{F}_j$.

For each $j \in [1, \mathcal{J}-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the jth peeled quadrilateral $\mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)$ shares at least one vertex with the next peeled edge $\dot{e}_{j+1}$. Hence we can define a path $\lambda : [0, \mathcal{J}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{E}(Q)$ from $\mathbb{e}$ to $\mathbb{e}_*$ such that $\lambda(j) = \dot{e}_j$ and $\lambda(j+1/2)$ is an edge on the boundary of $\mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)$ for each $j \in [0, \mathcal{J}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$. Here we note that we do not require the edges of a path to be oriented in a consistent manner (recall Section 2.1.2). We extend λ from $[0, \mathcal{J}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$ to $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ by setting $\lambda(j) = \mathbb{e}_*$ for $j \geq \mathcal{J}$. We call λ the *percolation exploration path*.

3.4 Face percolation interfaces

Suppose we are in the setting of Section 3.3, so that $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ is a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and (possibly infinite) perimeter $l_L + l_R$ and $\lambda : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathcal{E}(Q)$ is the percolation exploration path with l_L-white/l_R-black boundary conditions. The path $\mathring{\lambda}$ is *not* a percolation interface, but it is closely related to a certain percolation interface, as we explain in this section.

We say that two white quadrilaterals of Q are θ-adjacent if they share an *edge* and we say that two black quadrilaterals of Q are θ-adjacent if they share a *vertex*; we emphasize here the asymmetry between black and white quadrilaterals (which is related to the fact that the percolation threshold $3/4$, not $1/2$).

A set of quadrilaterals $F \subset \mathcal{F}(Q) \setminus \{f_\infty\}$ is *θ-connected* if every quadrilateral $q, q' \in F$ is the same color and any two quadrilaterals in F can be joined by a θ-adjacent path in F, i.e. a finite string of quadrilaterals $q_0, \dots, q_n \in F$ such that $q_0 = q$, $q_n = q'$, and q_i is θ-adjacent to q_{i-1} for each $i \in [1,n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. A *white (resp. black) cluster* is a subgraph S of Q such that the face set $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is a white (resp. black) θ-connected component of F and the vertex and edge sets of F consist, respectively, of all vertices and edges of quadrilaterals in $\mathcal{F}(S)$.

A path $\mathring{\lambda} : [a,b]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{E}(Q)$ is an *interface path* if the following is true. If we orient the edges $\mathring{\lambda}(i)$ for $i \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in such a way that the terminal endpoint of $\mathring{\lambda}(i)$ is the same as the initial endpoint of $\mathring{\lambda}(i+1)$ for each $i \in [a, b-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, then each edge $\mathring{\lambda}(i)$ has a white quadrilateral to its left and a black quadrilateral to its right. Equivalently, $\mathring{\lambda}$ traces a segment of the boundary of some white cluster of Q in the counterclockwise direction.

It is immediate from the above definition that an interface path either has no repeated edges or is a subset of a periodic path with no repeated edges (it may, however, have repeated vertices). Furthermore, two distinct interface paths cannot cross (although they can share a vertex), and two interface paths which share an edge must be sub-paths of some common interface path.

For each edge e of Q which is incident to both a white quadrilateral and a black quadrilateral, there is a unique infinite interface path $\mathring{\lambda} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{E}(Q)$ satisfying $\mathring{\lambda}(0) = e$, which traces the boundary of the white cluster containing the quadrilateral to the left of e in the counterclockwise direction. This path is simple if this cluster is infinite or periodic if it is finite.

Let $\mathbb{v}$ (resp. $\mathbb{v}_*$) be the terminal endpoint of $\mathbb{e}$ (resp. the initial endpoint of the target edge $\mathbb{e}_*$), so that $\mathbb{v}$ and $\mathbb{v}_*$ are the unique vertices of ∂Q which are incident to both black and white external quadrilaterals. Then there is a distinguished interface path $\mathring{\lambda}_* : [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{E}(Q)$ in Q^{ext} (notation as in (3.11)) from $\mathbb{v}_*$ to $\mathbb{v}$, namely the path which traces the segment of the boundary of the white cluster containing all of the white external faces from $\mathbb{v}$ to $\mathbb{v}_*$ in the counterclockwise direction. We extend $\mathring{\lambda}_*$ from $[1,N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $[0, N+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by declaring that $\mathring{\lambda}_*(0)$ (resp. $\mathring{\lambda}_*(N+1)$) is the external edge of Q which is incident to $\mathbb{v}$ (resp. $\mathbb{v}_*$) and to a white external quadrilateral.

The aforementioned interface path $\mathring{\lambda}_*$ is *not* the same as the percolation exploration path λ produced via peeling. However, it is related to the percolation peeling clusters $\{\dot{Q}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in the following manner. See Figure 3 for an illustration.

Lemma 3.1. *For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\dot{v}_j$ be the right endpoint of the peeled edge $\dot{e}_j$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a unique $s_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\dot{v}_{j+1}$ is the terminal endpoint of $\mathring{\lambda}_*(s_j)$ and $\mathring{\lambda}_*([0, s_j]_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in the peeling cluster $\dot{Q}_j$. Furthermore, $s_j \le s_{j'}$ whenever $j \le j'$.*

Proof. Let $\dot{Q}_j^{\mathrm{ext}}$ be the quadrangulation obtained by adjoining all of the external quadrilaterals of Q to the cluster $\dot{Q}_j$. Then $\dot{Q}_j^{\mathrm{ext}}$ is a quadrangulation with simple boundary. Furthermore, every quadrilateral of $\dot{Q}_j^{\mathrm{ext}}$ incident to the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) arc of $\partial \dot{Q}_j^{\mathrm{ext}}$ from $\mathbb{v}$ to $\dot{v}_{j+1}$ belongs to the same white (resp. black) cluster of $\dot{Q}_j^{\mathrm{ext}}$ (this follows since we always peel at a white edge). Hence there is a distinguished interface path $\mathring{\lambda}$ in $\dot{Q}_j^{\mathrm{ext}}$ from $\mathbb{v}$ to $\dot{v}_{j+1}$, namely the right outer boundary of the white cluster of $\dot{Q}_j^{\mathrm{ext}}$ containing all of the white external faces. Since this white cluster is the intersection with $\dot{Q}_j^{\mathrm{ext}}$ of the white cluster of Q^{ext} containing the white external faces, we infer that $\mathring{\lambda} = \mathring{\lambda}_*|_{[0,s_j]_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ for some $s_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This choice of s_j is unique since the interface path $\mathring{\lambda}_*$ is a simple path. The monotonicity statement for the s_j's follows since $\mathring{\lambda}_*([0, s_j]_{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset \dot{Q}_j$ and the clusters $\dot{Q}_j$ are increasing. □

Remark 3.2. Suppose we are in the setting of Theorem 1.2, so that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Q^n is a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $l_L^n + l_R^n$ and d^n is its rescaled graph metric. Also let $\mathring{\lambda}_*^n$ be the interface path from Lemma 3.1, with its domain extended to $\mathbb{N}_0$ in such a way that it is constant after its terminal time and then to $[0, \infty)$ by linear interpolation. Once Theorem 1.2 is established, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that the d^n-Hausdorff distance between $\lambda_*^n([0,\infty))$ and the range $\lambda^n([0,\infty))$ of the percolation exploration path tends to zero in law as $n \to \infty$: indeed, this comes from the fact that $\lambda^n([0,\infty))$ has only finitely many complementary connected components of d^n-diameter at least ϵ for each $\epsilon > 0$, and the law of the time length of the segment of $\mathring{\lambda}_*^n$ contained in each such connected component is typically of constant order. We do not prove in the present paper that there is a constant $\mathring{\mathbb{s}} > 0$ such $\mathring{\lambda}_*^n(\mathring{\mathbb{s}} n^{3/4} \cdot)$ converges uniformly to the same limiting path as $\eta^n = \lambda^n(\mathbb{s} n^{3/4} \cdot)$, but we expect this can be accomplished with some additional technical work. Note that in the case of site percolation on a triangulation the analogs of the paths λ^n and $\mathring{\lambda}_*^n$ coincide; see Section 8.

4 Boundary length processes

4.1 Definition of the boundary length processes

Let $l_L, l_R \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be such that l_L and l_R are either both odd, both even, or both ∞. Let $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $l_L + l_R$ decorated by a face percolation configuration and define the clusters $\{\dot{Q}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and the unexplored quadrangulations $\{\overline{Q}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of the percolation peeling process of $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ with l_L-white/l_R-black boundary conditions as in Section 3.3. In this section we will study the boundary length processes for the percolation peeling process, which we now define. Unlike in the case of general peeling processes (see, e.g., [GM17c, Definition 3.2]) it is natural to consider left and right peeling processes since the boundary of the percolation peeling clusters have a natural notion of left and right sides.

Definition 4.1. The *left (resp. right) exposed boundary length process* X_j^L (resp. X_j^R) at time $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is equal to the number of edges of $\partial \overline{Q}_j \cap \partial \dot{Q}_j$ which are adjacent to a white (resp. black) quadrilateral in $\dot{Q}_j$, equivalently the number of edges of $\partial \overline{Q}_j \cap \partial \dot{Q}_j$ lying weakly to the left (resp. strictly to the right) of $\dot{e}_{j+1}$. The *left (resp. right) covered boundary length process* Y_j^L (resp. Y_j^R) at time $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the number of edges of $\partial Q \setminus \partial \overline{Q}_j$ which are adjacent to a white (resp. black) quadrilateral lying in the external face of Q, equivalently the number of edges of $\dot{Q}_j \cap \partial Q$ lying to weakly to the left (resp. strictly to the right) of $\mathbb{e}$. The *left, right, and total net boundary length processes* are defined, respectively, by

$$W_j^L := X_j^L - Y_j^L, \quad W_j^R := X_j^R - Y_j^R, \quad \text{and} \quad W_j := (W_j^L, W_j^R), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We include an additional superscript ∞ in the notation for the above objects when we wish to discuss only the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case, when $l_L = l_R = \infty$; and an additional superscript n when we take l_L and l_R to depend on n.

Note that $W_j = (-l_L, -l_R)$ for each j after the terminal time $\mathcal{J}$ defined in (3.12).

The process $W = (W^L, W^R)$ is the discrete analog of the left/right boundary length process for chordal SLE_6 on an independent $\sqrt{8/3}$-quantum surface (Section 2.3.3), so it is natural to expect W to converge in the scaling limit to this latter process both in the finite-volume and infinite-volume cases. Before we prove this convergence, we record some elementary observations which will be used frequently in what follows.

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the boundary length of the unexplored quadrangulation is given by

$$\#\mathcal{E}(\partial \overline{Q}_j) = W_j^L + W_j^R + l_L + l_R. \tag{4.1}$$

One can almost recover the pairs (X^L, Y^L) and (X^R, Y^R) from the two-dimensional process W in the following manner. If jth peeled quadrilateral $\mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)$ disconnects an edge in $\partial Q \cap \partial \overline{Q}_{j-1}$ adjacent to a white quadrilateral in the external face from the target edge $\mathfrak{e}_*$ in $\overline{Q}_{j-1}$, then $\mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)$ also disconnects every edge in $\partial \overline{Q}_{j-1} \cap \partial \dot{Q}_{j-1}$ adjacent to a white quadrilateral of $\dot{Q}_{j-1}$ from $\mathfrak{e}_*$ in $\overline{Q}_{j-1}$. If this is the case, then $X_j^L \le 3$ and W_j^L differs from the running minimum of W^L up to time j by at most 3 (the 3 comes from the exposed edges of $\mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)$ in case this quadrilateral is white). Similar considerations apply to W^R. Therefore,

$$\min_{i \in [0,j]_{\mathbb{Z}}} W_i^L \in \left[-Y_j^L, -Y_j^L + 3\right]_{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad W_j^L - \min_{i \in [0,j]_{\mathbb{Z}}} W_i^L \in \left[X_j^L - 3, X_j^L\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}; \tag{4.2}$$

and similarly with "R" in place of "L".

With $\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\cdot,\cdot)$, $\mathrm{Co}^L_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\cdot,\cdot)$, $\mathrm{Co}^R_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\cdot,\cdot)$, and $\mathrm{Co}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\cdot,\cdot)$ the number of exposed, left/right covered, and covered edges with respect to the target edge, respectively, as in Section 3.2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} W_j^L - W_{j-1}^L &= (1-\theta_j)\,\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) - \mathrm{Co}^L_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) - 1 \\ W_j^R - W_{j-1}^R &= \theta_j\,\mathrm{Ex}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) - \mathrm{Co}^R_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j), \quad \text{and} \\ W_j^L + W_j^R - W_{j-1}^L - W_{j-1}^R &= \mathrm{Ex}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) - \mathrm{Co}_{\mathfrak{e}_*}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Recall (3.5) for the last line. The -1 in the first line comes from the fact that the white edge $\dot{e}_j$ itself is always disconnected from $\mathfrak{e}_*$ by the peeled quadrilateral $\mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)$.

The indicator variable θ_j is independent from $\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$ and $\mathfrak{P}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j)$ and equals 0 with probability 3/4 and 1 with probability 1/4. In the case when $l = \infty$ (so that $(Q, \mathfrak{e}) = (Q^\infty, \mathfrak{e}^\infty)$ is a UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$), it follows from (4.3) that the increments $W_j^\infty - W_{j-1}^\infty$ are i.i.d. Furthermore, by (3.8),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W_j^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R}\right] = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

and by (3.10),

$$\mathbb{P}\left[W_j^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L} = k\right] = (\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}} + o_k(1))k^{-5/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}\left[W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R} = k\right] = (\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}} + o_k(1))k^{-5/2}. \tag{4.5}$$

The main goal of the remainder of this section is to compute the limits of the laws of the following objects in the Skorokhod topology (Section 2.1.4).

Definition 4.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the re-normalized (net) boundary length processes

$$L_t^n := \mathfrak{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} W^L_{\lfloor \mathfrak{s} n^{3/4} t \rfloor}, \quad R_t^n := \mathfrak{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} W^R_{\lfloor \mathfrak{s} n^{3/4} t \rfloor}, \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t^n := (L_t^n, R_t^n) \tag{4.6}$$

where here $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{3/2}/3$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ are the normalizing constants from Section 1.3. We include an additional superscript ∞ in the notation for the above objects when we wish to discuss only the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case.

The reason for the factor of $\mathfrak{c}^{-1}$ in Definition 4.2 is that in the scaling limit results for quadrangulations with simple boundary [GM16c, GM17c] the boundary path is pre-composed with $t \mapsto \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} t$ so one edge along the boundary of such a quadrangulation corresponds to approximately $\mathfrak{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2}$ units of boundary length in the scaling limit. The reason for the factor of $\mathfrak{s}$ is so that the scaling of the Lévy measure for the scaling limits of $L^{\infty,n}$ and $R^{\infty,n}$ is the same as for the 3/2-stable processes in Section 2.3.3.

4.2 Scaling limit of the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ boundary length processes

In this brief subsection we obtain the limit of the laws of the rescaled boundary length processes of Definition 4.2 in the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case. The scaling limit in the case of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with finite boundary (i.e., $l_L, l_R < \infty$) will be deduced from this case and a local absolute continuity argument. We recall from Section 2.3.3 that the left/right boundary length process for chordal SLE$_6$ on the Brownian half-plane is a pair of independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no upward jumps.

Lemma 4.3. *In the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case (i.e., $l_L = l_R = \infty$) it holds for a suitable choice of $\mathbb{s} > 0$ that the re-normalized boundary length processes $Z^{\infty,n} = (L^{\infty,n}, R^{\infty,n})$ converge in law as $n \to \infty$ with respect to the local Skorokhod topology (Section 2.1.4) to a pair $Z^\infty = (L^\infty, R^\infty)$ of independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no positive jumps (scaled so that (2.7) holds).*

For the proof of Lemma 4.3, we will need the following elementary estimate to show that the coordinates of the limiting process do not have simultaneous jumps (which, as we will see, will imply that they are independent).

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose we are in the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case (i.e., $l_L = l_R = \infty$). For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $E(m,k)$ be the event that there exist times $j_L, j_R \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that both $W^{\infty,L}_{j_L} - W^{\infty,L}_{j_L-1} \leq -k$ and $W^{\infty,R}_{j_R} - W^{\infty,R}_{j_R-1} \leq -k$. Then $\mathbb{P}[E(m,k)] \preceq k^{-3}m^2$ with universal implicit constant.*

Proof. If $E(m,k)$ occurs, let j_L and j_R be the smallest times in $[1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying the conditions in the definition of $E(m,k)$. We will treat the case where $j_L = j_R$ and the case where $j_L \neq j_R$ separately.

If $j_L = j_R$, then by (4.3) there is a $j \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Co}^{L}_{\mathbb{e}_*}(\overline{Q}^\infty_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) \geq k-1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Co}^{\infty,R}_{\mathbb{e}_*}(\overline{Q}^\infty_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) \geq k.$$

By (3.7) and the Markov property of peeling, the probability that this is the case for any fixed time j is at most

$$\sum_{k_1=k}^\infty \sum_{k_2=k-1}^\infty \mathbb{P}\left[\mathfrak{P}_\infty\left(\overline{Q}^\infty_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j\right) = (k_1, \infty, k_2)\right] \preceq k^{-3}.$$

Taking a union bound over $k \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ shows that $\mathbb{P}[E(m,k),\, j_L = j_R] \preceq k^{-3}m$.

To treat the case when $j_L \neq j_R$, let J_1 (resp. J_2) be the first (resp. second) time in $[1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for which the total number of covered edges satisfies $\mathrm{Co}_{\mathbb{e}_*}(\overline{Q}^\infty_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) \geq k$, or ∞ if there are fewer than one (resp. two) such times. If $E(m,k)$ occurs and $j_L \neq j_R$, then both J_1 and J_2 are finite. By (3.10), for $j \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathrm{Co}_{\mathbb{e}_*}(\overline{Q}^\infty_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j) \geq k\right] \preceq k^{-3/2}.$$

By a union bound, $\mathbb{P}[J_1 < \infty] \preceq k^{-3/2}m$. By the strong Markov property, $\mathbb{P}[J_2 < \infty \,|\, J_1 < \infty] \preceq k^{-3/2}m$. Hence $\mathbb{P}[E(m,k),\, j_L \neq j_R] \preceq k^{-3}m^2$. □

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We take

$$\mathbb{s} := \frac{3}{2}\mathbb{c}^{3/2}\mathbb{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}}^{-1}\mathbb{c}_{\mathrm{Stable}} \tag{4.7}$$

where here $\mathbb{c} = 2^{3/2}/3$, $\mathbb{c}_{\mathrm{Stable}}$ is as in (2.7), and $\mathbb{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}}$ is as in (3.10). It is clear from (4.4), (4.5), and the heavy-tailed central limit theorem (see, e.g., [JS03]) that the processes $L^{\infty,n}$ and $R^{\infty,n}$ each converge in law separately in the local Skorokhod topology to a totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no positive jumps, scaled as in (2.7). We need to check that they converge jointly.

By the Prokhorov theorem, for any sequence of positive integers tending to ∞, there exists a subsequence $\mathcal{N}$ along which $Z^{\infty,n} \to Z^\infty$ in law as $\mathcal{N} \ni n \to \infty$ with respect to the local Skorokhod topology on each coordinate, where here $Z^\infty = (L^\infty, R^\infty)$ is a coupling of two independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no positive jumps. We must show that L^∞ and R^∞ are independent (which in particular implies that the joint law of the limit does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{N}$). For this purpose is suffices to show that L^∞ and R^∞ a.s. do not have any simultaneous jumps: this follows since L^∞ and R^∞ are a.s. determined by their jumps, the jumps of each of L^∞ and R^∞ arrive according to a Poisson point process, and two

Poisson point processes are independent if and only if their jump times are independent [Ber96, Proposition 1, Section 0].

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, and $i \in [1, \lceil T/\delta \rceil]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ let $E_\delta^n(i)$ be the event that there exist times $t_L, t_R \in [(i-1)\delta, i\delta]$ such that both $L_{t_L}^{\infty,n} - \lim_{t \to t_L^-} L_t^{\infty,n} \leq -\epsilon$ and $R_{t_R}^{\infty,n} - \lim_{t \to t_R^-} R_t^{\infty,n} \leq -\epsilon$. By Lemma 4.4 (applied with $k \asymp \epsilon n^{1/2}$ and $m = \delta n^{3/4}$) and stationarity of the law of Z, $\mathbb{P}[E_\delta^n(i)] \preceq \epsilon^{-3/2}\delta^2$, with universal implicit constant. By a union bound, $\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^{\lceil T/\delta \rceil} E_\delta^n(i)\right] \preceq T\epsilon^{-3/2}\delta$, with universal implicit constant.

Taking a limit as $\mathcal{N} \ni n \to \infty$ shows that as $\delta \to 0$, the probability that there is an $i \in [1, \lceil T/\delta \rceil]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that L^∞ and R^∞ have a simultaneous jump of size at least ϵ in the time interval $((i-1)\delta, i\delta)$ tends to zero as $\delta \to 0$. For fixed $\delta > 0$, a.s. neither L^∞ nor R^∞ has a jump at time δi for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since ϵ and T are arbitrary, we infer that L^∞ and R^∞ a.s. do not have any simultaneous jumps, so are independent. □

4.3 Estimates for free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary

The goal of the remainder of this section is to obtain the scaling limits of the processes of Definition 4.2 in the setting of Theorem 1.2, i.e., in the regime when l_L and l_R are finite and depend on n in such a way that $\mathbb{c}^{-1}n^{-1/2}l_L$ and $\mathbb{c}^{-1}n^{-1/2}l_R$ converge to finite positive constants. Before stating and proving this scaling limit result, we record some general estimates for boundary lengths in a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary which will be used in the remainder of this section as well as in the proof of GHPU tightness in the next section.

Throughout this subsection we consider the following setup. Let $l_L, l_R \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $l_L + l_R$ even, let $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with boundary with a critical face percolation configuration, and define the clusters $\{\dot{Q}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, the unexplored quadrangulations $\{\overline{Q}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, the peeled edges $\{\dot{e}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, the color indicators $\{\theta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, and the terminal time $\mathcal{J}$ as in Section 3.3. Also define the boundary length processes X^L, X^R, Y^L, Y^R, and $W = (W^L, W^R)$ as in Definition 4.1.

We will have occasion to compare the above objects with the analogous objects associated with a $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$. To this end, we let $(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty, \theta^\infty)$ be a $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$ with a critical face percolation configuration and define the objects $\{\dot{Q}_j^\infty\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $\{\overline{Q}_j^\infty\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $\{\dot{e}_j^\infty\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\theta_j^\infty\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, and $\{\mathcal{F}_j^\infty\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ as in Section 3.3 with $l_L = l_R = \infty$ (with an extra superscript ∞ to indicate the $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$ case). Also define the associated boundary length processes $X^{\infty,L}, X^{\infty,R}, Y^{\infty,L}, Y^{\infty,R}$, and $W^\infty = (W^{\infty,L}, W^{\infty,R})$ as in Definition 4.1. We also define the time

$$\mathcal{J}^\infty := \inf\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : Y_j^{\infty,L} \leq -l_L \text{ or } Y_j^{\infty,R} \leq -l_R \right\} \tag{4.8}$$

so that $\mathcal{J}^\infty$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are given by the same deterministic functional of the processes W^∞ and W, respectively.

The following lemma is our main tool for comparing the percolation explorations in the case when $l_L, l_R < \infty$ and the case when $l_L = l_R = \infty$. We note the similarity to the Radon-Nikodym estimate in Theorem 2.5 (which is no coincidence, as the estimates are proven in an analogous manner).

Lemma 4.5. *Let ι be a stopping time for $\{\mathcal{F}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is less than $\mathcal{J}$ with positive probability. The law of $\{\dot{Q}_j, \mathfrak{P}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}, \dot{e}_j), \theta_j\}_{j \in [1,\iota]_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ conditional on the event $\{\iota < \mathcal{J}\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $\{\dot{Q}_j^\infty, \mathfrak{P}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}^\infty, \dot{e}_j^\infty), \theta_j^\infty\}_{j \in [1,\iota]_{\mathbb{Z}}}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative given by*

$$(1 + o(1))\left(\frac{W_\iota^{\infty,L} + W_\iota^{\infty,R}}{l_L + l_R} + 1 \right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(\iota < \mathcal{J}^\infty)} \tag{4.9}$$

where here the $o(1)$ tends to zero as $(l_L + l_R) \wedge (W_\iota^{\infty,L} + W_\iota^{\infty,R} + l_L + l_R)$ tends to ∞, at a deterministic rate.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [GM17c, Lemma 3.6]. □

The following general lemma gives us a bound for the boundary length of the unexplored region for *any* peeling process on a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, and will be used in conjunction with (4.1) to bound the processes of Definition 4.1.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(Q, \mathbb{e})$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and perimeter $2l$. Let $\{(\overline{Q}_j, \dot{e}_{j+1})\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be any peeling process of Q, with any choice of target point. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > l$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } \#\mathcal{E}(\partial \overline{Q}_j) \geq k\right] \leq (1 + o_l(1))\left(\frac{k}{l}\right)^{-3}$$

with the rate of the $o_l(1)$ universal.

Proof. Let ι_k be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\#\mathcal{E}(\partial \overline{Q}_j) \geq k$, or $\iota_k = \infty$ if no such j exists. We seek an upper bound for $\mathbb{P}[\iota_k < \infty]$. The idea of the proof is that if $\iota_k < \infty$, then the number of interior vertices of Q is likely to be larger than usual, so $\mathbb{P}[\iota_k < \infty]$ cannot be too large. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\#\mathcal{V}(Q \setminus \partial Q) \geq m] \geq \mathbb{P}\big[\#\mathcal{V}\big(\overline{Q}_{\iota_k} \setminus \partial \overline{Q}_{\iota_k}\big) \geq m \,|\, \iota_k < \infty\big] \mathbb{P}[\iota_k < \infty]. \tag{4.10}$$

By the asymptotic formula (3.4) for the law of the area of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary,

$$\mathbb{P}[\#\mathcal{V}(Q \setminus \partial Q) \geq m] = (c + o_l(1))(1 + o_m(1)) l^3 m^{-3/2}, \tag{4.11}$$

with the constant $c > 0$ and the rate of the $o_l(1)$ universal; and the rate of the $o_m(1)$ depending on l. Since $\#\mathcal{E}(\partial \overline{Q}_{\iota_k}) \geq k > l$ on the event $\{\iota_k < \infty\}$ and the conditional law of $\overline{Q}_{\iota_k}$ given $\{\iota_k < \infty\}$ and its boundary length is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, also

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\#\mathcal{V}\big(\overline{Q}_{\iota_k} \setminus \partial \overline{Q}_{\iota_k}\big) \geq m \,|\, \iota_k < \infty\big] \geq (c + o_l(1))(1 + o_m(1)) k^3 m^{-3/2}. \tag{4.12}$$

Rearranging (4.10) and inserting the bounds (4.11), (4.12) we have for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\mathbb{P}[\iota_k < \infty] \leq (1 + o_l(1))(1 + o_m(1)) \left(\frac{k}{l}\right)^{-3}.$$

Sending $m \to \infty$ yields the statement of the lemma. □

Lemma 4.6 gives us a good bound for $\max_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} |W_j|$ in the case when l_L and l_R are approximately the same size. If one of l_L or l_R is much smaller than the other, we expect that one of W^L or W^R has a single big downward jump corresponding to the time when most of ∂Q is disconnected from the target edge $\mathbb{e}_*$ by the percolation peeling process, and otherwise $|W_j|$ fluctuates by at most $l_L \wedge l_R$. The following lemma makes this intuition precise.

Lemma 4.7. *For each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ there exists $C = C(\epsilon) > 1$ such that for each choice of $l_L, l_R \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $l_L + l_R$ even, there is a random time $j_* = j_*(\epsilon) \in [0, \mathcal{J}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the following is true. Let $E(C)$ be the event that all of the following hold.*

1. *$C^{-1}(l_L \wedge l_R)^{3/2} \leq j_* \leq C(l_L \wedge l_L)^{3/2}$.*
2. *$Y^L_{j_*} \leq l_L - C^{-1}(l_L \wedge l_R)$ and $Y^R_{j_*} \leq l_R - C^{-1}(l_L \wedge l_R)$.*
3. *$\max_{j \in [1, j_* - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j| + \max_{j \in [j_*, \mathcal{J}]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j - W_{j_*}| \leq C(l_L \wedge l_R)$.*

Then $\mathbb{P}[E(C)] \geq 1 - \epsilon$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we assume that $l_L \leq l_R$; the case when $l_R \leq l_L$ is treated similarly. Let $b \in (0,1)$ be a small parameter to be chosen later, in a manner depending only on ϵ.

If $l_L \geq b l_R$, then $l_L \wedge l_R \geq \frac{b}{2}(l_L + l_R)$. We always have $Y^L_j \leq l_L$ and $Y^R_j \leq l_R$. Furthermore, the boundary length of the unexplored region at time j is given by $\#\mathcal{E}(\partial \overline{Q}_j) = W^L_j + W^R_j + l_L + l_R$. Lemma 4.6 implies that if $l_L \geq b l_R$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [1, \mathcal{J}]_{\mathbb{Z}}} \#\mathcal{E}(\partial \overline{Q}_j) > C(l_L \wedge l_R)\right] \preceq b^{-3} C^{-3} \tag{4.13}$$

with universal implicit constant. Furthermore, if we set $j_* = C^{-1}(l_L \wedge l_R)$ for large enough $C = C(b, \epsilon) > 1$, then Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 together imply that $\mathbb{P}\big[|W^L_{j_*} + l_L| \wedge |W^R_{j_*} + l_R| \leq C^{-1}(l_L \wedge l_R)\big] \leq \epsilon/2$. By combining this with (4.13), we obtain the statement of the lemma in the case when $l_L \geq b l_R$.

Now suppose that $l_L < b l_R$. We will show that the statement of the lemma holds in this case for sufficiently small $b = b(\epsilon) \in (0,1)$. We start by re-targeting the peeling process in such a way that the two boundary arcs between the starting point and the target point have the same length. Let $\beta : [0, l_L + l_R]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the boundary path for Q with $\beta(0) = \mathbb{e}$, and recall that $\mathbb{e}_* = \beta(l_R + 1)$ is the target edge for the peeling process of $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ with l_L-white/l_R-black boundary conditions. Let $\widetilde{l}_L = \widetilde{l}_R = (l_L + l_R)/2$ and consider the

peeling process of $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ with $\widetilde{l}_L$-white/$\widetilde{l}_R$-black boundary conditions, which is a peeling process targeted at $\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_* := \beta(\widetilde{l}_R - 1)$. Define the boundary length processes $\widetilde{X}^L, \widetilde{X}^R, \widetilde{Y}^L, \widetilde{Y}^R, \widetilde{W}^L, \widetilde{W}^R$, and $\widetilde{W}$ as in Definition 4.1 for this peeling process.

Let j_* be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the peeling cluster $\dot{Q}_j$ disconnects $\mathbb{e}_*$ from $\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_*$. The definition of the percolation peeling process implies that the peeling processes targeted at $\beta(l_R - 1)$ and $\beta(\widetilde{l}_R - 1)$ agree until time j_*. In particular,

$$\widetilde{X}^L|_{[0,j_*-1]} = X^L|_{[0,j_*-1]}, \quad \widetilde{X}^L|_{[0,j_*-1]} = X^L|_{[0,j_*-1]}, \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{W}^L|_{[0,j_*-1]} = W^L|_{[0,j_*-1]}. \tag{4.14}$$

and similarly with R in place of L. Furthermore,

$$j_* = \min\Big\{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \widetilde{Y}^L_j \geq l_L\Big\}. \tag{4.15}$$

We will now define an event in terms of $\widetilde{W}|_{[0,j_*]}$ on which the event $E(C)$ in the statement of the lemma is likely to occur. For $C_1 > 0$, let $\widetilde{E}(C_1)$ be the event that the following is true.

1. $C_1^{-1} l_L^{3/2} \leq j_* \leq C_1 l_L^{3/2}$.
2. $\widetilde{Y}^L_{j_*-1} \leq \big(1 - C_1^{-1}\big) l_L$ and $\widetilde{Y}^L_{j_*} \geq \big(1 + C_1^{-1}\big) l_L$.
3. $\max_{j \in [0,j_*]} |\widetilde{W}_j| \leq C_1 l_L$.

If $\widetilde{E}(C_1)$ occurs, then condition 1 in the definition of $E(C_1)$ occurs. Furthermore, by (4.14), $Y^L_{j_*} = \widetilde{Y}^L_{j_*-1}$ and $l_R - Y^R_{j_*} = \widetilde{Y}^L_{j_*} - l_L$ so also condition 2 in the definition of $E(C_1)$ occurs. The relation (4.14) shows also that $\max_{j \in [1, j_*-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j| \leq C_1 l_L$. In other words, $\widetilde{E}(C_1)$ implies all of the conditions in the definition of $E(C_1)$ except possibly an upper bound for $\max_{j \in [j_*, \mathcal{J}]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j - W_{j_*}|$.

The unexplored quadrangulation $\overline{Q}_{j_*}$ at time j_* is the same as the quadrangulation disconnected from $\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_*$ at time j_* by the peeling process targeted at $\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_*$, whence

$$\#\mathcal{E}(\partial \overline{Q}_{j_*}) \leq \widetilde{W}_{j_*} - \widetilde{W}_{j_*-1} + 2 \leq C_1 l_L + 2.$$

By the Markov property of peeling, the conditional law of $\overline{Q}_{j_*}$ given $\mathcal{F}_{j_*}$ is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and given boundary length. Hence Lemma 4.6 implies that we can find $C_2 = C_2(C_1, \epsilon) > 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [j_*, \mathcal{J}]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j - W_{j_*}| \leq C_2 l_L \,|\, \widetilde{E}(C_1)\right] \geq 1 - \epsilon,$$

which means that

$$\mathbb{P}[E(C_1 + C_2) \,|\, \widetilde{E}(C_1)] \geq 1 - \epsilon. \tag{4.16}$$

Hence it remains only to choose $b = b(\epsilon) \in (0,1)$ and $C_1 = C_1(\epsilon) > 1$ in such a way that $\mathbb{P}[\widetilde{E}(C_1)]$ is close to 1.

We will estimate the probability of $\widetilde{E}(C_1)$ by comparison to the boundary length processes for the percolation peeling process on the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$, which we recall are defined as in Definition 4.1 and are denoted with a superscript ∞. Following (4.15), let j^∞_* be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which $Y^{\infty,L}_j \geq l_L$. Also let $E^\infty(C_1)$ be defined in the same manner as $\widetilde{E}(C_1)$ as above but with $Y^{\infty,L}$, $Y^{\infty,R}$, W^∞, and j^∞_* in place of $\widetilde{Y}^L, \widetilde{Y}^R, \widetilde{W}$, and j_*. By Lemma 4.3, the process $W^\infty = (W^{\infty,L}, W^{\infty,R})$, rescaled as in (4.6), converges in law in the local Skorokhod topology to a pair of independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no positive jumps. From this scaling limit result together with (4.2), we find that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C_1 = C_1(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}[E^\infty(C_1)] \geq \epsilon$ for every possible choice of l_L.

Choose $b = b(\epsilon) \in (0,1)$ in such a way that $b < \frac{1}{4} C_1^{-1} \epsilon$, so that $C_1 l_L \leq \epsilon \widetilde{l}_L = \epsilon \widetilde{l}_R$. Then j_* is always less than the terminal time $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$ of the peeling process targeted at $\beta(\widetilde{l}_R + 1)$. By Lemma 4.5 applied with $(\widetilde{l}_L, \widetilde{l}_R)$ in place of (l_L, l_R), we find that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\widetilde{E}(C_1)\Big] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{W^{\infty,L}_{j^\infty_*} + W^{\infty,R}_{j^\infty_*}}{l_L + l_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{E^\infty(C_1)}\right] \geq (1 + o_{l_L + l_R}(1))(1+\epsilon)^{-5/2}(1-\epsilon). \tag{4.17}$$

Here we recall that $W^{\infty,L}_{j^\infty_*} + W^{\infty,R}_{j^\infty_*} \le C_1 l_L \le \epsilon \widetilde{l}_L$ on $E^\infty(C_1)$ and that $l_L + l_R = \widetilde{l}_L + \widetilde{l}_R$. Combining (4.16) and (4.17), possibly shrinking C_1 to deal with finitely many small values of ϵ, and using that $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is arbitrary concludes the proof. □

4.4 Tightness of the boundary length process in the finite boundary case

In the remainder of this section we assume we are in the setting of Theorem 1.2. In particular, we fix $\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R > 0$ and a sequence of pairs of positive integers $\{(l^n_L, l^n_R)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $l^n_L + l^n_R$ is always even and with

$$\mathfrak{l}^n_L := \mathfrak{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} l^n_L \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{l}^n_R := \mathfrak{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} l^n_R,$$

with $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{3/2}/3$ the usual boundary length scaling constant, we have $\mathfrak{l}^n_L \to \mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}^n_R \to \mathfrak{l}_R$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(Q^n, \mathfrak{e}^n, \theta^n)$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with boundary with a critical face percolation configuration, and define the clusters $\{\dot{Q}^n_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the unexplored quadrangulations $\{\overline{Q}^n_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the peeled edges $\{\dot{e}^n_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}^n_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the color indicators $\{\theta^n_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, and the terminal time $\mathcal{J}^n$ for the percolation peeling process of $(Q^n, \mathfrak{e}^n, \theta^n)$ with l^n_L-white/l^n_R-black boundary conditions as in Section 3.3. Also define the boundary length processes $X^{L,n}, X^{R,n}, Y^{L,n}, Y^{R,n}$, and $W^n = (W^{L,n}, W^{R,n})$ as in Definition 4.1 and the rescaled boundary length process $Z^n = (L^n, R^n)$ as in (4.6).

We will often compare the boundary length processes for $(Q^n, \mathfrak{e}^n, \theta^n)$ to the analogous processes for the percolation peeling process on the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$, which (as usual) we denote by an additional superscript ∞. The goal of the next two subsections is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.8. *Let Z be the boundary length process of a chordal SLE_6 between the two marked points of a doubly marked Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$, respectively, parameterized by quantum natural time, as in Section 2.3. Then (with $\mathfrak{s}$ as in (4.7)) we have $Z^n \to Z$ in law in the Skorokhod topology on $[0,\infty)$.*

We recall that the law of the process Z is described in Theorem 2.5.

In this subsection we will prove tightness of the law of the rescaled boundary length processes Z^n in the Skorokhod topology, and in the next subsection we will complete the proof of Proposition 4.8 by identifying the law of a subsequential limit.

Lemma 4.9. *The laws of the processes $Z^n = (L^n, R^n)$ are tight in the Skorokhod topology on $[0,\infty)$.*

Recall that Z^n is constant on $[\mathfrak{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} \mathcal{J}^n, \infty)$. We do not show that the law of the time $\mathfrak{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} \mathcal{J}^n$ is tight, but we do show that Z^n is likely to be almost constant after a time which might be much smaller than $\mathfrak{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} \mathcal{J}^n$ but which is typically of constant order.

We will deduce Lemma 4.9 from the scaling limit for the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ boundary length processes $Z^{\infty,n}$ together with local absolute continuity in the form of Lemma 4.5. However, some care is needed since the Radon-Nikodym derivative in Lemma 4.5 blows up when the boundary length $W^{L,n} + W^{R,n} + l^n_L + l^n_R$ of the unexplored quadrangulation is small, so this lemma does not immediately enable us to rule out pathological behavior of the process W when it is close to $(-l_L, -l_R)$. To get around this issue, we need to analyze certain stopping times corresponding to when the percolation peeling process gets close, in some sense, to the terminal time. The stopping times introduced in this subsection and the estimates we prove for these times will also be used several times later in the paper.

Let $\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ be the normalizing constants from Section 1.3 and for $r \ge 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} J^n_r &:= \min\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : Y^{L,n}_j \ge l^n_L - r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} \text{ or } Y^{R,n}_j \ge l^n_R - r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} \right\}, \\ J^{\infty,n}_r &:= \min\left\{ j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : Y^{\infty,L}_j \ge l^n_L - r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} \text{ or } Y^{\infty,R}_j \ge l^n_R - r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} \right\}, \\ \tau^n_r &:= \mathfrak{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} J^n_r, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{\infty,n}_r := \mathfrak{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} J^{\infty,n}_r \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

We observe that J^n_r is an $\{\mathcal{F}^n_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$-stopping time. For $r > 0$, J^n_r is strictly less than the terminal time $\mathcal{J}^n$ and for $r = 0$, $J^n_0 = \mathcal{J}^n_r$. Since $(\mathfrak{l}^n_L, \mathfrak{l}^n_R) \to (\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R)$ and by (4.2), the time τ^n_r is approximately the first time t that either $L^n_t \le -\mathfrak{l}_L - r$ or $R^n_t \le -\mathfrak{l}_R - r$, and similarly for $\tau^{\infty,n}_r$.

The main fact we need about the times J_r^n is the following overshoot lemma, which says that it is unlikely that either the left boundary length or the right boundary length of the unexplored quadrangulation at time J_r^n is of smaller order than $n^{1/2}$. Heuristically, this means that the tip of the percolation peeling process cannot jump from an edge at macroscopic rescaled boundary length distance from the target edge to an edge at microscopic rescaled distance from the target edge.

Lemma 4.10. *For each $r > 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ there exists $\alpha = \alpha(r,\epsilon) \in (0,r]$, $A = A(r,\epsilon) > 0$, and an $n_* = n_*(r,\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[l_L^n - Y_{J_r^n}^L \geq \alpha n^{1/2},\, l_R^n - Y_{J_r^n}^R \geq \alpha n^{1/2}, \text{ and } J_r^n \leq A n^{3/4}\right] \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let β^n be the counterclockwise periodic boundary path of Q^n with $\beta^n(0) = \mathbb{e}^n$. For $r > 0$, let $K_r^{L,n}$ (resp. $K_r^{R,n}$) be the largest $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta^n(-\lfloor l_L^n - r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} + k\rfloor)$ (resp. $\beta^n(\lfloor l_R^n - r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} + k\rfloor)$) belongs to $\dot{Q}_{J_r^n}^n$, or 0 if no such $k \in \mathbb{N}$ exists. By the definition of J_r^n, at least one of $K_r^{L,n}$ or $K_r^{R,n}$ is positive. Furthermore,

$$l_L^n - Y_{J_r^n}^{L,n} \geq r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} - K_r^{L,n} \quad \text{and} \quad l_R^n - Y_{J_r^n}^{R,n} \geq r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} - K_r^{R,n}. \tag{4.19}$$

By [GM17c, Lemma 3.9] (applied with $2l = l_L^n + l_R^n$, $a_L \asymp 2l - a_R \asymp r n^{1/2}$, and E the whole probability space), we can find a universal constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} - K_r^{L,n} \leq c r n^{1/2} \text{ and } r\mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} - K_r^{R,n} \leq c r n^{1/2}\right] \preceq \frac{(l_L^n + l_R^n)^{5/2} (r n^{1/2})^{3/2}}{(r n^{1/2})^{5/2} (r n^{1/2})^{5/2}} \preceq r^{-7/2} n^{-1/2}. \tag{4.20}$$

In particular, (4.19) implies that we can find $n_* = n_*(r,\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, it holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$ that

$$(l_L^n - Y_{J_r^n}^{L,n}) \vee (l_R^n - Y_{J_r^n}^{R,n}) \geq c r n^{1/2}. \tag{4.21}$$

By Lemma 4.3, after possibly increasing n_* we can find $\alpha = \alpha(r,\epsilon) \in (0,r]$ and $A = A(r,\epsilon) > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_*$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[l_L^n - Y_{J_r^{\infty,n}}^{\infty,L} \in \left[0, \alpha n^{1/2}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}},\, l_R^n - Y_{J_r^{\infty,n}}^{\infty,R} \in \left[0, \alpha n^{1/2}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{ or } J_r^{\infty,n} > A n^{3/4}\right] \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon (cr)^{-5/2}. \tag{4.22}$$

On the event that $(l_L^n - Y_{J_r^{\infty,n}}^{\infty,L}) \wedge (l_R^n - Y_{J_r^{\infty,n}}^{\infty,L}) \geq 0$ and $(l_L^n - Y_{J_r^{\infty,n}}^{\infty,L}) \vee (l_R^n - Y_{J_r^{\infty,n}}^{\infty,n}) \geq c r n^{1/2}$, the Radon-Nikodym derivative of Lemma 4.12 at time $J_r^{\infty,n}$ is bounded above by $(1 + o_n(1))(cr)^{-5/2}$. By (4.22), after possibly increasing n_* we can arrange that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left\{l_L^n - Y_{J_r^n}^{L,n} \leq \alpha n^{1/2},\, l_R^n - Y_{J_r^n}^{R,n} \leq \alpha n^{1/2}, \text{ or } J_r^n > A n^{3/4}\right\} \cap \left\{(l_L^n - Y_{J_r^n}^{L,n}) \vee (l_R^n - Y_{J_r^n}^{R,n}) \geq c r n^{1/2}\right\}\right] \leq \epsilon$$

with the implicit constant depending only on $(\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R)$. Since $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is arbitrary, we conclude by combining this last estimate with (4.21). □

Lemma 4.10 is not quite sufficient for our purposes since we have not ruled out the possibility that one of $W_{J_r^n}^{L,n} + l_L^n$ or $W_{J_r^n}^{R,n} + l_R^n$ is much larger than $r n^{1/2}$. We next consider a stopping time at which $W_{J_r^n}^{L,n} + l_L^n$ and $W_{J_r^n}^{R,n} + l_R^n$ are necessarily proportional to one another.

For $0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1$, let I_{α_0,α_1}^n be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_0 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} \leq l_L^n - Y_j^{L,n}, \quad W_j^{L,n} + l_L^n \leq \alpha_1 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2}, \\ &\alpha_0 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} \leq l_R^n - Y_j^{R,n}, \quad \text{and} \quad W_j^{R,n} + l_R^n \leq \alpha_1 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

so that on the event $\{I_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}^n < \infty\}$ we have both a lower bound for the number of edges of $\partial \overline{Q}_{I_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}^n}^n \cap \partial Q^n$ lying to the left and right of the target edge $\mathbb{e}_*^n$ and an upper bound for the total number of edges of $\partial \overline{Q}_{I_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}^n}^n$. Analogously, let $I_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}^{\infty,n}$ be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_0 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} \leq l_L^n - Y_j^{\infty,L}, \quad W_j^{\infty,L} + l_L^n \leq \alpha_1 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2}, \\ &\alpha_0 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2} \leq l_R^n - Y_j^{\infty,R}, \quad \text{and} \quad W_j^{\infty,R} + l_R^n \leq \alpha_1 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Also define the rescaled times

$$\tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} := \mathbb{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} := \mathbb{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} I^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}. \tag{4.25}$$

In contrast to the times J^n_r of (4.18), it is possible that $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} = \infty$ (equivalently $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \geq \mathcal{J}^n$). However, as the next lemma demonstrates, this is unlikely to be the case if we make an appropriate choice of α_0 and α_1.

Lemma 4.11. *For each $\alpha_1 > 0$ and each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $\overline{\alpha}_0 = \overline{\alpha}_0(\alpha_1,\epsilon) \in (0,\alpha_1)$, $A = A(\alpha_1,\epsilon) > 0$, and $n_* = n_*(\alpha_1,\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $\alpha_0 \in (0,\overline{\alpha}_0]$ and each $n \geq n_*$, $\mathbb{P}[I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq An^{3/4}] \geq 1-\epsilon$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, for each $r > 0$ there exists $\alpha(r) = \alpha(r,\epsilon) \in (0,r]$, $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{A}(r,\epsilon) > 0$, and $n_* = n_*(r,\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, the event

$$E^1_r := \left\{ l^n_L - Y^L_{J^n_r} \leq \alpha(r) n^{1/2},\ l^n_R - Y^R_{J^n_r} \leq \alpha(r) n^{1/2}, \text{ and } J^n_r \leq \widetilde{A} n^{3/4} \right\}$$

has probability at least $1-\epsilon$.

By the Markov property of peeling, if we condition on $\mathcal{F}^n_{J^n_r}$, then the conditional law of $(\overline{Q}^n_{J^n_r}, \dot{e}^n_{J^n_r})$ is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and perimeter $W^{L,n}_{J^n_r} + W^{R,n}_{J^n_r} + l^n_L + l^n_R$. Furthermore, the conditional law of the percolation peeling process after time J^n_r is that of a percolation peeling process from $\dot{e}_{J^n_r}$ to the target edge $\mathbb{e}^n_*$, i.e. a percolation peeling process in $\overline{Q}^n_{J^n_r}$ with $(W^{L,n}_{J^n_r} + l^n_L)$-white/$(W^{R,n}_{J^n_r} + l^n_R)$-black boundary conditions. Note that if E^1_r occurs, then $(W^{L,n}_{J^n_r} + l^n_L) \wedge (W^{R,n}_{J^n_r} + l^n_R) \geq \alpha(r) n^{1/2}$.

By Lemma 4.7 applied to the above conditional percolation peeling process, there is a constant $C = C(\epsilon) > 0$, independent of n and r, and a random time $j^n_*(r) \geq J^n_r + 1$ such that on E^1_r, it holds with conditional probability at least $1-\epsilon$ given $\mathcal{F}^n_{J^n_r}$ that the following hold.

1. $j^n_*(r) - J^n_r \leq C\alpha(r)^{3/2} n^{3/4}$.
2. $l^n_L - Y^{L,n}_{j^n_*(r)} \geq C^{-1}\alpha(r) n^{1/2}$ and $l^n_R - Y^{R,n}_{j^n_*(r)} \geq C^{-1}\alpha(r) n^{1/2}$.
3. $\max_{j \in [j^n_*(r), \mathcal{J}^n]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W^n_j - W^n_{j^n_*(r)}| \leq C\alpha(r) n^{1/2}$.

Let E^2_r be the event that this is the case, so that $\mathbb{P}[E^1_r \cap E^2_r] \geq (1-\epsilon)^2$.

Given $\alpha_1 > 0$, choose $r > 0$ such that $Cr \leq \alpha_1 \mathbb{c}$, so that also $C\alpha(r) \leq \alpha_1 \mathbb{c}$. Since $W^n_{\mathcal{J}^n} = (-l^n_L, -l^n_R)$, condition 3 in the definition of E^2_r implies that

$$W^{L,n}_{j^n_*(r)} + l^n_L \leq C\alpha(r) n^{1/2} \leq \alpha_1 \mathbb{c} n^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad W^{R,n}_{j^n_*(r)} + l^n_R \leq C\alpha(r) n^{1/2} \leq \alpha_1 \mathbb{c} n^{1/2}.$$

By combining this with condition 2, we see that for $\alpha_0 \leq \overline{\alpha}_0 := C^{-1}\alpha(r)\mathbb{c}^{-1}$, the time $j^n_{*,r}$ satisfies the conditions in the definition (4.23) of $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ on $E^1_r \cap E^2_r$, whence $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq j^n_*(r) \leq An^{3/4}$ on this event for $A := C\alpha(r)^{3/2} + \widetilde{A}$. Since $\mathbb{P}[E^1_r \cap E^2_r] \geq (1-\epsilon)^2$ and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is arbitrary, we obtain the statement of the lemma. □

Proof of Lemma 4.9. Fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. By the standard compactness criterion for Skorokhod space, must show that there is a $C > 0$, a $\delta > 0$, and an $n_* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \geq n_*$, it holds with probability at least $1-\epsilon$ that the following hold.

1. $\sup_{t \geq 0} |Z^n_t| \leq C$.
2. There exists a partition $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_N = \delta^{-1}$ such that $t_k - t_{k-1} \geq \delta$ and $\sup_{s,t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)} |Z^n_s - Z^n_t| \leq \epsilon$ for each $k \in [1,N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
3. $|Z^n_t - Z^n_s| \leq \epsilon$ for each $s,t \geq \delta^{-1}$.

To this end, let $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\epsilon) > 0$ to be chosen later, in a manner depending only on ϵ. By Lemma 4.11, there exists $\alpha_0 \in (0,\alpha_1)$, $A = A(\alpha_1,\epsilon) > 0$, and $n_0 = n_0(\alpha_1,\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, the stopping time defined in (4.23) satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\left[I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq An^{3/4} \right] \geq 1-\epsilon. \tag{4.26}$$

If $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty$, then since $\alpha_0 > 0$ necessarily $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \mathcal{J}^n$. In fact, by definition,

$$W^{L,n}_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} + W^{R,n}_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} + l^n_L + l^n_R \geq \alpha_0 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2}$$

whenever $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty$. Hence Lemma 4.5 implies that there is an $n_1 = n_1(\alpha_1, \epsilon) \geq n_1$ such that for $n \geq n_1$, the law of $W^n|_{[0,I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ on the event $\{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $W^\infty|_{[0,I^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative bounded above by

$$b\alpha_0^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(I^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \mathcal{J}^{\infty,n})} \tag{4.27}$$

where here $b > 0$ is a constant depending only on $(\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R)$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\infty,n} := \min\left\{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : Y^{\infty,L}_j \leq -l^n_L \text{ or } Y^{\infty,R}_j \leq -l^n_R\right\}$ is as in (4.8).

By Lemma 4.3, the rescaled boundary length processes $Z^{\infty,n} = (L^{\infty,n}, R^{\infty,n})$ for the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ converge in law as $n \to \infty$ to a pair $Z^\infty = (L^\infty, R^\infty)$ of independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no upward jumps. From this, we infer that the rescaled times $\tau^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} = \mathfrak{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} I^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ from (4.23) and $\mathfrak{s}^{-1} n^{-3/4} \mathcal{J}^{\infty,n}$ converge in law to the analogous times for the process Z^∞.

Consequently, there exists $n_2 = n_2(\alpha_1, \epsilon) \geq n_1$, $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{C}(\alpha_0, \epsilon) > 0$ and $\widetilde{\delta} = \widetilde{\delta}(\alpha_0, \epsilon) > 0$ such that with probability at least $1 - b^{-1}\alpha_0^{5/2}\epsilon$, the following is true.

1. $\sup_{t \in [0, \tau^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \wedge A]} |Z^{\infty,n}_t| \leq \widetilde{C}$.
2. There exists a partition $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_N = \tau^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \wedge A$ such that $t_k - t_{k-1} \geq \widetilde{\delta}$ and $\sup_{s,t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)} |Z^n_s - Z^n_t| \leq \epsilon$ for each $k \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

By (4.26) and the Radon-Nikodym derivative estimate (4.27), we find that with probability at least $1 - 2\epsilon$,

1. $\sup_{t \in [0, \tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]} |Z^n_t| \leq \widetilde{C}$ and $\tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq A$.
2. There exists a partition $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_N = \tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ such that $t_k - t_{k-1} \geq \widetilde{\delta}$ and $\sup_{s,t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k)} |Z^n_s - Z^n_t| \leq \epsilon$ for each $k \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let E be the event that the above conditions are satisfied, so that $\mathbb{P}[E] \geq 1 - 2\epsilon$.

By the Markov property of peeling, if we condition on a realization of $\mathcal{F}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}$ for which E occurs, then the conditional law of the unexplored quadrangulation $(\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}, \dot{e}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}+1})$ is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and perimeter at most

$$W^{L,n}_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} + W^{R,n}_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} + l^n_L + l^n_R \leq 2\alpha_1 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2},$$

where here we recall the definition of $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$. By Lemma 4.6, if we choose $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\epsilon)$ sufficiently small, then there is an $n_* = n_*(\alpha_1, \epsilon) \geq n_2$ such that for $n \geq n_*$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \geq \tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} |W^n_t - W^n_{\tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}| > \epsilon \,|\, E\right] \leq \epsilon.$$

We thus obtain the conditions required for tightness with $C = \widetilde{C} + \epsilon$, $\delta = \widetilde{\delta} \wedge A^{-1}$, and 3ϵ in place of ϵ. Since $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is arbitrary this suffices. □

4.5 Identification of the limiting boundary length process

Suppose we are in the setting described at the beginning of Section 4.4. In this subsection we will prove Proposition 4.8. By Lemma 4.9, for any sequence of positive integers tending to ∞, there is a subsequence $\mathcal{N}$ along which Z^n converges in law in the Skorokhod topology to a process $\widetilde{Z} = (\widetilde{L}, \widetilde{R}) : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ as $\mathcal{N} \ni n \to \infty$. Henceforth fix such a subsequence $\mathcal{N}$ and such a process $\widetilde{Z}$. We must show that $\widetilde{Z}$ has the same law as the process $Z = (L, R)$ in Proposition 4.8.

We will describe the law of Z in terms of the law of a pair $Z^\infty = (L^\infty, R^\infty)$ of independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no upward jumps (which we recall from Lemma 4.3 is the limit of the laws of the processes $Z^{\infty,n}$). In analogy with (4.18), for $r \geq 0$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\sigma}_r &:= \inf\left\{t \geq 0 : \widetilde{L}_t \leq -\mathfrak{l}_L + r \text{ or } \widetilde{R}_t \leq -\mathfrak{l}_R + r\right\} \\ \sigma_r &:= \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t \leq -\mathfrak{l}_L + r \text{ or } R_t \leq -\mathfrak{l}_R + r\} \\ \sigma_r^\infty &:= \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t^\infty \leq -\mathfrak{l}_L + r \text{ or } R_t^\infty \leq -\mathfrak{l}_R + r\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

By Theorem 2.5, the time σ_0 is the terminal time of Z, i.e. the total quantum natural time length of the corresponding SLE_6 in a quantum disk. Furthermore, a.s. $Z_t = (-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$ for each $t \geq \sigma_0$.

The following lemma is an analog of Lemma 4.5 for the process $\widetilde{Z}$.

Lemma 4.12. *For each $t \geq 0$, the law of $\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,t]}$ restricted to the event $\{t < \widetilde{\sigma}_0\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $Z^\infty|_{[0,t]}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative*

$$\left(\frac{L_t^\infty + R_t^\infty}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(t < \sigma_0^\infty)}.$$

Proof. First note that the statement with Z in place of $\widetilde{Z}$ follows from Theorem 2.5.

By Lemma 4.3, if we define $\sigma_r^{\infty,n}$ as in (4.18), then for each $r > 0$ we have $(Z^{\infty,n}, \sigma_r^{\infty,n}) \to (Z^\infty, \sigma_r^\infty)$ in law as $n \to \infty$. By Lemma 4.5, we find that for each $r > 0$ and each $t \geq 0$, the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the law of $Z^n|_{[0,t]}$ restricted to the event $\{t < \sigma_r^n\}$ with respect to the law of $Z^{\infty,n}|_{[0,t]}$ is given by

$$\left(\frac{L_t^{\infty,n} + R_t^{\infty,n}}{\mathfrak{l}_L^n + \mathfrak{l}_R^n} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(t < \sigma_r^{\infty,n})}.$$

This Radon-Nikodym derivative is bounded above by a deterministic constant depending only on r, and Z^∞ a.s. does not have a jump at time t. From this, we infer that for each $t \geq 0$ and each $r > 0$, the law of $\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,t]}$ restricted to the event $\{t < \widetilde{\sigma}_r\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $Z^\infty|_{[0,t]}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\left(\frac{L_t^\infty + R_t^\infty}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(t < \sigma_r^\infty)}.$$

Since $\{t < \widetilde{\sigma}_0\} = \bigcup_{r>0}\{t < \sigma_r\}$, sending $r \to 0$ shows that the same is true with $r = 0$. □

We next establish some basic properties of the times $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ from (4.28).

Lemma 4.13. *The times $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ satisfy the following properties.*

1. *The times $\widetilde{\sigma}_0$ and σ_0 have the same law.*
2. *Almost surely, $\widetilde{Z}_t = (-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$ for each $t \geq \widetilde{\sigma}_0$.*
3. *Almost surely, $\widetilde{\sigma}_r < \widetilde{\sigma}_0$ for each $r > 0$.*
4. *Almost surely, $\lim_{r \to 0} \widetilde{\sigma}_r = \widetilde{\sigma}_0$.*

Assertions 2 through 4 are also true with Z in place of $\widetilde{Z}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.12, for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[t < \widetilde{\sigma}_0] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{L_t^\infty + R_t^\infty}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(t < \sigma_0^\infty)}\right] = \mathbb{P}[t < \sigma_0]$$

which yields assertion 1.

To prove the other assertions, fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. Lemmas 4.6 and 4.11 imply that for each $r > 0$ there exists $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 \le r$ such that with $\tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ as in (4.23), it holds for large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty \text{ and } \sup_{t \ge \tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} |Z^n_t - Z^n_{\tau^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}| \le \epsilon\right] \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$

Passing to the scaling limit along the subsequence $\mathcal{N}$ and recalling (4.2) shows that with $\widetilde{\tau}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ the smallest $t \ge 0$ for which

$$\alpha_0 \le \mathfrak{l}_L + \inf_{s\in[0,t]} \widetilde{L}_s, \quad \widetilde{L}_t + \mathfrak{l}_L \le \alpha_1, \quad \alpha_0 \le \mathfrak{l}_R + \inf_{s\in[0,t]} \widetilde{R}_s, \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{R}_t + \mathfrak{l}_R \le \alpha_1,$$

it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\widetilde{\tau}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty \text{ and } \sup_{t \ge \widetilde{\tau}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} |\widetilde{Z}_t - \widetilde{Z}_{\widetilde{\tau}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}| \le \epsilon\right] \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \le r$ and $\alpha_0 > 0$, we have $\sigma_r \le \widetilde{\tau}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \widetilde{\sigma}_0$ so

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\widetilde{\sigma}_r < \widetilde{\sigma}_0, \sup_{t \ge \widetilde{\sigma}_0} |\widetilde{Z}_t - \widetilde{Z}_{\widetilde{\tau}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}| \le \epsilon\right] \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$

Since $r > 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ can be made arbitrarily small, we obtain assertions 2 and 3.

To prove assertion 4, let $\widetilde{\sigma}'_0 := \lim_{r\to 0} \widetilde{\sigma}_r$. By monotonicity $\widetilde{\sigma}'_0$ exists and is at most $\widetilde{\sigma}_0$. On the other hand, either $\inf_{t\in[0,\widetilde{\sigma}'_0]} \widetilde{L}_t = -\mathfrak{l}_L$ or $\inf_{t\in[0,\widetilde{\sigma}'_0]} \widetilde{R}_t = -\mathfrak{l}_R$. Since $\widetilde{L}$ and $\widetilde{R}$ have no upward jumps, each of these functions attains its minimum on $[0,\widetilde{\sigma}'_0]$ whence $\widetilde{\sigma}'_0 = \widetilde{\sigma}_0$.

Assertion 2 with Z in place of $\widetilde{Z}$ is true by definition, and assertion 3 with Z in place of $\widetilde{Z}$ follows from Theorem 2.5. Assertion 4 with Z in place of $\widetilde{Z}$ follows from the same argument as in the case of $\widetilde{Z}$. □

We now transfer the Radon-Nikodym derivative formula from Lemma 4.12 from deterministic times to the stopping times of (4.28).

Lemma 4.14. *For each $r > 0$, the law of $\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,\widetilde{\sigma}_r]}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $Z^\infty|_{[0,\sigma^\infty_r]}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative*

$$\left(\frac{L^\infty_{\sigma^\infty_r} + R^\infty_{\sigma^\infty_r}}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(\sigma^\infty_r < \sigma^\infty_0)}. \tag{4.29}$$

The same is true with Z in place of $\widetilde{Z}$.

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $t_k = 2^{-k}\lceil 2^k \widetilde{\sigma}_r\rceil$ and $t^\infty_k = 2^{-k}\lceil 2^k \sigma^\infty_r\rceil$. Then each t_k (resp. t^∞_k) is a stopping time for $\widetilde{Z}$ (resp. Z^∞) and t_k (resp. t^∞_k) a.s. decreases to $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ (resp. σ^∞_r) as $k \to \infty$. By Lemma 4.12, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the law of $\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,t_k]}$ restricted to the event $\{t_k < \widetilde{\sigma}_0\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $Z^\infty|_{[0,t^\infty_k]}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\left(\frac{L^\infty_{t^\infty_k} + R^\infty_{t^\infty_k}}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(t^\infty_k < \sigma^\infty_0)}. \tag{4.30}$$

Now let $\epsilon > 0$. On the event $\{t^\infty_k < \sigma^\infty_\epsilon\}$, the Radon-Nikodym derivative (4.30) is bounded above by a constant $c = c(\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R) > 0$ times $\epsilon^{-5/2}$. By right continuity, a.s.

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\frac{L^\infty_{t^\infty_k} + R^\infty_{t^\infty_k}}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(t^\infty_k < \sigma^\infty_\epsilon)} = \left(\frac{L^\infty_{\sigma^\infty_r} + R^\infty_{\sigma^\infty_r}}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(\sigma^\infty_r < \sigma^\infty_\epsilon)}.$$

By the discussion just above (4.30) and the dominated convergence theorem, for each non-negative function F on the state space for $\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,\widetilde{\sigma}_r]}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F(\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,\widetilde{\sigma}_r]})\mathbb{1}_{(\widetilde{\sigma}_r < \widetilde{\sigma}_\epsilon)}\right] = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[F(\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,\widetilde{\sigma}_r]})\mathbb{1}_{(t_k < \widetilde{\sigma}_\epsilon)}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F(Z^\infty|_{[0,\sigma^\infty_r]})\left(\frac{L^\infty_{\sigma^\infty_r} + R^\infty_{\sigma^\infty_r}}{\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R} + 1\right)^{-5/2} \mathbb{1}_{(\sigma^\infty_r < \sigma^\infty_\epsilon)}\right].$$

Sending $\epsilon \to 0$ and applying the monotone convergence theorem shows that the law of $\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,\widetilde{\sigma}_r]}$ on the event $\{\widetilde{\sigma}_r < \widetilde{\sigma}_0\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $Z^\infty|_{[0,\sigma_r^\infty]}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative as in (4.29). By Lemma 4.13 the event $\{\widetilde{\sigma}_r < \widetilde{\sigma}_0\}$ has probability 1. We thus obtain the statement of the lemma for $\widetilde{Z}$.

The statement for Z is proven in exactly the same manner. □

Proof of Proposition 4.8. By Lemma 4.14, for each $r \geq 0$ the laws of $\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,\widetilde{\sigma}_r]}$ and $Z|_{[0,\sigma_r]}$ agree, where here $\widetilde{\sigma}_r$ and σ_r are as in (4.28). By assertion 4 of Lemma 4.13, $\widetilde{Z}|_{[0,\widetilde{\sigma}_0)}$ and $Z|_{[0,\sigma_0)}$ have the same law. By Theorem 2.5, $\lim_{t\to\sigma_0^-} Z_t = (-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$ and by assertion 2 of Lemma 4.13, $\widetilde{Z}$ (resp. Z) is identically equal to $(-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$ after time $\widetilde{\sigma}_0$ (resp. σ_0). Hence $\widetilde{Z} \overset{d}{=} Z$. Since our initial choice of subsequence was arbitrary we infer that $Z^n \to Z$ in law. □

5 Tightness in the GHPU topology

Throughout this section we assume we are in the setting of Theorem 1.2, so that $\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R > 0$ and $\{(l_L^n, l_R^n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of pairs of positive integers such that $l_L^n + l_R^n$ is always even, $\mathfrak{c}^{-1}n^{-1/2}l_L^n \to \mathfrak{l}_L$, and $\mathfrak{c}^{-1}n^{-1/2}l_R^n \to \mathfrak{l}_R$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(Q^n, \mathfrak{e}^n, \theta^n)$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with boundary with a critical face percolation configuration as in Theorem 1.2, and define the clusters $\{\dot{Q}_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the unexplored quadrangulations $\{\overline{Q}_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the peeled edges $\{\dot{e}_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, and the terminal time $\mathcal{J}^n$ for the percolation peeling process of $(Q^n, \mathfrak{e}^n, \theta^n)$ with l_L^n-white/l_R^n-black boundary conditions as in Section 3.3. Also define the boundary length processes $X^{L,n}, X^{R,n}, Y^{L,n}, Y^{R,n}$, and $W^n = (W^{L,n}, W^{R,n})$ as in Definition 4.1 and the rescaled boundary length process $Z^n = (L^n, R^n)$ as in (4.6).

As in the discussion just above Theorem 1.2, let β^n (resp. λ^n) be the boundary path (resp. percolation exploration path), and recall that λ^n is defined on $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$. Also let d^n, μ^n, ξ^n, and η^n, respectively, be the rescaled graph metric, area measure, boundary path, and percolation exploration path.

Proposition 5.1. *The laws of the doubly curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\mathfrak{Q}^n = (Q^n, d^n, \mu^n, \xi^n, \eta^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are tight in the 2-curve GHPU topology.*

We already know from [GM17c, Theorem 1.4] that the laws of the curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\{(Q^n, d^n, \mu^n, \xi^n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are tight in the GHPU topology. By the 2-curve variant of the GHPU compactness criterion [GM16c, Lemma 2.6] (which is proven in the same manner), we only need to check that the curves η^n are equicontinuous. For this purpose it suffices to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *For each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ and $n_* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, it holds with probability at least $1-\epsilon$ that*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\lambda^n(i), \lambda^n(j); Q^n) \leq \epsilon n^{1/4}, \quad \forall i, j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } |i-j| \leq \delta n^{3/4}$$

and with $\mathfrak{e}_*^n = \beta^n(l_R^n - 1)$ the target edge,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\lambda^n(i), \mathfrak{e}_*^n; Q^n) \leq \epsilon n^{1/4}, \quad \forall i \in [\delta^{-1}n^{3/4}, \infty)_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The remainder of this section will be devoted to the proof of Proposition 5.2. We start in Section 5.1 by proving several estimates which reduce the problem of estimating diameters of segments of λ^n to the problem of estimating boundary lengths of certain sub-quadrangulations of Q^n. In particular, we prove in Lemma 5.4 a bound for the diameter of a boundary arc of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary in terms of its length; and an estimate for the maximal diameter of a sub-graph of such a quadrangulation in terms of the diameter of its boundary. These estimates are easy consequences of the convergence of free Boltzmann quadrangulations to the Brownian disk.

In Section 5.2, we will use the basic estimates for peeling which we reviewed in Section 3.2.3 to prove estimates for the boundary length processes for the percolation peeling process on the UIHPQ$_S$. In particular, we will show that the maximum of the magnitude of the boundary length process $W^\infty = (W^{L,\infty}, W^{R,\infty})$ over an interval can only be unusually large if either $W^{L,\infty}$ or $W^{R,\infty}$ has a big downward jump in this interval; and that there cannot be too many such big downward jumps.

In Section 5.3, we will transfer the estimates of Section 5.2 to estimates for the boundary length process of the percolation peeling process on Q^n using the Radon-Nikodym derivative estimate of Lemma 4.5, then deduce Proposition 5.2 from these estimates together with the estimates of Section 5.1.

5.1 Estimates for distances in terms of boundary length

In this subsection we will prove some basic estimates for the graph distances in free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary which are straightforward consequences of the GHPU convergence of these quadrangulations to the Brownian disk [GM16c, Theorem 1.4]. We first prove a quantitative bound for distances along the boundary in a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, which will follow from the following estimate for the Brownian disk.

Lemma 5.3. *Let (H, d, μ, ξ) be a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with unit boundary length equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path. For $\zeta > 0$ and $C > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{0\le s<t\le 1} \frac{d(\xi(s),\xi(t))}{(t-s)^{1/2}(|\log(t-s)|+1)^{7/4+\zeta}} > C\right] = o_C^\infty(C) \tag{5.1}$$

as $C \to \infty$, at a rate depending only on ζ.

Proof. The analogous statement for a unit boundary length free Boltzmann Brownian disk weighted by its area (which is the random area Brownian disk in [GM16b, Definition 3.1]) follows from the proof of [GM16b, Lemma 3.2]. That is, if we let $A = \mu(H)$ be the total area of our given quantum disk and we let E_C be the event whose probability we are trying to bound in (5.1), then $\mathbb{E}[A\mathbb{1}_{E_C}] = o_C^\infty(C)$. The law of A is given by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a^5}} e^{-\frac{1}{2a}} \mathbb{1}_{(a\ge 0)}\, da$, so $\mathbb{E}[A^{-1}] < \infty$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}[E_C] = \mathbb{E}[A^{1/2}A^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{E_C}] = \mathbb{E}[A\mathbb{1}_{E_C}]^{1/2}\mathbb{E}[A^{-1}]^{1/2} = o_C^\infty(C). \qquad \square$$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(Q, \mathbb{e})$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $2l$. Let $\beta : [0, 2l]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$ be its boundary path. For each $\zeta, \epsilon > 0$ and $C > 0$, the probability that there exists $i, j \in [0, 2l]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $i < j$ such that*

$$l^{-1/2}\operatorname{dist}(\beta(i), \beta(j); Q) > C\left(\frac{j-i}{l}\right)^{1/2}\left(\left|\log\left(\frac{j-i}{l}\right)\right| + 1\right)^{7/4+\zeta} + \epsilon$$

is at most $o_C^\infty(C) + o_l(1)$ as $C \to \infty$, with the rate of the $o_C^\infty(C)$ depending only on ζ and the rate of the $o_l(1)$ depending only on ζ and ϵ.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.3 and the fact that free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary converge in the scaling limit to the Brownian disk in the GHPU topology [GM17c, Theorem 1.4]. $\square$

We also record analogs of the two preceding lemmas in the infinite-volume setting.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(H^\infty, d^\infty, \mu^\infty, \xi^\infty)$ be a Brownian half-plane equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path (with $\xi^\infty(0)$ the marked boundary point). For $\zeta > 0$, $A > 0$, and $C > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{-A\le s<t\le A} \frac{d^\infty(\xi^\infty(s),\xi^\infty(t))}{(t-s)^{1/2}(|\log(t-s)|+1)^{7/4+\zeta}} > CA^{1/2}\right] = o_C^\infty(C)$$

as $C \to \infty$, at a rate depending only on ζ.

Proof. This follows from the same argument used to prove [GM16b, Lemma 3.2], but with the encoding functions for the Brownian half-plane from [GM16c, Section 1.5] used in place of the encoding functions for the Brownian disk. Note that the factor of $A^{1/2}$ comes from Brownian scaling. $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *Let $(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ be a UIHPQ$_S$. Let $\beta^\infty : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{E}(\partial Q^\infty)$ be its boundary path with $\beta^\infty(0) = \mathbb{e}^\infty$. For each $\zeta, \epsilon, A > 0$ and each $C > 0$, the probability that there exists $i, j \in [-Al, Al]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $i < j$ such that*

$$l^{-1/2}\operatorname{dist}(\beta^\infty(i), \beta^\infty(j); Q^\infty) > CA^{1/2}\left(\frac{j-i}{l}\right)^{1/2}\left(\left|\log\left(\frac{j-i}{l}\right)\right| + 1\right)^{7/4+\zeta} + \epsilon$$

is at most $o_C^\infty(C) + o_n(1)$ *as* $C \to \infty$*, with the rate of the* $o_C^\infty(C)$ *depending only on* ζ *and the rate of the* $o_n(1)$ *depending only on* A*,* ζ*, and* ϵ*.*

Proof. This follows by combining Lemma 5.5 with the scaling limit result for the $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$ in the local GHPU topology [GM16c, Theorem 1.12]. □

Lemma 5.4 will eventually enable us to prove estimates for the diameters of the boundaries of certain subsets of the quadrangulations Q^n. In order to deduce estimates for the diameters of the sets themselves, we will use Lemma 5.7 below, which says that a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary does not have small bottlenecks which separate sets of macroscopic diameter and which follows from the fact that the Brownian disk has the topology of a disk.

Lemma 5.7. *For each* $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that the following is true. Let* $l \in \mathbb{N}$ *and let* $(Q, \mathbb{e})$ *be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter* $2l$*. The probability that there exists a subgraph* S *of* Q *with*

$$\mathrm{diam}(S; Q) \geq \epsilon r \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{diam}(\partial S; Q) \leq \delta r$$

is at most ϵ*, where here* ∂S *is the boundary of* S *relative to* Q*, as in Section 2.1.2.*

Proof. We will extract the statement of the lemma from the fact that the free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary converges in the scaling limit to the random-area Brownian disk, which has the topology of a disk. The proof is similar to that of [GM16c, Lemma 4.10].

For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(Q^l, \mathbb{e}^l)$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $2l$. Let d^l be the graph metric on Q^l, rescaled by $(2l)^{-1/2}$. Also let (H, d) be a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with unit boundary length.

By [GM17c, Theorem 1.4], $(Q^l, d^l) \to (H, d)$ in law in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. By the Skorokhod representation theorem, we can find a coupling of $\{(Q^l, d^l)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ with (H, d) such that this convergence occurs almost surely. By [GPW09, Lemma A.1], we can a.s. find a random compact metric space (W, D) and isometric embeddings $(Q^l, d^l) \to (W, D)$ and $(H, d) \to (W, D)$ such that if we identify Q^l and H with their images under these embeddings, then a.s. $Q^l \to H$ in the D-Hausdorff distance as $l \to \infty$.

Now, suppose by way of contradiction that the statement of the lemma is false. Then we can find $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $l_k \to \infty$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds with probability at least ϵ that there exists a subgraph $S^{l_k} \subset Q^{l_k}$ with $\mathrm{diam}(S^{l_k}, d^{l_k}) \geq \epsilon$ and $\mathrm{diam}(\partial S^{l_k}, d^{l_k}) \leq 1/k$. Let E^{l_k} be the event that this is the case, so that $\mathbb{P}[E^{l_k}] \geq \epsilon$. Also let E be the event that E^{l_k} occurs for infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so that also $\mathbb{P}[E] \geq \epsilon$.

On E, we can find a random sequence $\mathcal{K}$ of positive integers tending to ∞ such that E^{l_k} occurs for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$. For $k \in \mathcal{K}$, let $S^{l_k} \subset Q^{l_k}$ be as in the definition of E^{l_k} and choose $y^{l_k} \in \partial S^{l_k}$. It is clear that a.s. $\liminf_{l \to \infty} \mathrm{diam}(\partial Q^l; d^l) > 0$, so there a.s. exists $\zeta > 0$ such that for large enough $k \in \mathcal{K}$, the d^{l_k}-diameter of $Q^{l_k} \setminus S^{l_k}$ is at least ζ.

For $\delta \in (0, (\epsilon \wedge \zeta)/100)$, define

$$V_\delta^{l_k} := S^{l_k} \setminus B_{4\delta}(y^{l_k}; d^{l_k}) \quad \text{and} \quad U_\delta^{l_k} := Q^{l_k} \setminus \left(S^{l_k} \cup B_{4\delta}(y^{l_k}; d^{l_k})\right).$$

By definition of E^{l_k}, for large enough $k \in \mathcal{K}$ the set $V_\delta^{l_k}$ (resp. $U_\delta^{l_k}$) has d^{l_k}-diameter at least $\epsilon/2$ (resp. $\zeta/2$). Furthermore, since $\mathrm{diam}(\partial S^{l_k}, d^{l_k}) \leq 1/k$, it follows that for large enough $k \in \mathcal{K}$ the sets $\partial S^{l_k} \subset B_{2\delta}(y^{l_k}; d^{l_k})$ so the sets $V_\delta^{l_k}$ and $U_\delta^{l_k}$ lie at d^{l_k}-distance at least δ from each other.

By possibly passing to a further subsequence, we can find $y \in H$ closed sets $U_\delta, V_\delta \subset H$ for each rational $\delta \in (0, (\epsilon \wedge \zeta)/100)$ such that as $\mathcal{K} \ni k \to \infty$, a.s. $y^{l_k} \to y$ and $U_\delta^{l_k} \to U_\delta$ and $V_\delta^{l_k} \to V_\delta$ in the D-Hausdorff metric. Then U_δ and V_δ lie at d_∞-distance at least δ from each other and have d_∞-diameters at least $\epsilon/2$ and $\zeta/2$, respectively. Furthermore, we have $H = U_\delta \cup V_\delta \cup B_{4\delta}(y; d)$. Sending $\delta \to 0$, we see that removing y from H disconnects H into two components. But, H a.s. has the topology of a disk [Bet15], so we obtain a contradiction. □

5.2 Jumps of the UIHPQ boundary length process

In this subsection we consider the boundary length processes for the percolation peeling process on the $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$ $(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$, which we recall are defined in Definition 4.1 and denoted by a superscript ∞. Our main focus is on the macroscopic downward jumps of the process $W^{\infty,L} + W^{\infty,R}$. We recall from (4.3) and the

Markov property of peeling that $W^{\infty,L} + W^{\infty,R}$ has independent increments. Our first lemma gives a tail bound for the total number of covered edges of Q^∞ before the first large downward jump of $W^{\infty,L} + W^{\infty,R}$.

Lemma 5.8. *For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T^\infty(r)$ be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R} \le -r$. There is a universal constant $a_0 > 0$ such that for $C \ge 2$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[Y^{\infty,L}_{T^\infty(r)-1} + Y^{\infty,R}_{T^\infty(r)-1} > Cr\right] \le e^{-a_0 C}.$$

Proof. Let $I_0 = 0$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ inductively let I_k be the smallest $j \ge I_{k-1} + 1$ for which

$$Y_j^{\infty,L} + Y_j^{\infty,R} - Y_{I_{k-1}}^{\infty,L} - Y_{I_{k-1}}^{\infty,R} \ge r.$$

By (4.3) and the strong Markov property, the walk segments $(W^{\infty,L}, W^{\infty,R})|_{[I_{k-1}+1, I_k]_\mathbb{Z}}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are i.i.d.

Let E_k be the event that there is a $j \in [I_{k-1}+1, I_k]_\mathbb{Z}$ for which $W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R} \le -r$. By (4.2), I_k is bounded between the smallest $j \ge I_{k-1} + 1$ for which

$$\min_{i \in [I_{k-1}+1, j]_\mathbb{Z}} \left(W_i^{\infty,L} - W_{I_{k-1}}^{\infty,L}\right) + \min_{i \in [I_{k-1}+1, j]_\mathbb{Z}} \left(W_i^{\infty,R} - W_{I_{k-1}}^{\infty,R}\right) \le -r - 6$$

and the smallest $j \ge I_{k-1} + 1$ for which this quantity is smaller than or equal to $-r + 6$. For any $a, b > 0$, a totally asymmetric 3/2-stable process with no upward jumps has positive probability to have a downward jump of magnitude at least a at or before the first time it reaches $-b$. From this, Lemma 4.3, and the independence of the increments $(W^{\infty,L}, W^{\infty,R})|_{[I_{k-1}+1, I_k]_\mathbb{Z}}$ we infer that there is a $p \in (0,1)$ such that $\mathbb{P}[E_k \,|\, \mathcal{F}^\infty_{I_k}] \ge p$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let K be the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which E_k occurs. Then $T^\infty(r) \in [I_{K-1}, I_K]$. Since $Y^{\infty,L}_{I_k} + Y^{\infty,R}_{I_k} - Y^{\infty,L}_{I_{k-1}} - Y^{\infty,R}_{I_{k-1}} \le 2r$ for $k \le K - 1$, we find that

$$Y^{\infty,L}_{T^\infty(r)-1} + Y^{\infty,R}_{T^\infty(r)-1} \le 2rK.$$

By combining this with the preceding paragraph, we find that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[Y^{\infty,L}_{T^\infty(r)-1} + Y^{\infty,R}_{T^\infty(r)-1} > Cr\right] \le \mathbb{P}[K > C/2] \le (1-p)^{\lfloor C/2 \rfloor}$$

which yields the statement of the lemma. □

We next bound the total number of large downward jumps of $W^{\infty,L} + W^{\infty,R}$ in a given interval.

Lemma 5.9. *For $c > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $N_m^\infty(c)$ be the number of $j \in [1, m]_\mathbb{Z}$ for which*

$$W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R} \le -cm^{2/3}. \tag{5.2}$$

There is a universal constant $a_1 > 0$ such that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[N_m^\infty(c) \ge k] \le (a_1 c^{-3/2})^k. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Let $T_0^\infty = 0$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_k^\infty = T_k^\infty(cm^{2/3})$ be the kth smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}$ for which (5.2) holds. By (4.3) and the Markov property of peeling, the increments $T_k^\infty - T_{k-1}^\infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are i.i.d. By (3.10),

$$\mathbb{P}\left[T_k^\infty - T_{k-1}^\infty \le m\right] \le \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{P}\left[W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R} \le -cm^{2/3}\right] \le a_1 \sum_{j=1}^m (cm^{2/3})^{-3/2} \le a_1 c^{-3/2}$$

for $a_1 > 0$ a universal constant. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}[N_m^\infty(c) \ge k] = \mathbb{P}[T_k^\infty \le m] \le \mathbb{P}\left[T_r^\infty - T_{r-1}^\infty \le m,\ \forall r \in [1,k]_\mathbb{Z}\right] \le (a_1 c^{-3/2})^k.$$ □

Next we bound the maximum magnitude of the two-dimensional boundary length process W^∞ before the time of the first large downward jump.

Lemma 5.10. *For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T^\infty(r)$ be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R} \leq -r$, as in Lemma 5.8. There are universal constants $b_0, b_1 > 0$ such that for each $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $C > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [1, (T^\infty(r)-1)\wedge m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j^\infty| > C(r \vee m^{2/3})\right] \leq b_0 e^{-b_1 C}.$$

Proof. By Definition 4.1, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$|W_j^\infty| \leq |W_j^{\infty,L}| + |W_j^{\infty,R}| \leq W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R} + 2\Big(Y_j^{\infty,L} + Y_j^{\infty,R}\Big). \tag{5.4}$$

By (4.3) and the Markov property of peeling, the increments $W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R}$ are i.i.d. By the analog of (3.10) for the total number of covered edges, the probability that one of these increments is smaller than $-k$ is $\sim k^{-3/2}$. By [GM16a, Lemma 5.8], there exist universal constants $b_0', b_1' > 0$ such that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $C > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [0,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} (W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R}) > Cm^{2/3}\right] \leq b_0' e^{-b_1' C}. \tag{5.5}$$

By combining this with (5.4) and Lemma 5.8 and recalling that $j \mapsto Y_j^{\infty,L}$ and $j \mapsto Y_j^{\infty,R}$ are monotone non-decreasing,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [1, (T^\infty(r)-1)\wedge m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j^\infty| > C(r \vee m^{2/3})\right] \\
&\quad \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} \Big(W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R}\Big) + 2(Y^{\infty,L}_{(T^\infty(r)-1)\wedge m} + Y^{\infty,R}_{(T^\infty(r)-1)\wedge m}) > C(n \vee m^{2/3})\right] \\
&\quad \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} (W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R}) > \frac{1}{2} Cm^{2/3}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[Y^{\infty,L}_{(T^\infty(r)-1)\wedge m} + Y^{\infty,R}_{(T^\infty(r)-1)\wedge m} > \frac{1}{4} Cn\right] \leq b_0 e^{-b_1 C}
\end{aligned}$$

for appropriate $b_0, b_1 > 0$ as in the statement of the lemma. □

We record the following straightforward consequence of the above estimates, which is not needed for the proof of tightness but which will be used in Section 6.

Lemma 5.11. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 1$, the left/right outer boundary length processes satisfy*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} (X_j^{\infty,L} \vee X_j^{\infty,R}) > Cm^{2/3}\right] = o_C^\infty(C)$$

uniformly over all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let a_1 be as in Lemma 5.9 and fix $c > 0$ such that $a_1 c^{-3/2} \leq 1/2$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.9, let $T_0^\infty = 0$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let T_k^∞ be the kth smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $W_j^{\infty,L} + W_j^{\infty,R} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,L} - W_{j-1}^{\infty,R} \leq -cm^{2/3}$. Also let $K = N_m^\infty(c) + 1$ be the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which $T_k^\infty \geq m$.

By (4.2) and since $X_j^{\infty,L} - X_{j-1}^{\infty,L} \leq 2$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we infer that

$$\max_{j \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} X_j^{\infty,L} \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max_{j \in [T_{k-1}^\infty \wedge m, (T_k^\infty - 1)\wedge m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j^{\infty,L} - W^{\infty,L}_{T_{k-1}^\infty \wedge m}| + 8K. \tag{5.6}$$

By Lemma 5.9, $\mathbb{P}[K > \frac{1}{100} C^{1/2}] = o_C^\infty(C)$. By Lemma 5.10 and the strong Markov property, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [T_{k-1}^\infty \wedge m, (T_k^\infty - 1)\wedge m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W_j^{\infty,L} - W^{\infty,L}_{T_{k-1}^\infty \wedge m}| > C^{1/2} m^{2/3}\right] = o_C^\infty(C).$$

Taking a union bound over all $k \in \left[1, \frac{1}{100} C^{1/2}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and recalling (5.6) shows that $\mathbb{P}[\max_{j \in [1,m]_{\mathbb{Z}}} X_j^{\infty,L} > Cm^{2/3}] = o_C^\infty(C)$. We also have the analogous bound with $X^{\infty,R}$ in place of $X^{\infty,L}$. □

Combining the preceding results of this subsection tells us that a regularity event for the boundary length process on the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$, defined in the next lemma, occurs with high probability. This regularity event will be transferred to the setting of free Boltzmann quadrangulations in the next subsection,.

Lemma 5.12. *There is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that the following is true. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta \in (0,1)$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T^{\infty,n}_{k,0}(\delta) = \lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor$ and for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ inductively define*

$$T^{\infty,n}_{k,r}(\delta) := \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor \wedge \inf\left\{ j \geq T^{\infty,n}_{k,r-1}(\delta) + 1 : W^{\infty,L}_j + W^{\infty,R}_j - W^{\infty,L}_{j-1} - W^{\infty,R}_{j-1} \leq -c\delta^{2/3} n^{1/2} \right\}, \tag{5.7}$$

so that $T^{\infty,n}_{k,r}(\delta)$ is the rth smallest time in $[\lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor, \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ at which the total boundary length process has a big downward jump, or $T^{\infty,n}_{k,r}(\delta) = \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor$ if there are fewer than r such jumps.

For $A > 0$ and $\zeta \in (0,1)$, let $E^{\infty,n}(\delta) = E^{\infty,n}(\delta, A, c, \zeta)$ be the event that the following holds.

1. *For each $k \in [1, 2A\delta^{-1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the number of jump times in $[\lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor, \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies $\#\{r \in \mathbb{N} : T^{\infty,n}_{k,r}(\delta) < \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor\} \leq \delta^{-\zeta}$.*
2. *For each $k \in [1, 2A\delta^{-1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and each $r \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\max_{j \in [T^{\infty,n}_{k,r-1}(\delta), T^{\infty,n}_{k,r}(\delta) - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W^{\infty}_j - W^{\infty}_{T^{\infty,n}_{k,r-1}(\delta)}| \leq \delta^{2/3-\zeta} n^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}[E^{\infty,n}(\delta)] \geq 1 - o^{\infty}_{\delta}(\delta)$$

at a rate depending only on A and ζ.

Proof. By Lemma 5.9 (applied with $m = \lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor$) and since W has stationary increments, for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\#\{r \in \mathbb{N} : T^{\infty,n}_{k,r}(\delta) < \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor\} > \delta^{-\zeta} \right] \leq (a_1 c^{-3/2})^{\delta^{-\zeta}}$$

for $a_1 > 0$ a universal constant. Choose $c > 0$ for which $a_1 c^{-3/2} < 1/2$. Then this last probability is of order $o^{\infty}_{\delta}(\delta)$ for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so by a union bound the probability that condition 1 in the definition of $E^n(\delta)$ fails to occur is at most $o^{\infty}_{\delta}(\delta)$.

By Lemma 5.10 (applied with $m = \lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor$, $r = \lfloor c\delta^{2/3} n^{1/2} \rfloor$, and $C = \delta^{-\zeta}$) and the strong Markov property, for each $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \in [T^{\infty,n}_{k,r-1}(\delta), T^{\infty,n}_{k,r}(\delta) - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W^{\infty}_j - W^{\infty}_{T^{\infty,n}_{k,r-1}(\delta)}| \right] = o^{\infty}_{\delta}(\delta). \tag{5.8}$$

If condition 1 in the definition of $E^n(\delta)$ occurs, then there are at most $2A^{-1}\delta^{-1-\zeta}$ pairs $(k,r) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ for which $k \leq 2A^{-1}$ and $T^{n,\infty}_{k,r-1} \neq T^{n,\infty}_{k,r}$. We conclude by applying (5.8), taking a union bound over all such pairs (k,r), and recalling the previous paragraph. □

5.3 Proof of Proposition 5.2

In this subsection we conclude the proof of Proposition 5.2 and thereby the proof of Proposition 5.1. Throughout this subsection, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1$ we define the stopping time $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ as in (4.23). Our main aim is to prove the following statement, which will be combined with Lemma 5.7 to obtain Proposition 5.2.

Proposition 5.13. *Let $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\zeta \in (0, 1/3)$. There exists $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1$, $A > 0$, and $\delta_* \in (0,1)$ depending on ϵ and ζ, such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta_*]$ there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \epsilon, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$ the following holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$.*

1. *$I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq An^{3/4}$ and the unexplored quadrangulation $\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}$ has internal graph distance diameter at most $\epsilon n^{1/4}$.*

2. *For each* $j \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} - 1]_{\lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$, *the percolation path increment* $\lambda^n([j, (j+\delta n^{3/4}) \wedge I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$ *is contained in a subgraph of* $\overline{Q}^n_j$ *whose boundary relative to* $\overline{Q}^n_j$ *(Section 2.1.2) contains* $\dot{e}^n_j$ *and has* $\overline{Q}^n_j$*-graph distance diameter at most* $\frac{1}{2}\delta^{1/3-\zeta} n^{1/4}$.

Remark 5.14. Since internal $\overline{Q}^n_j$-graph distances are dominated by Q^n-graph distances and since each interval $[j_1, j_2]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$ with $0 \le j_2 - j_1 \le \delta n^{3/4}$ and $j_1, j_2 \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} - 1]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$ is contained in $[j, (j + 2\delta n^{3/4}) \wedge I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$ for some $j \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} - 1]_{\lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$, condition 2 in Proposition 5.13 implies the following slightly weaker condition:

2' For each $j_1, j_2 \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} - 1]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$ with $0 \le j_2 - j_1 \le \delta n^{3/4}$, the percolation path increment $\lambda^n([j_1, j_2]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in a subgraph of Q^n whose boundary relative to Q^n has Q^n-graph distance diameter at most $\delta^{1/3-\zeta} n^{1/4}$.

When we apply Proposition 5.13, we will typically use condition 2'. But, on one occasion (in Section 6.4) we will need the stronger condition 2.

Proposition 5.13 only gives an upper bound for the diameter of the *boundary* of a set containing each $\delta n^{3/4}$-length increment of λ^n, but this will be enough for our purposes due to Lemma 5.7.

The idea of the proof of Proposition 5.13 is as follows. When α_1 is small, the diameter of the final small unexplored quadrangulation $\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}$ can be bounded using the scaling limit result for free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary, so we can restrict attention to $[0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Using Lemmas 4.5 and 5.12, we show that with high probability when $\delta > 0$ is small, no $\delta n^{3/4}$-length interval of time which is contained in $[0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ contains more than $\delta^{o_\delta(1)}$ downward jumps of $W^{L,n} + W^{R,n}$ of size larger than a constant times $\delta^{2/3} n^{1/2}$, and the supremum of $|W^n|$ over the intervals of time between these downward jumps is at most of order $\delta^{2/3+o_\delta(1)} n^{1/2}$ (see Lemma 5.16).

This gives us an upper bound for the outer boundary lengths of the sub-quadrangulations of Q^n discovered by the percolation peeling process between the times corresponding to the downward jumps of $W^{L,n} + W^{R,n}$ of size at least $\delta^{2/3} n^{1/2}$ which happen before time $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$. of Combining this with the estimates of Section 5.1 gives an upper bound for the diameters of the boundaries of these sub-quadrangulations, which leads to the estimate of Proposition 5.13.

See Figure 4 for an illustration of the proof.

We now proceed with the details. Fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\zeta \in (0, 1/3)$. We start by making a suitable choice of α_1.

Lemma 5.15. *There exists* $\overline{\alpha}_1 = \overline{\alpha}_1(\epsilon) > 0$ *such that for each* $\alpha_1 \in (0, \overline{\alpha}_1]$, *there exists* $n_0 = n_0(\alpha_1, \epsilon) > 0$ *such that for each* $\alpha_0 \in (0, \alpha_1)$ *and each* $n \ge n_0$, *the internal diameter of the unexplored quadrangulation at time* $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ *satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{diam}\left(\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}\right) \le \epsilon n^{1/4} \,|\, \mathcal{F}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}\right] \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$

Proof. Since $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ is a stopping time for the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}^n_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of (3.13), the conditional law given $\mathcal{F}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}$ of the quadrangulation $\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}$ is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and perimeter $W^{L,n}_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} + W^{R,n}_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} + l^n_L + l^n_R$, which by the definition (4.23) is at most $2\alpha_1 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2}$. The statement of the lemma now follows since a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary converges in the scaling limit to the Brownian disk when we rescale distances by a factor proportional to the square root of the boundary length [GM17c, Theorem 1.4]. □

Henceforth fix $\alpha_1 \in (0, \overline{\alpha}_1]$ and n_0 as in Lemma 5.15. By Lemma 4.11, there exists $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\alpha_1, \epsilon) \in (0, \alpha_1)$, $A = A(\alpha_1, \epsilon) > 0$, and $n_1 = n_1(\alpha_1, \epsilon) \ge n_0$ such that for $n \ge n_1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \le A n^{3/4}\right] \ge 1 - \epsilon. \tag{5.9}$$

From now on fix such an α_0, A, and n_1.

We next transfer the regularity statement for the boundary length processes from Lemma 5.12 to the setting of this subsection. Note that the statement of the following lemma is essentially identical to that of Lemma 5.12, except that we work with finite quadrangulations, we replace ζ with $\zeta/2$, and we truncate at the time $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$.



Figure 4: Illustration of the proof of Proposition 5.13. The quadrangulations $\dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ (light blue) correspond to increments of time contained in $[\lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4}\rfloor, \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4}\rfloor \wedge I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ between the times $T^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ at which percolation peeling process does not cut off a bubble with boundary length larger than $c\delta^{2/3}n^{1/2}$ (these bubbles are colored grey). The boundary lengths of these quadrangulations and the maximal number of such quadrangulations for each value of k are bounded in Lemma 5.15. This leads to an upper bound the the graph-distance diameters of their boundaries in Lemma 5.17. Each percolation path increment $\lambda^n([\lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4}\rfloor, \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4}\rfloor \wedge I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in the corresponding filled quadrangulation $\dot{Q}^{n,\bullet}_k$ (outlined in blue), which consists of the union of the quadrangulations $\dot{Q}^n_{k,r}$ over all possible values of r and the set of of vertices and edges which it disconnects from ∂Q^n. We bound the graph-distance diameter of $\partial\dot{Q}^{n,\bullet}_k$ by summing over r.

Lemma 5.16. *Let ϵ, ζ, α_0, α_1, and A be as above. There is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that the following is true. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta \in (0,1)$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T^n_{k,0}(\delta) = I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \wedge \lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4}\rfloor$ and for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ inductively define*

$$T^n_{k,r}(\delta) := I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \wedge \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4}\rfloor \wedge \inf\left\{ j \geq T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta) + 1 : W^{L,n}_j + W^{R,n}_j - W^{L,n}_{j-1} - W^{R,n}_{j-1} \leq -c\delta^{2/3}n^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (5.10)$$

Let $E^n(\delta) = E^n(\delta, c, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, A, \zeta)$ be the event that the following hold.

1. *$I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq An^{3/4}$.*
2. *For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $\#\{r \in \mathbb{N} : T^n_{k,r}(\delta) < I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \wedge \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4}\rfloor\} \leq \delta^{-\zeta/2}$.*
3. *For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $r \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\max_{j \in [T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta), T^n_{k,r}(\delta)-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W^n_j - W^n_{T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta)}| \leq \delta^{2/3-\zeta/2}n^{1/2}.$$

There is a $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \zeta, \epsilon) \in (0,1)$ and an $n_2 = n_2(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \zeta, \epsilon) \geq n_1$ such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and $n \geq n_2$,

$$\mathbb{P}[E^n(\delta)] \geq 1 - 2\epsilon.$$

Proof. Let $I^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ be the analogous stopping time for the percolation peeling process on the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$, as in (4.24). As in the proof of Lemma 4.9, there is a $\widetilde{n}_2 = \widetilde{n}_2(\alpha_1, \epsilon) \geq n_1$ such that for $n \geq \widetilde{n}_2$, the law of $W^n|_{[0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ on the event $\{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $W^\infty|_{[0, I^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}}$, with Radon-Nikodym derivative bounded above by $b\alpha_0^{-5/2}\mathbb{1}_{(I^{\infty,n}_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \mathcal{J}^{\infty,n})}$, where here $b > 0$ is a constant depending only on $(\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R)$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\infty,n}$ is as in (4.8).

Let $c > 0$ be the constant from Lemma 5.12. By Lemma 5.12 (applied with $\zeta/2$ in place of ζ and $b^{-1}\alpha_0^{5/2}\epsilon$ in place of ϵ), there exists $\delta_0 = \delta_0(A, \zeta, \alpha_0, \epsilon) \in (0,1)$ such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$, the event of that lemma defined with $\zeta/2$ in place of ζ and A as in (5.9) satisfies $\mathbb{P}[E^{\infty,n}(\delta)] \geq 1 - b^{-1}\alpha_0^{5/2}\epsilon$. By combining this with the above Radon-Nikodym derivative estimate and (5.9), we obtain the statement of the lemma. □

Henceforth fix δ_0 and n_2 as in Lemma 5.16. We will now define some sub-quadrangulations of Q^n which are illustrated in Figure 4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$, define the time $T^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as in (5.10) and define the terminal indices for these stopping times by

$$k^n_*(\delta) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : T^n_{k,0}(\delta) = I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad r^n_{*,k}(\delta) := \min\left\{r \in \mathbb{N}_0 : T^n_{k,r}(\delta) = \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor\right\}. \tag{5.11}$$

On the event $E^n(\delta)$ of Lemma 5.16, we have $k^n_*(\delta) \le A\delta^{-1}$ and $r^n_{*,k}(\delta) \le \delta^{-\zeta/2}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $r \in [1, r^n_{*,k}(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, define

$$\dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta) := \left(\dot{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)-1} \cap \overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta)}\right) \cup \mathfrak{f}\left(\overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)-1}, \dot{e}_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)}\right). \tag{5.12}$$

That is, $\dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ is obtained from the peeling cluster increment $\dot{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)} \cap \overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta)}$ by removing the quadrangulation(s) which are disconnected from the target edge at time $T^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ (one of these quadrangulations might be quite large since $W^{L,n} + W^{R,n}$ may have a large downward jump at time $T^n_{k,r}(\delta)$). The chordal percolation exploration path λ^n satisfies

$$\lambda^n\left([T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta), T^n_{k,r}(\delta)]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}\right) \subset \dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta), \quad \forall k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \forall r \in [1, r^n_{*,k}(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{5.13}$$

Let $\dot{Q}^{n,\bullet}_k(\delta)$ be the union of $\bigcup_{r=1}^{r^n_{*,k}(\delta)} \dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ and the set of all vertices and edges which are disconnected from ∂Q^n by this union. Then $\dot{Q}^{n,\bullet}_k(\delta)$ is a quadrangulation with simple boundary and by (5.13),

$$\lambda^n([\lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor, \lfloor k\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor \wedge I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}) \subset \dot{Q}^{n,\bullet}_k, \quad \forall k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{5.14}$$

Furthermore,

$$\partial \dot{Q}^{n,\bullet}_k(\delta) \subset \bigcup_{r=1}^{r^n_{*,k}(\delta)} \partial \dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta). \tag{5.15}$$

Hence we are lead to estimate the diameters of the boundaries of the quadrangulations $\dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta)$.

Lemma 5.17. *For each $\zeta \in (0, 1/3)$, there exists $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \zeta, \epsilon) \in (0, \delta_0]$ such that for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_1]$, there exists $n_3 = n_3(\delta, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \zeta, \epsilon) \ge n_2$ such that for each $n \ge n_3$, it holds with probability at least $1 - 3\epsilon$ that the event $E^n(\delta)$ of Lemma 5.16 occurs and*

$$\operatorname{diam}\left(\partial \dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta); \overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta)}\right) \le \frac{1}{2}\delta^{1/3-\zeta/2} n^{1/4}, \quad \forall k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \forall r \in [1, r^n_{*,k}(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Proof. By Definition 4.1 and (4.2), for $k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $r \in [1, r^n_{*,k}(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\#\mathcal{E}\left(\partial \dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta)\right) \le 2 \max_{j \in [T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta), T^n_{k,r}(\delta)-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |W^n_j - W^n_{T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta)}| + 12.$$

Hence on the event $E^n(\delta)$ of Lemma 5.16,

$$\#\mathcal{E}\left(\partial \dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta)\right) \le 2\delta^{2/3-\zeta/2} n^{1/2} + 12, \quad \forall k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \forall r \in [1, r^n_{*,k}(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{5.16}$$

For $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $(k,r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0$, let $A^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ be the boundary arc of the unexplored quadrilateral $\overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)}$ which contains $\lceil 2\delta^{2/3-\zeta/2} n^{1/2} + 12 \rceil$ edges of $\partial \overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)}$ lying to the left and to the right of the root edge $\dot{e}_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)+1}$. By (5.16), if $E^n(\delta)$ occurs then

$$\partial \dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta) \subset A^n_{k,r-1}(\delta) \cup A^n_{k,r}(\delta) \cup \partial \mathfrak{f}\left(\overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)-1}, \dot{e}_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)}\right), \quad \forall k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \forall r \in [1, r^n_{*,k}(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{5.17}$$

Here we recall that $\partial \mathfrak{f}\left(\overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)-1}, \dot{e}_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)}\right)$ is the boundary of the peeled quadrilateral at time $T^n_{k,r}(\delta)$, which contains 4 edges.

We will now estimate $\operatorname{diam}(A^n_{k,r}; \overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)})$. By, e.g., Lemma 4.9, there is a $C = C(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}[G^n] \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{where} \quad G^n := \left\{ \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} |W^n_j| \leq C n^{1/2} \right\}. \tag{5.18}$$

Each of the times $T^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ is a $\{\mathcal{F}^n_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$-stopping time. By the Markov property of peeling, for each $(k,r) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ the conditional law given $\mathcal{F}_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)}$ of the unexplored quadrangulation $(\overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)}, \dot{e}_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)+1})$ is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and perimeter $W^{L,n}_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)} + W^{R,n}_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)} + l^n_L + l^n_R$. Since $T^n_{k,r}(\delta) \leq I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ by definition, this perimeter lies in $[\alpha_0 \mathfrak{c} n^{1/2}, 2Cn^{1/2}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ provided the event G^n of (5.18) occurs and $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty$.

Lemma 5.4 implies that there is a $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \zeta, \epsilon) \in (0, \delta_0]$ such that for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_1]$, there exists $n_3 = n_3(\delta, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \zeta, \epsilon) \geq n_2$ as in the statement of the lemma such that for $n \geq n_3$ and $(k,r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{diam}\left(A^n_{k,r}(\delta); \overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)} \right) > \frac{1}{4} \delta^{1/3 - \zeta/2} n^{1/4} - 4, \ G^n, \ I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \delta^{1+\zeta/2} \epsilon.$$

Since $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty$, $k^n_*(\delta) \leq A\delta^{-1}$, and $r^n_{*,k}(\delta) \leq \delta^{-\zeta/2}$ for each $k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $E^n(\delta)$ and since $\mathbb{P}[G^n \cap E^n(\delta)] \geq 1 - 5\epsilon/2$, we can take a union bound over at most $O_\delta(\delta^{-1-\zeta/2})$ values of k to get

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{diam}\left(A^n_{k,r}(\delta); \overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r}(\delta)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \delta^{1/3 - \zeta/2} n^{1/4} - 4, \ \forall k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \ \forall r \in [0, r^n_{*,k}(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \ E^n(\delta) \right] \geq 1 - 3\epsilon. \tag{5.19}$$

The statement of the lemma now follows by combining (5.17) with (5.19). □

Proof of Proposition 5.13. Let $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \zeta, \epsilon) \in (0, \delta_0]$ and $n_3 = n_3(\delta, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \zeta, \epsilon) \geq n_2$ for $\delta \in (0, \delta_1]$ be as in Lemma 5.17.

By Lemma 5.17, if $\delta \in (0, \delta_1]$ and $n \geq n_3$ it holds with probability at least $1 - 3\epsilon$ that $E^n(\delta)$ occurs and each of the boundaries $\partial \dot{Q}^n_{k,r}(\delta)$ for $k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $r \in [1, r^n_{*,k}(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ has $\overline{Q}^n_{T^n_{k,r-1}(\delta)}$- and hence also $\overline{Q}^n_{\lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor}$-diameter at most $\frac{1}{2}\delta^{1/3-\zeta/2} n^{1/4}$. Henceforth assume that this is the case.

By condition 2 in the definition of $E^n(\delta)$, we have $r^n_{*,k}(\delta) \leq \delta^{-\zeta/2}$ for each $k \in [1, k^n_*(\delta)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. By combining this with (5.15) and using that $\dot{Q}^{n,\bullet}_k$ is connected, we see that each $\partial \dot{Q}^{n,\bullet}_k$ has $\overline{Q}^n_{\lfloor (k-1)\delta n^{3/4} \rfloor}$-diameter at most $\frac{1}{2}\delta^{1/3-\zeta} n^{1/4}$. By (5.14), we infer that condition 2 in the proposition statement is satisfied.

On $E^n(\delta)$ we have $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq A n^{3/4}$ and by Lemma 5.15, for $n \geq n_3$ it holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$ that $\operatorname{diam}\left(\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} \right) \leq \epsilon n^{1/4}$, i.e. condition 1 in the proposition statement is satisfied. Hence the statement of the proposition is satisfied with 4ϵ in place of ϵ. Since $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is arbitrary, we conclude. □

Proof of Proposition 5.2. Fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\zeta \in (0, 1/3)$ and let $\delta_* = \delta_*(\epsilon, \zeta) \in (0,1)$ be as in Proposition 5.13. By Lemma 5.7, there is a $\delta'_* = \delta_*(\epsilon) \in (0, \delta_*]$ such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta'_*]$, there exists $n'_* = n'_*(\delta, \epsilon) \geq n_*$ such that for $n \geq n'_*$, it holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$ that the following is true. For each subgraph S of Q^n with $\operatorname{diam}(\partial S; Q^n) \leq \delta^{1/3-\zeta}$, it holds that $\operatorname{diam}(S; Q^n) \leq \epsilon$. .

By combining the preceding paragraph with Proposition 5.13 (c.f. Remark 5.14), we find that if $n \geq n'_*$, then with probability at least $1 - 2\epsilon$, it holds that $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} < \infty$,

$$\operatorname{diam}\left(\lambda^n([j_1, j_2]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}); Q^n \right) \leq \epsilon n^{1/4}, \quad \forall j_1, j_2 \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} - 1]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \text{ with } 0 \leq j_2 - j_1 \leq \delta n^{3/4}, \tag{5.20}$$

and $\operatorname{diam}\left(\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} \right) \leq \epsilon n^{1/4}$. Since $\lambda^n([I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}, \infty)_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}) \subset \overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}$, we find that the statement of the proposition is satisfied with 2ϵ in place of ϵ. Since $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is arbitrary, we conclude. □

We end by recording an analog of Proposition 5.13 for face percolation on the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$, whose proof is a subset of a proof of Proposition 5.13. In contrast to the statement of Proposition 5.13, we get a quantitative estimate for the probability of our regularity event, since we do not need to deal with any analog of the time $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$.

Proposition 5.18. *Let $\zeta \in (0,1/3)$ and $A > 0$. For each $\delta \in (0,1)$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta, A) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, it holds with probability at least $1 - o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$ (at a rate which is uniform for $n \geq n_*$) such that the following is true. For each $j \in [0, An^{3/4}]_{\lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$, the percolation path increment $\lambda^\infty([j, j+\delta n^{3/4}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in a subgraph of the unexplored quadrangulation $\overline{Q}_j^\infty$ whose boundary relative to $\overline{Q}_j^\infty$ contains $\dot{e}_j^\infty$ and has $\overline{Q}_j^\infty$-graph distance diameter at most $\frac{1}{2}\delta^{1/3-\zeta} n^{1/4}$.*

Proof. From Lemmas 5.12 and 5.6, we obtain an analog of Lemma 5.17 for face percolation on the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ which holds on an event of probability $1 - o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$ rather than $1 - 3\epsilon$ using exactly the same argument used to prove Lemma 5.17. From this, we deduce the statement of the proposition via the same argument used to conclude the proof of Proposition 5.13. □

We note that the condition of Proposition 5.18 implies a weaker condition with Q^∞-graph distances in place of $\overline{Q}_j^\infty$-graph distances, analogous to the one in Remark 5.14.

6 Crossings between filled metric balls

Suppose $l_L, l_R \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that l_L and l_R are either both even, both odd, or both infinite and $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ is a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $l_L + l_R$. Consider the percolation peeling process of $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ with l_L-white/l_R-black boundary conditions as defined in Section 3.3.

The goal of this section is to bound the number of times that the associated percolation exploration path λ can cross an annulus between two filled graph metric balls in Q. This estimate will allow us to conclude in Section 7.4 that a subsequential scaling limit of the percolation exploration paths can hit any single point at most 6 times. See Section 1.5 for a discussion of why we need this fact.

Before stating the main result of this section, we introduce some notation.

Definition 6.1. For sub-graphs $S_0 \subset S_1 \subset Q$, an *inside-outside crossing* of $S_1 \setminus S_0$ by the percolation peeling process of $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ is a discrete time interval $[i_0, i_1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ such that the peeled edges satisfy $\dot{e}_{i_0} \in S_0$, $\dot{e}_{i_1} \notin S_1$, and $\dot{e}_j \in S_1 \setminus S_0$ for each $j \in [i_0 + 1, i_1 - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We write $\mathrm{cross}(S_0, S_1)$ for the set of all crossings of $S_1 \setminus S_0$.

We will sometimes include an extra superscript ∞ in the notation $\mathrm{cross}(S_0, S_1)$ to denote the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ case or an extra superscript n when we take l_L and l_R to depend on n.

Since the percolation exploration path satisfies $\lambda(j) = \dot{e}_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, an element of $\mathrm{cross}(S_0, S_1)$ is (essentially) the same as a crossing of $S_1 \setminus S_0$ by the path λ. We work with crossings of the percolation peeling process instead mostly for the notational convenience of not having to worry about the values of λ at half-integer times.

We will primarily be interested in inside-outside crossings of annular regions between filled graph metric balls, which are defined as follows.

Definition 6.2. For a subgraph Q' of Q containing the target edge $\mathbb{e}_*$ (or an unbounded subgraph in the case $l = \infty$), $r \geq 0$, and a subset S of Q' consisting of vertices and edges, the *filled metric ball* $B_r^\bullet(S; Q')$ is the subgraph of Q' consisting of the graph metric ball $B_r(S; Q')$ and the set of all vertices and edges of Q' which it disconnects from $\mathbb{e}_*$ (or ∞ if $l = \infty$).

Note that $B_r^\bullet(S; Q') = Q'$ if $\mathrm{dist}(S, \mathbb{e}_*; Q') < r$.

Suppose now that we are in the setting of Theorem 1.2, so that $\{(l_L^n, l_R^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of pairs of positive integers such that $l_L^n + l_R^n$ is always even, $\mathbb{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} l_L^n \to \mathfrak{l}_L > 0$, and $\mathbb{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} l_R^n \to \mathfrak{l}_R > 0$. Let $(Q^n, \mathbb{e}^n, \theta^n)$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $l_L^n + l_R^n$ and, as per usual, denote the objects from Section 3.3 with l_L^n-white/l_R^n-black boundary conditions and the crossing sets of Definition 6.1 with an additional superscript n. The main result of this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *For each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and each $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$, there exists $\delta_* = \delta_*(\epsilon, \zeta) \in (0,1)$ such that for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_*]$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \epsilon, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, it holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$ that the following is true. For each vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}(Q^n)$ with $\mathrm{dist}(v, \mathbb{e}_*^n; Q^n) \geq \epsilon n^{1/4}$, the number of inside-outside crossings (Definition 6.1) of the filled metric ball annulus $B_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}^\bullet(v; Q^n) \setminus B_{\delta n^{1/4}}^\bullet(v; Q^n)$ satisfies*

$$\#\,\mathrm{cross}^n\left(B_{\delta n^{1/4}}^\bullet(v; Q^n), B_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}^\bullet(v; Q^n)\right) \leq 6.$$

The important point in Proposition 6.3 is that we have some constant finite upper bound for the number of crossings; the particular number 6 is not important and we do not try to optimize it. Since chordal SLE_6 does not have any triple points [MW14, Remark 5.3], once Theorem 1.2 is established we will obtain that for fixed $\rho > 0$, it is a.s. the case that $\#\operatorname{cross}^n\left(B^\bullet_{\delta n^{1/4}}(v;Q^n), B^\bullet_{\rho n^{1/4}}(v;Q^n)\right) \leq 2$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(Q^n)$ for small enough δ.

Although Proposition 6.3 is a statement about inside-outside crossings by the percolation peeling process of filled metric balls centered at general vertices of Q^n, for most of the proof of the proposition we will instead consider a closely related quantity which is defined precisely in Section 6.1. In particular, we will bound the number of percolation interfaces which cross an annulus between two filled metric balls centered at edges on the boundary of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary or a $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$; as we will see in Lemma 6.5, this quantity provides an upper bound for the quantity considered in Proposition 6.3.

In Section 6.2 we will prove Proposition 6.6, which is the key estimate needed for the proof of Proposition 6.3 and which is formulated in the setting of face percolation on the $\mathrm{UIHPQ_S}$. Roughly speaking, this proposition gives for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$ an n-independent upper bound for the probability that there are more than N face percolation interface paths which cross an annulus between two filled metric balls of respective radii $\delta n^{1/4}$ and $\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}$ centered at the root edge, provided we truncate on the event that the boundary length of the inner filled metric ball is not unusually large. By the union bound, for $M \in \mathbb{N}$ one gets the same estimate simultaneously for the unexplored quadrangulation at all times in $[0, n^{3/4}]_{\lfloor \delta^M n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$ except that we lose a factor of δ^{-M}.

In Section 6.3, we prove an upper bound for the boundary lengths of filled metric balls centered at edges on the boundary in a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, which will be used to remove the truncation in the estimate of Section 6.2. In Section 6.4, we conclude the proof of Proposition 6.3 using the estimates of the preceding subsections, the modulus of continuity estimate for λ^n in Proposition 5.13, and a triangle inequality argument.

6.1 Interface path crossings and peeling-by-layers clusters

Instead of bounding inside-outside crossings by the percolation peeling process of an annulus between filled metric balls, throughout most of this section we will focus on bounding the number of interface paths which cross an annulus between two peeling-by-layers clusters, a closely related quantity which has nicer probabilistic properties. The purpose of this brief subsection is to define the above quantity precisely and explain how it is related to the crossing count considered in Proposition 6.3.

Throughout this subsection, we suppose we are in the general setting described at the very beginning of this section, so that $l_L, l_R \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that l_L and l_R are either both even, both odd, or both infinite, $(Q, \mathbb{e}, \theta)$ is a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $l_L + l_R$, and we define the percolation peeling process with l_L-white/l_R-black boundary conditions as in Section 3.3.

For $r, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a finite connected set $\mathbb{A}$ of edges on the boundary of the unexplored quadrangulation $\overline{Q}_j$, we let $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j)$ be the radius-r peeling-by-layers cluster of $\overline{Q}_j$ with initial edge set $\mathbb{A}$ targeted at $\mathbb{e}_*$ as in [GM17c, Section 4.1] (where it is denoted by $\dot{Q}_{J_r}$). The set $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j)$ is essentially the same as the filled metric ball of radius r (Definition 6.2) in the sense that

$$B^\bullet_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j) \subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j) \subset B^\bullet_{r+2}(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j). \tag{6.1}$$

We also define the outer boundary arc of $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j) := \mathcal{E}\left(\partial B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j) \setminus \partial \overline{Q}_j\right). \tag{6.2}$$

As explained in [GM17c, Section 4.1], $B^\bullet_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j)$ is the cluster of a peeling process of $\overline{Q}_j$ (the so-called peeling-by-layers process) run up to a stopping time, so by the Markov property of peeling the unexplored quadrangulation $(\overline{Q}_j \setminus B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j)) \cup \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j)$ is conditionally independent from $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_r(\mathbb{A}; \overline{Q}_j)$ given its perimeter and its conditional law is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of given perimeter.

Definition 6.4. For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\mathrm{IP}_j(r_0, r_1)$ be the set of interface paths (Section 3.4) which cross the semi-annular region $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_1}(\dot{e}_j; \overline{Q}_j) \setminus B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_0}(\dot{e}_j; \overline{Q}_j)$ from inside to outside, i.e. the number of interface paths $\mathring{\lambda} : [0, b]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{E}(Q)$ such that $\mathring{\lambda}(0) \in B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_0}(\dot{e}_j; \overline{Q}_j)$, $\mathring{\lambda}(b) \notin B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_1}(\dot{e}_j; \overline{Q}_j)$, and $\mathring{\lambda}([1, b-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_1}(\dot{e}_j; \overline{Q}_j) \setminus B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_0}(\dot{e}_j; \overline{Q}_j)$.

The following lemma is the main reason for our interest in the set of interface paths $\mathrm{IP}_j(r_0, r_1)$.

Lemma 6.5. *For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each $0 \le r_0 < r_1$ with $r_1 - r_0 \ge 5$, one has, in the notation of Definitions 6.1 and 6.4,*

$$\#\operatorname{cross}\big(B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_0}(\dot e_j; \overline{Q}_j), B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_1}(\dot e_j; \overline{Q}_j)\big) \le \#\,\mathrm{IP}_j(r_0 + 2, r_1 - 2).$$

Proof. By stationarity it suffices to prove the statement of the lemma for $j = 0$. Let $\mathring\lambda_*$ and $\dot v_j$ and s_j for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be the interface path, vertices, and times, respectively, from Lemma 3.1 so that $\dot v_j$ is an endpoint of $\dot e_j$ and is the terminal endpoint of $\mathring\lambda_*(s_j)$.

Suppose $[i_0, i_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is an inside-outside crossing of $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_1}(\dot e_j; \overline{Q}_j) \setminus B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_0}(\dot e_j; \overline{Q}_j)$. Then $\mathring\lambda_*(s_{i_0}) \in B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_0}(\dot e_j; \overline{Q}_j)$ and $\mathring\lambda_*(s_{i_1}) \notin B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{r_1}(\dot e_j; \overline{Q}_j)$ so there exists a discrete interval $[\mathring k_0, \mathring k_1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset [s_{i_0}, s_{i_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\mathring\lambda_*|_{[\mathring k_0, \mathring k_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ belongs to $\mathrm{IP}_0(r_0 + 2, r_1 - 2)$. Distinct inside-outside crossings $[i_0, i_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are disjoint, so (since $\mathring\lambda_*$ is a simple path) must correspond to intervals $[s_{i_0}, s_{i_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ which can possibly intersect only at their endpoints and hence to distinct elements of $\mathrm{IP}_0(r_0 + 2, r_1 - 2)$. □

6.2 Crossings of semi-annuli in the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$

Throughout this subsection we assume we are in the setting of Section 3.3 with $l_L = l_R = \infty$ (so in particular $(Q^\infty, \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ is a UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$) and as per usual we denote the objects of that subsection with a superscript ∞. Our goal is to prove the following estimate for the number of interface paths which cross an annulus between two peeling-by-layers clusters centered at the root edge, which is the main input in the proof of Proposition 6.3.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$, $M > 0$, and $A > 0$. For each $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta, A) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge n_*$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds with probability at least $1 - O_\delta(\delta^{N(1-\zeta/3)-M})$ (at a rate which is uniform for $n \ge n_*$) that, in the notation of Definition 6.4 and (6.2),*

$$\#\,\mathrm{IP}^\infty_j(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4}) \le N,\ \forall j \in [0, An^{3/4}]_{\lfloor \delta^M n^{3/4}\rfloor_{\mathbb{Z}}} \quad \text{with} \quad \#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\dot e^\infty_j; \overline{Q}^\infty_j) \le \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}.$$



Figure 5: Illustration of the proof of Proposition 6.6. The inner (resp. outer) peeling-by-layers cluster $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ (resp. $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$) is shown in pink (resp. light blue). The arc $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ is shown in green. Here there are three elements of $\mathrm{IP}^\infty_0(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4})$ (blue paths) and K, the total number of auxiliary percolation peeling processes needed before we disconnect $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ from ∞, is equal to 4. The initial edges e^k_0 for these clusters as well as the time-$(T^k + 1)$ peeled edge for each cluster is denoted with a red dot. Note that it is possible for one of the clusters $\dot Q^k_{T^k}$ to hit one of the interface paths in $\mathrm{IP}^\infty_0(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4})$ which is hit by a previous cluster or to not hit any of these interface paths which has not been hit by a previous cluster (both of these are the case for $\dot Q^3_{T^3}$ in the figure). However, no single cluster $\dot Q^k_{T^k}$ can hit two different interface paths which are not hit by previous clusters.

To prove Proposition 6.6, we will first prove an estimate for $j = 0$ then take a union bound. See Figure 5 for an illustration of the proof. The idea is to iteratively grow percolation peeling clusters in the unbounded complementary connected component of $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(Q^\infty; \mathbb{e}^\infty)$ up to the first time T^k that either the right outer boundary length of the current cluster exceeds $\delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2}$ or the union of the previous clusters disconnects the arc $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ from ∞. The total number K of such clusters which we need to grow before disconnecting $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ from ∞ provides an upper bound for $\#\,\mathrm{IP}^\infty_j(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4})$ on the event that none of the clusters exits $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ before time T^k (Lemma 6.7).

On the other hand, if $\#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ is at most $\delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$, then peeling estimates give us an upper bound for K (Lemma 6.8); and since distances along the boundary of the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ can be bounded above in terms of boundary length, it is very unlikely that any of our clusters exits $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ before time T^k (Lemma 6.10). Combining these facts and taking a union bound over $j \in [1, An^{3/4}]_{\lfloor \delta^M n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$ proves Proposition 6.6

We now proceed with the details. Our first task is to define the auxiliary percolation peeling clusters mentioned above. Fix $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\delta \in (0,1)$. We will define nested quadrangulations with infinite simple boundary $Q^1_0 \supset Q^2_0 \supset \dots$, root edges $e^k_0 \in \mathcal{E}(\partial Q^k_0)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and σ-algebras $\mathcal{F}^k_0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the conditional law of (Q^k_0, e^k_0) given $\mathcal{F}^k_0$ is that of a UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$. Each of these UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$'s will be equipped with a percolation peeling process started from the root edge and targeted at ∞, and the associated objects will be denoted by a superscript k.

Let Q^1_0 be the unexplored quadrangulation for the peeling-by-layers process of Q^∞ started from $\mathbb{e}^\infty$ grown up to radius $\delta n^{1/4}$, so that

$$\mathcal{E}(Q^1_0) = \mathcal{E}\Big(Q^\infty \setminus B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)\Big) \cup \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}^1_0$ be the σ-algebra generated by this peeling-by-layers process grown up to radius $\delta n^{1/4}$. Also let e^1_0 be the edge of ∂Q^1_0 immediately to the left of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$, equivalently the edge of ∂Q^∞ immediately to the left of $\partial B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \cap \partial Q^\infty$. By the Markov property of peeling, the conditional law of (Q^1_0, e^1_0) given $\mathcal{F}^1_0$ is that of a UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$.

Inductively, suppose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have defined a σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}^k_0$ and an infinite rooted quadrangulation (Q^k_0, e^k_0) with simple boundary whose conditional law given $\mathcal{F}^k_0$ is that of a UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$. Let $\{\dot{Q}^k_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $\{\overline{Q}^k_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, and $\{\dot{e}^k_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the clusters, unexplored quadrangulations, peeled edges, respectively, for the percolation peeling process of (Q^k_0, e^k_0) targeted at ∞ (i.e., with white/black boundary conditions). Also let $\{\mathcal{F}^k_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be the filtration generated by $\mathcal{F}^k_0$ and the clusters and peeling steps of this process up to time j.

We note that the boundary conditions used to define the percolation peeling process in Q^k_0 are *not* the boundary conditions inherited from the inclusion $Q^k_0 \subset Q^\infty$; rather, for the purpose of defining this peeling process we ignore the colors of the quadrilaterals in $\mathcal{F}(Q^k_0) \setminus \mathcal{F}(Q^\infty)$ and pretend that all quadrilaterals adjacent to edges of ∂Q^k_0 lying to the left (resp. right) of e^k_0 are white (resp. black).

Define the boundary length processes $X^{k,L}, X^{k,R}, Y^{k,L}, Y^{k,R}$, and $W^k = (W^{k,L}, W^{k,R})$ as in Definition 4.1 for this peeling process and define the stopping times

$$T^k := \min\Big\{ j \in \mathbb{N}_0 : X^{k,R}_j \geq \delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2} \text{ or } \partial \overline{Q}^k_j \cap \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) = \emptyset \Big\}. \tag{6.3}$$

Let $Q^{k+1}_0 := \overline{Q}^k_{T^k}$, let $\mathcal{F}^{k+1}_0 := \mathcal{F}^k_0 \vee \mathcal{F}^k_{T^k}$, and let e^{k+1}_0 be the edge of ∂Q^{k+1}_0 immediately to the right of $\partial \dot{Q}^k_{T^k} \cap \partial Q^{k+1}_0$, equivalently the edge of ∂Q^1_0 immediately to the right of $\dot{Q}^k_{T^k}$. The Markov property of peeling implies that the conditional law of (Q^{k+1}_0, e^{k+1}_0) given $\mathcal{F}^{k+1}_0$ is that of a UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$.

Let

$$K = K^n(\delta) := \min\Big\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \partial Q^{k+1}_0 \cap \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) = \emptyset \Big\} \tag{6.4}$$

be the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the union of the clusters $\dot{Q}^{k'}_{T^{k'}}$ for $k' \leq k$ disconnects $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ from ∞ in Q^∞. The reason for our interest in the integer K is contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.7. *On the event*

$$\Big\{ \dot{Q}^k_{T^k} \subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty),\ \forall k \in [1, K]_{\mathbb{Z}} \Big\}, \tag{6.5}$$

the cardinality of the set of interface paths from Definition 6.4 satisfies

$$\#\,\mathrm{IP}_0^\infty(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4}) \le K+1. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. Let $N = \#\,\mathrm{IP}_0^\infty(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4})$ and let $\mathring{\lambda}_1, \dots \mathring{\lambda}_N$ be the elements of $\mathrm{IP}_0^\infty(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4})$, ordered from left to right (we can order the paths in this manner since distinct interface paths cannot cross). For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, also let $\mathring{\lambda}_*^k : \mathbb{N} \to \partial\mathcal{E}(Q_0^k)$ be the interface path associated with the percolation peeling clusters $\{\dot{Q}_j^k\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ as in Lemma 3.1, i.e. the one which traces the outer boundary of the white cluster in Q_0^k which contains all of the external white quadrilaterals.

If (6.5) occurs, the graph $\bigcup_{k=1}^K \dot{Q}_{T^k}^k$ is connected and disconnects $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ from $Q^\infty \setminus B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ in Q^∞, so must cross each of the paths $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ for $m \in [1,N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ which does not hit a vertex of ∂Q^∞ lying to the right of $\mathbb{e}^\infty$. Only $\mathring{\lambda}_N$ can possibly hit such a vertex since the sets of vertices hit by the paths $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ for $m \in [1,N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are disjoint (the paths are separated in $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty) \cap \dot{Q}_0^1$ by white clusters) and these paths are ordered from left to right. Hence $\bigcup_{k=1}^K \dot{Q}_{T^k}^k$ contains a quadrilateral of $\dot{Q}_0^1$ lying strictly to the right of $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ for each $m \in [1, N-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

For $m \in [1, N-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, let k_m be the smallest $k \in [1,K]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for which $\dot{Q}_{T^k}^k$ contains a quadrilateral lying strictly to the right of $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ and let j_m be the smallest $j \in [2, T^k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for which $\dot{Q}_j^{k_m}$ contains such a quadrilateral.

We will show that

$$k_1 < \cdots < k_{N-1} \tag{6.7}$$

on the event (6.5), which will prove (6.6). Indeed, suppose by way of contradiction that $k_{m'} \le k_m$ for some $1 \le m < m' \le N-1$. It is clear from the definition of the growth processes $\{\dot{Q}_j^k\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that $k_m \le k_{m'}$, so $k_m = k_{m'}$. Hence the times j_m and $j_{m'}$ from the preceding paragraph are both defined with respect to $\{\dot{Q}_j^{k_m}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and satisfy $j_m \le j_{m'}$.

The $j_{m'}$th peeled quadrilateral $\mathfrak{f}(\overline{Q}^k_{j_{m'}-1}; \dot{e}^k_{j_{m'}})$ lies immediately to the right of $\mathring{\lambda}_{m'}$, so must be black. Hence the right endpoint of the peeled edge $\dot{e}^k_{j_{m'}}$ is one of the vertices hit by $\mathring{\lambda}_{m'}$ and in particular lies strictly to the right of $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ in Q_0^1 (here we use that the paths $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ and $\mathring{\lambda}_{m'}$ are separated by a white cluster in Q_0^1, so cannot share a vertex). By Lemma 3.1, there is a time $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathring{\lambda}_*^{k_m}(s)$ shares an endpoint with an edge of $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ and $\mathring{\lambda}_*^{k_m}([1,s]_{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset \dot{Q}_{j_m}^{k_m}$.

On the event (6.5), one has $\mathring{\lambda}_*^{k_m}([1,s]_{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty)$. Since $\mathring{\lambda}_*^{k_m}(1)$ shares an endpoint with the root edge $e_0^{k_m}$, which lies to the left of $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ in Q_0^1, it follows that either $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ contains the first edge of $\mathring{\lambda}_*^{k_m}$ or $\mathring{\lambda}_*^{k_m}$ crosses $\mathring{\lambda}_m$. In the former case, $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ is a sub-path of $\mathring{\lambda}_*^{k_m}$ so $\mathring{\lambda}_*^{k_m}$ cannot hit a vertex to the right of $\mathring{\lambda}_m$ before exiting $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty)$ and we arrive at a contradiction. The latter case is impossible since two percolation interfaces cannot cross. We conclude that (6.7) holds. □

In light of Lemma 6.7, to prove Proposition 6.6 we need to prove an upper bound for $\mathbb{P}[K \ge N]$ and show that the event (6.5) is very likely to occur. We start with the upper tail bound for K.

Lemma 6.8. *For each $\delta \in (0,1)$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta,\zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge n_*$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[K > N,\ \#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \le \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}\right] \le \delta^{N(1-\zeta/3)+o_\delta(1)}$$

at a rate which is uniform for $n \ge n_*$.

The idea of the proof of Lemma 6.8 is to show that it is unlikely that the right exposed boundary length process $X^{k,R}$ reaches $\delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2}$ before the right covered boundary length process $Y^{k,R}$ reaches $\delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$, at which time the clusters $\{\dot{Q}_j^k\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ disconnect $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \cap \partial Q_0^k$ from ∞ in Q_0^k, then multiply over all k. This will be accomplished by means of the scaling limit result for the boundary length processes from Lemma 4.3 and the following estimate for stable processes with no upward jumps. We emphasize here that ζ is very small, so $\delta^{3\zeta}$ is much larger than $\delta^{2-\zeta}$.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and let R be a totally asymmetric α-stable process started from 0 with no upward jumps. For $r \ge 0$, let $\tau_r = \inf\{t \ge 0 : R_t < -r\}$. Then for $\zeta \in (0, (1-1/\alpha)^{-2})$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,\tau_\delta]} R_s \ge \delta^\zeta\right] \le \delta^{1-(1-1/\alpha)^2\zeta+o_\delta(1)}.$$

Proof. As explained in [MS16a, Lemma 2.8], it follows from [Ber96, Corollary VII.2] that for $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,t]} R_s \geq \delta^\zeta\right] \leq c_0 \exp\left(-c_1 t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\delta^\zeta\right)$$

for $c_0, c_1 > 0$ constants depending only on α. By [Ber96, Lemma VIII.1] (applied with $X = -R$ and $\rho = 1 - 1/\alpha$), $r \mapsto \tau_r$ is a $(1-1/\alpha)$-stable subordinator. By the stability property, $\tau_\delta \overset{d}{=} \delta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\tau_1$ so for $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau_\delta \geq t] = \mathbb{P}\left[\tau_1 \geq \delta^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} t\right] \preceq \delta t^{-(1-1/\alpha)}.$$

Combining the above estimates show that for $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,\tau_\delta]} R_s \geq \delta^\zeta\right] \leq \mathbb{P}[\tau_\delta \geq t] + \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,t]} R_t \geq \delta^\zeta\right] \preceq \delta t^{-(1-1/\alpha)} + \exp\left(-c_1 t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\delta^\zeta\right).$$

Set $t = \delta^{(1-1/\alpha)(\zeta+\epsilon)}$ for $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and send $\epsilon \to 0$ to get that this probability is at most $\delta^{1-(1-1/\alpha)^2\zeta+o_\delta(1)}$. □

Proof of Lemma 6.8. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let S^k be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which the number of right covered edges satisfies $Y_j^{k,R} \geq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$. If $\#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$, then since $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \cap \partial Q_0^k$ lies entirely to the right of e_0^k, it follows that $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \cap \overline{Q}^k_{S^k} = \emptyset$. Consequently, in this case the definition of T^k implies that $T^k \leq S^k$ and if in fact $S^k = T^k$, it holds that $K \leq k$.

If $T^k < S^k$, then by Definition 4.1,

$$\max_{j\in[0,S^k]_{\mathbb{Z}}} W_j^{k,R} \geq \max_{j\in[0,S^k]_{\mathbb{Z}}} X_j^{k,R} - \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2} \geq (\delta^{3\zeta} - \delta^{2-\zeta}) n^{1/2}.$$

Since the conditional law of $W^{k,R}$ given $\mathcal{F}_0^k$ converges weakly to that of a 3/2-stable process in the Skorokhod topology as $n \to \infty$ under an appropriate scaling limit (Lemma 4.3), it follows from Lemma 6.9 that there is an $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[K > k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_0^k\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[T^k < S^k \,|\, \mathcal{F}_0^k\right] \leq \delta^{1-\zeta/3+o_\delta(1)}.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{P}[K > k \,|\, K > k-1] \leq \delta^{1-\zeta/3+o_\delta(1)}$. Multiplying over $k \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ yields the statement of the lemma. □

Our next lemma tells us that the event (6.5) is very likely to occur when n is large, δ is small, and $\#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$.

Lemma 6.10. *For each $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \geq n_*$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\dot{Q}^k_{T^k} \not\subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty),\ \#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}\right] = o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$$

at a rate which is uniform for $n \geq n_*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will prove that $\dot{Q}^k_{T^k} \subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty)$ provided $\#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$ and the regularity event defined in the following lemma occurs.

Lemma 6.11. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G^k = G^{k,n}(\delta, \zeta)$ be the event that the following hold.*

1. *$T^k \leq n^{3/4}$.*
2. *For each $j_1, j_2 \in [0, 2n^{3/4}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $0 \leq j_2 - j_1 \leq \delta n^{3/4}$,*

$$\#\mathcal{E}\left(\partial(\dot{Q}^k_{j_2} \cap \overline{Q}^k_{j_1}) \setminus \partial\overline{Q}^k_{j_1}\right) \leq \delta^{2/3-\zeta} n^{1/2}.$$

3. *For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let β_j^k be the boundary path of the UIHPQ$_{\mathrm{S}}$ $\overline{Q}_j^k$ with $\beta_j^k(0) = \dot{e}_j^k$ if $\dot{e}_j^\infty \in \partial \overline{Q}_j^k$ or β_j^k equal to the leftmost edge of $\mathcal{A}_{\delta n^{1/4}}^{\mathrm{pbl}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty) \cap \partial \overline{Q}_j^k$ otherwise. For each $j \in [0, 2n^{3/4}]_{\lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$ and each $i_1, i_2 \in \partial \overline{Q}_j^k$ with $|i_1 - i_2| \leq 2\delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2}$,*

$$\mathrm{dist}\Big(\beta_j^k(i_1), \beta_j^k(i_2); \overline{Q}_j^k\Big) \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}.$$

4. *For each $j_1, j_2 \in [0, 2n^{3/4}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$ with $0 \leq j_2 - j_1 \leq \delta n^{3/4}$, the percolation path increment $\lambda^k([j_1, j_2]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in a subgraph of Q_0^k whose boundary relative to Q_0^k has Q_0^k-graph distance diameter at most $\delta^{1/3-\zeta} n^{1/4}$.*

For each $\delta \in (0,1)$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[(G^k)^c,\, \#\mathcal{A}_{\delta n^{1/4}}^{\mathrm{pbl}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}\Big] = o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$$

at a rate which is uniform for $n \geq n_*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If $T^k > n^{3/4}$ and $\#\mathcal{A}_{\delta n^{1/4}}^{\mathrm{pbl}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$, then by (4.2) and the definition (6.3) of T^k,

$$\delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2} - 3 \leq W_j^{k,R} \leq \delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2}, \quad \forall j \in [0, n^{3/4}]_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

By Lemma 4.3, $W^{k,R}$ converges in law to a 3/2-stable process in the Skorokhod topology as $n \to \infty$ under an appropriate scaling limit. By multiplying over $\lfloor t\epsilon^{-2/3} \rfloor$ i.i.d. increments of time length $\epsilon^{2/3}$, we see that for $\epsilon > 0$, the probability that a 3/2-stable process stays in the ϵ-neighborhood of the origin for $t \gg \epsilon$ units of time is at most $c_0 \exp(-c_1 \epsilon^{-2/3})$ for appropriate constants $c_0, c_1 > 0$ depending on t. If $\#\mathcal{A}_{\delta n^{1/4}}^{\mathrm{pbl}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$ and condition 1 in the definition of G^k fails to occur, then $|W_j^{k,R}| \leq \delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2}$ for $j \in [0, n^{3/4}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence there exists $n_0 = n_0(\delta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the probability that this is the case is of order $o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$, uniformly for $n \geq n_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the notation of Definition 4.1,

$$\#\mathcal{E}\Big(\partial(\dot{Q}_{j_2}^k \cap \overline{Q}_{j_1}^k) \setminus \partial \overline{Q}_{j_1}^k\Big) \leq \#\mathcal{E}\Big(\partial \dot{Q}_{j_2}^k \setminus \partial Q_0^k\Big) = X_{j_2}^{\infty,R}.$$

Hence Lemma 5.11 implies that for $\delta \in (0,1)$, there exists $n_1 = n_1(\delta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_1$, it holds with probability $1 - o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$ that $\#\mathcal{E}\Big(\partial(\dot{Q}_{j_2}^k \cap \overline{Q}_{j_1}^k) \setminus \partial \overline{Q}_{j_1}^k\Big) \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta^{2/3-\zeta} n^{1/2}$ for each $0 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \delta n^{1/2}$. By the Markov property of the percolation peeling process and a union bound over $\lceil 2\delta^{-1} \rceil$ approximately evenly spaced values of $j \in [1, 2n^{3/4}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we see that for $n \geq n_1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the probability that condition 2 in the definition of G^k fails to hold is $o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$, at a rate which is uniform for $n \geq n_1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 5.6 and a union bound over all $j \in [0, 2n^{3/4}]_{\lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$, we infer that for each $\delta \in (0,1)$, there exists $n_2 = n_2(\delta, \zeta) \geq n_1$ such that for $n \geq n_2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the probability that condition 3 in the definition of G^k fails to hold is of order $o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$, at a rate which is uniform for $n \geq n_2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Proposition 5.18, for $\delta \in (0,1)$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta) \geq n_2$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, the probability that condition 4 in the definition of G^k fails to hold is $o_\delta^\infty(\delta)$, at a rate which is uniform for $n \geq n_*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Combining the above three paragraphs concludes the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 6.10. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $G^k = G^{k,n}(\delta, \zeta)$ be the event of Lemma 6.11. By Lemma 6.11, it suffices to show that if δ is sufficiently small (depending only on ζ) and

$$G^k \cap \{\#\mathcal{A}_{\delta n^{1/4}}^{\mathrm{pbl}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}\} \tag{6.8}$$

occurs, then $\dot{Q}_{T^k}^k \subset B_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}^{\mathrm{pbl}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty)$.

Suppose to the contrary that the event of (6.8) occurs but $\dot{Q}_{T^k}^k \not\subset B_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}^{\mathrm{pbl}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$. Let j_* be the smallest $j \in [0, T^k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for which $\dot{e}_{j_*}^k \notin B_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}^{\mathrm{pbl}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ and let j_*' be the smallest integer multiple of $\lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor$ which is at least j_*. By condition 1 in the definition of G^k, we have $j_* \leq n^{3/4}$ and $j_*' \leq 2n^{3/4}$. We seek a contradiction to condition 3 in the definition of G^k with this choice of j_*'.

We first claim that if δ is sufficiently small (depending only on ζ) then

$$\operatorname{dist}\Big(\dot e^k_{j'_*}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \cap \partial \overline{Q}^k_{j'_*}; Q^k_0\Big) > \frac{1}{2}\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}. \tag{6.9}$$

By condition 4 in the definition of G^k, the percolation exploration path increment $\lambda^k([j_*, j'_*]_{\frac12\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in a subgraph S of Q^k_0 whose boundary relative to Q^k_0 has Q^k_0-graph distance diameter at most $\delta^{1/3-\zeta}n^{1/4}$. This implies that the edges $\dot e^k_{j_*}$ and $\dot e^k_{j'_*}$ are also contained in S. Hence to prove (6.9) it suffices to show that for small enough δ (depending only on ζ) the set S is disjoint from $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\frac12\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$. To see this, we observe that if S intersects $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\frac12\delta^{2\zeta} n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$, then in fact ∂S intersects $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\frac12\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ so since ∂S has Q^k_0- and hence also Q^∞-diameter at most $\delta^{1/3-\zeta}n^{1/4}$, which is smaller than $\frac12\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}$ for small enough δ, it follows that $\partial S \subset B_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ for small enough δ. This implies that $S \subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$ and in particular $e^k_{j_*} \in B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty)$, which contradicts our choice of j_*.

We next argue that

$$\operatorname{dist}\Big(\dot e^k_{j'_*}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \cap \partial \overline{Q}^k_{j'_*}; \partial \overline{Q}^k_{j'_*}\Big) \le 2\delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2}, \tag{6.10}$$

equivalently $X^{k,R}_{j'_*} \le 2\delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2}$. Indeed, this is immediate from condition 2 in the definition of G^k, the fact that $X^{k,R}_{j_*} \le \delta^{3\zeta} n^{1/2}$ (which follows since $j_* \le T^k$) and the estimate

$$X^{k,R}_{j'_*} \le X^{k,R}_{j_*} + \#\mathcal{E}\Big(\partial(\dot Q^k_{j'_*} \cap \overline{Q}^k_{j_*}) \setminus \partial \overline{Q}^k_{j_*}\Big).$$

The relations (6.9) and (6.10) contradict condition 3 in the definition of G^k, applied with $j = j'_*$, $\beta^k_j(i_1) = \dot e^k_{j'_*}$, and $\beta^k_j(i_2)$ an edge of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \cap \overline{Q}^k_{j'_*}$ which is at minimal $\partial \overline{Q}^k_{j'_*}$-graph distance from $\dot e^k_{j'_*}$. □

Proof of Proposition 6.6. By Lemmas 6.7, 6.8, and 6.10, for each $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge n_*$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\Big[\#\operatorname{IP}^\infty_0(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4}) > N,\ \#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \le \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}\Big] \\
&\quad \le \mathbb{P}[K > N-1,\ \#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \le \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}] \\
&\qquad + \mathbb{P}\Big[\exists k \in [1,N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ with } \dot Q^k_{T^k} \not\subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty, Q^\infty),\ \#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\mathbb{e}^\infty; Q^\infty) \le \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}\Big] \\
&\quad \le O_\delta(\delta^{(N-1)(1-\zeta/3)}) + o^\infty_\delta(\delta) = O_\delta(\delta^{(N-1)(1-\zeta/3)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By stationarity of the law of the unexplored quadrangulations $\overline{Q}^\infty_j$ and the restriction of the percolation peeling process to these quadrangulations, we can now take a union bound over all $j \in [0, An^{3/4}]_{\lfloor \delta^M n^{3/4}\rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$ to obtain the statement of the proposition. □

6.3 Boundary lengths of peeling-by-layers clusters

Proposition 6.6 is not by itself sufficient to deduce Proposition 6.3 since the estimate of the former proposition only holds for peeling-by-layers clusters whose outer boundary length $\#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}(\dot e^\infty_j; \overline{Q}^\infty_j)$ is at most $\delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$. In this subsection, we will prove that in the setting of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, this outer boundary length is very unlikely to be larger than $\delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2}$.

Lemma 6.12. *For each $\zeta \in (0,1)$ and each $\delta_0 \in (0,1)$, there exists $l_* = l_*(\delta_0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for each $l \ge l_*$. Let $(Q, \mathbb{e})$ be a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $2l$. Also let $\mathbb{e}_* \in \mathcal{E}(\partial Q)$ be chosen in a deterministic manner, let $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e}; Q)$ be the radius-$\delta l^{1/2}$ peeling-by-layers cluster of Q started from $\mathbb{e}$ and targeted at $\mathbb{e}_*$, and let $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e}; Q) := \mathcal{E}(B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e}; Q) \setminus \partial Q)$, as in Section 6.1. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e}; Q) > \delta^{2-\zeta} l\Big] = o^\infty_\delta(\delta)$$

at a rate which is uniform for $l \ge l_*$.

The idea of the proof of Lemma 6.12 is to show that the number of edges in the Q-metric ball $B_{2\delta l^{1/2}}(\Circle; Q)$ is very unlikely to be larger than $\delta^{4-\zeta} l^2$; and that if the arc $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\Circle; Q)$ contains too many edges, then this Q-metric ball contains more than $\delta^{4-\zeta} l^2$ edges with uniformly positive probability. Both of these statements are proven using the GHPU convergence of free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary toward the free Boltzmann Brownian disk [GM17c, Theorem 1.4] and estimates for the metric, area measure, and boundary length measure of the free Boltzmann Brownian disk which are similar to those found in [GM16b, Section 3.2].

We start by estimating the maximal area of a metric ball in a random-area Brownian map. This estimate will be transferred to an estimate for the area of a metric ball in a free Boltzmann Brownian disk momentarily.

Following [MS15a], we let $\mathrm{m}^2_{\mathrm{BM}}$ be the infinite measure on doubly marked Brownian maps $(M, d_M, \mu_M, z_0, z_1)$, which is obtained as follows: first "sample" an area A from the infinite measure $a^{-3/2}\, da$; then, conditional on A, sample a Brownian map (M, d_M, μ_M) with area A (equipped with its natural metric and area measure); finally, conditional on (M, d_M, μ_M), sample two conditionally independent points $z_0, z_1 \in M$ from μ_M (normalized to be a probability measure).

Lemma 6.13. *Let $\mathfrak{a} > 0$ and let $(M, d_M, \mu_M, z_0, z_1)$ be sampled from the infinite measure $\mathrm{m}^2_{\mathrm{BM}}$ conditioned on $\{\mu_M(M) \geq \mathfrak{a}\}$ (which is a finite measure). For each $p \geq 1$ and each $\zeta \in (0, 2/p)$, one has*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{\delta>0}\sup_{z\in M} \frac{\mu_M(B_\delta(z; d_M))}{\delta^{4-\zeta}}\right)^p\right] \preceq \mathfrak{a}^{p\zeta/4}$$

with universal implicit constant.

Proof. Let A be sampled from the law of $\mu_M(M)$, which is equal to $2\mathfrak{a}^{1/2} a^{-3/2} \mathbb{1}_{(a\geq \mathfrak{a})}\, da$ and let $(M, d_M^1, \mu_M^1, z_0, z_1)$ be a unit area doubly marked Brownian map, independent from A. By the scaling property of the Brownian map,

$$(M, d_M, \mu_M, z_0, z_1) := (M, A^{1/4} d_M^1, A\mu_M^1, z_0, z_1)$$

has the law described in the statement of the lemma. By [Le 10, Corollary 6.2] (and Hölder's inequality to deal with non-integer values of p), for each $p \geq 1$ and each $\zeta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{\delta>0}\sup_{z\in M} \frac{\mu_M^1(B_\delta(z; d_M^1))}{\delta^{4-\zeta}}\right)^p\right] < \infty.$$

If $\zeta \in (0, 2/p)$, then $\mathbb{E}[A^{p\zeta/4}] \preceq \mathfrak{a}^{p\zeta/4}$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{\delta>0}\sup_{z\in M} \frac{\mu_M(B_\delta(z; d_M))}{\delta^{4-\zeta}}\right)^p\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[A^{p\zeta/4}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{\delta>0}\sup_{z\in M} \frac{\mu_M^1(B_\delta(z; d_M^1))}{\delta^{4-\zeta}}\right)^p\right] \preceq \mathfrak{a}^{p\zeta/4},$$

as required. □

Next we estimate the maximal area of a metric ball in a free Boltzmann Brownian disk.

Lemma 6.14. *Let (H, d, μ) be a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with unit perimeter, equipped with its natural metric and area measure. For each $p \geq 1$ and each $\zeta \in (0, 2/p)$, one has*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{\delta>0}\sup_{z\in H} \frac{\mu(B_\delta(z; d))}{\delta^{4-\zeta}}\right)^p\right] < \infty. \tag{6.11}$$

Proof. Let $(M, d_M, \mu_M, z_0, z_1)$ be sampled from the infinite measure $\mathrm{m}^2_{\mathrm{BM}}$ conditioned on $\{\mu_M(M) \geq 1\}$. The idea of the proof is to show using the results of [MS15a] that with positive probability, there is a subset of M which, when equipped with the internal metric of d_M and the restriction of μ_M, has the law of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with random boundary length bounded away from 0 and ∞. The statement of the lemma will then follow from Lemma 6.13 and a scaling argument.

To lighten notation set $R := d_M(x_0, x_1)$. Let $\{\Gamma_r\}_{r\in[0,R]}$ be the metric net of M from z_0 to z_1, i.e. Γ_r for $r \in [0, R)$ is the union over all $s \in [0, r]$ of the boundary of the connected component of $M \setminus B_r(z_0; d_M)$ containing z_1.

As explained in [MS15a], there is a cádlag process $L = \{L_r\}_{r\in[0,R]}$ with no upward jumps which can be interpreted as the length of the outer boundary of Γ_r at each time r (this process coincides with the $\sqrt{8/3}$-LQG

length measure if we identify M with an instance of the Brownian sphere as in [MS16a, Corollary 1.5]). Let $\{r_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of the times when L makes a downward jump, chosen in a manner which depends only on L. At each time r_j, the metric net disconnects a bubble U_{r_j} from z_1, and the collection of all such bubbles is precisely the set of connected components of $M \setminus \Gamma_R$.

For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $d_{U_{r_j}}$ be the internal metric of d_M on U_{r_j} and let $\mu_{U_{r_j}} := \mu_M|_{U_{r_j}}$. Also let $\Delta L_{r_j} := \lim_{s\to r_j^-} L_s - L_{r_j}$ be the magnitude of the downward jump of L at time r_j, which gives the boundary length of U_{r_j}. By [MS15a, Proposition 4.4], if we condition on L, then the conditional law of the collection of metric measure spaces $(U_{r_j}, d_{U_{r_j}}, \mu_{U_{r_j}})$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is that of a collection of independent free Boltzmann Brownian disks with respective boundary lengths ΔL_{r_j}, conditioned on the event that the sum of their areas is at least 1.

Fix $\mathfrak{l}_2 > \mathfrak{l}_1 > 0$ to be chosen later. Let T be the smallest $r \geq 0$ for which the μ_M-mass of the region disconnected from z_1 by Γ_r is at least $\mathfrak{a}$ and let S be the smallest time $r \geq T$ for which the downward jump ΔL_r lies in $[\mathfrak{l}_1, \mathfrak{l}_2]$, or $S = R$ if no such r exists. Almost surely, $\mu_M(M) > a$, the boundary of the metric net converges to z_1 in the Hausdorff distance as $r \to R^-$, and the metric net $\{\Gamma_r\}_{r\in[0,d_M(z_0,z_1)]}$ disconnects a bubble from z_1 in every positive-length interval of times. Hence for an appropriate universal choice of $\mathfrak{l}_1$ and $\mathfrak{l}_2$, it holds that $\mathbb{P}[S < R] \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Henceforth assume we have chosen $\mathfrak{l}_1$ and $\mathfrak{l}_2$ in this manner.

Note that S is one of the jump times r_j on the event $\{S < R\}$. The above description of the law of the bubbles $\{U_{r_j}\}_{r\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ implies that if we condition on L and $\{(U_{r_j}, d_{U_{r_j}}, \mu_{U_{r_j}}) : r_j \leq T\}$ then on the event $\{S < R\}$ the conditional law of $(U_S, d_{U_S}, \mu_{U_S})$ is that of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with boundary length ΔL_S (note that the sum of the areas of the bubbles before time T is at least 1). Each d_{U_S}-metric ball is contained in a d_M-metric ball of the same radius. By Lemma 6.13 and our choice of $\mathfrak{l}_1$ and $\mathfrak{l}_2$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{\delta>0}\sup_{z\in U_S}\frac{\mu(B_\delta(z;d))}{\delta^{4-\zeta}}\right)^p \mid S < R\right] \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{\delta>0}\sup_{z\in M}\frac{\mu_M(B_\delta(z;d_M))}{\delta^{4-\zeta}}\right)^p\right] < \infty. \tag{6.12}$$

By scale invariance of the Brownian disk, if we set

$$(H, d, \mu) := (\overline{U}_S, (\Delta L_S)^{-1/2} d_{U_S}, (\Delta L_S)^{-2}\mu_{U_S}) \tag{6.13}$$

with $\overline{U}_S$ the closure and d_{U_S} extended to $\partial\overline{U}_S$ by continuity, then the conditional law of (H, d, μ) given $\{S < R\}$ is that of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with unit boundary length. Hence (6.12) implies (6.11). □

Together with Lemma 6.14, the following lemma is the other key input in the proof of Lemma 6.12.

Lemma 6.15. *Let (H, d, μ, ξ) be a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with unit perimeter, equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and 1-periodic boundary path. For each $\epsilon, \zeta \in (0,1)$, there exists $c = c(\epsilon, \zeta) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mu(B_\delta(\xi([u_1,u_2]);d)) \geq c\delta^{2+\zeta}(u_2-u_1),\ \forall \delta \in (0,1),\ \forall\, u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } u_1 < u_2\right] \geq 1-\epsilon.$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [GM16b, Lemma 3.4]. □

Proof of Lemma 6.12. By [GM17c, Theorem 1.4], the free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, appropriately rescaled, converges in law to the unit boundary length free Boltzmann Brownian disk in the GHPU topology as $l \to \infty$. From this convergence and Lemma 6.14, we infer that there exists $l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $c > 0$, $l \geq l_1$, and $\delta \in [\delta_0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\#\mathcal{E}\left(B_{2\delta l^{1/2}+2}(\mathbb{e};Q)\right) \geq c\delta^{4-\zeta/2}l^2\right] = o_\delta^\infty(\delta), \tag{6.14}$$

at a rate depending on c and ζ.

Let $\overline{Q}^{\mathrm{pbl}}$ be the radius-$\delta l^{1/2}$ unexplored region for the peeling-by-layers process of Q starting from $\mathbb{e}$ and targeted at $\mathbb{e}_*$ and let $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{pbl}}$ be the σ-algebra generated by the clusters and peeling steps up to radius $\delta l^{1/2}$. By the Markov property of peeling (see [GM17c, Lemma 4.1]), the conditional law of $\overline{Q}^{\mathrm{pbl}}$ given $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{pbl}}$ is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with given perimeter. The edge set $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e};Q)$ is a connected arc of $\partial\overline{Q}^{\mathrm{pbl}}$.

By the aforementioned GHPU scaling limit result for free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary applied to $\overline{Q}^{\mathrm{pbl}}$ together with Lemma 6.15 (applied with $\zeta/2$ in place of ζ) we infer that there is a $c = c(\zeta) > 0$ and an $l_* \geq l_1$ such that for $l \geq l_*$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\#\mathcal{E}\left(B_{\delta l^{1/2}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e};Q);\overline{Q}^{\mathrm{pbl}}\right)\right) \geq c\delta^{4-\zeta/2}l^2 \,|\, \#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e};Q) > \delta^{2-\zeta}l\right] \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{6.15}$$

By (6.1), $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e};Q) \subset B_{\delta l^{1/2}+2}(\mathbb{e};Q)$, whence

$$B_{\delta l^{1/2}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e};Q);\overline{Q}^{\mathrm{pbl}}\right) \subset B_{2\delta l^{1/2}+2}(\mathbb{e};Q).$$

Hence (6.14) and (6.15) together imply that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e};Q) > \delta^{2-\zeta}l\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[\#\mathcal{E}\left(B_{2\delta l^{1/2}+2}(\mathbb{e};Q)\right) \geq c\delta^{4-\zeta/2}l^2\right]}{\mathbb{P}\left[\#\mathcal{E}\left(B_{2\delta l^{1/2}+2}(\mathbb{e};Q)\right) \geq c\delta^{4-\zeta/2}l^2 \,|\, \#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta l^{1/2}}(\mathbb{e};Q) > \delta^{2-\zeta}l\right]} = o^{\infty}_{\delta}(\delta),$$

as required. □

6.4 Proof of Proposition 6.3

Throughout this subsection, we assume we are in the setting of Proposition 6.3 and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1$, we define the $\{\mathcal{F}^n_j\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$-stopping time $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ as in (4.23).

To start off the proof of Proposition 6.3, let us first record what we get from Proposition 6.6 and Lemma 6.12.

Lemma 6.16. *Let $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$, $M > 0$, $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1$, and $A > 0$. For each $\delta \in (0,1)$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, A) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, the following is true. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the probability that $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq An^{3/4}$ and there exists $j \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\lfloor \delta^M n^{3/4}\rfloor\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\#\,\mathrm{IP}^n_j\left(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^{\zeta} n^{1/4}\right) > N$ and $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^{\zeta} n^{1/4}}(\dot{e}^n_j; \overline{Q}^n_j) \cap \overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} \neq \emptyset$ is at most $O_{\delta}(\delta^{(N-1)(1-\zeta/3)-M})$ at a rate which is uniform for $n \geq n_*$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.6 and Lemma 4.5 (applied at the time $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$), for $\delta \in (0,1)$ we can find $n_* = n_*(\delta, \zeta, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, A) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the probability that $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq An^{3/4}$ and there exists $j \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\lfloor \delta^M n^{3/4}\rfloor\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying the conditions in the statement of the proposition plus the additional condition that

$$\#\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta n^{1/4}}\left(\dot{e}^n_j; \overline{Q}^n_j\right) \leq \delta^{2-\zeta} n^{1/2} \tag{6.16}$$

is at most $O_{\delta}(\delta^{(N-1)(1-\zeta/3)-M})$, at a rate which is uniform for $n \geq n_*$.

By Lemma 6.12 and a union bound, by possibly increasing n_* we can arrange that for $n \geq n_*$, the probability that there exists $j \in [0, An^{3/4}]_{\lfloor \delta^M n^{3/4}\rfloor\mathbb{Z}}$ such that (6.16) fails to hold is of order $o^{\infty}_{\delta}(\delta)$, uniformly for $n \geq n_*$. We thus obtain the statement of the lemma. □

We now combine Lemma 6.16 and the regularity condition of Proposition 5.13 to get an event on which (as we will see below) the condition of Proposition 6.3 holds.

Lemma 6.17. *For each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and each $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$, there exists $\delta_*(\epsilon, \zeta) \in (0,1)$ such that for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_*]$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \epsilon, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, the following is true. Let $E^n = E^n(\delta, \epsilon, \zeta)$ be the event that the following holds for each $j \in \lfloor \delta^4 n^{3/4}\rfloor\mathbb{N}_0$ with $\mathrm{dist}(\dot{e}^n_j, \mathbb{e}^n_*; Q^n) \geq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon n^{1/4}$.*

1. *$\#\,\mathrm{IP}^n_j\left(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^{\zeta} n^{1/4}\right) \leq 6$.*
2. *The percolation path increment $\lambda^n([j, j+\delta^4 n^{3/4}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in a subgraph of $\overline{Q}^n_j$ whose boundary relative to $\overline{Q}^n_j$ contains $\dot{e}^n_j$ and has $\overline{Q}^n_j$-graph distance diameter at most $\delta^{4/3-\zeta} n^{1/4}$.*

Then $\mathbb{P}[E^n] \geq 1 - \epsilon$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.13 (applied with δ^4 in place of δ, $\epsilon/8$ in place of ϵ, and $\zeta/4$ in place of ζ), there exists $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1$, $A > 0$, and $\delta_* \in (0,1)$ depending on ϵ and ζ, such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta_*]$ there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \epsilon, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$ it holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon/2$ that the following is true.

1. $I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} \leq An^{3/4}$ and the unexplored quadrangulation $\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}$ has internal graph distance diameter at most $\frac{1}{8}\epsilon n^{1/4}$.
2. For each $j \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} - 1]_{\lfloor \delta n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$, the percolation path increment $\lambda^n([j, (j + \delta^4 n^{3/4}) \wedge I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in a subgraph of $\overline{Q}^n_j$ whose boundary relative to $\overline{Q}^n_j$ contains $\dot{e}^n_j$ and has $\overline{Q}^n_j$-graph distance diameter at most $\frac{1}{2}\delta^{4/3-\zeta} n^{1/4}$.

By Lemma 6.16, by possibly decreasing δ_* and increasing n_*, we can arrange that $\delta_* \leq (\epsilon/8)^{1/\zeta}$ and for $n \geq n_*$, it holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$ that the above two enumerated conditions hold and also

3. For each $j \in [0, I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\lfloor \delta^4 n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{Z}}$ with $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\dot{e}^n_j; \overline{Q}^n_j) \cap \overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} = \emptyset$, we have $\# \mathrm{IP}^n_j\big(\delta n^{1/4}, \delta^\zeta n^{1/4}\big) \leq 6$.

Suppose now that the above three enumerated conditions hold (which happens with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$). We claim that E^n occurs. Indeed, since $\mathrm{diam}\Big(\overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}\Big) \leq \frac{1}{8}\epsilon n^{1/4}$ and $\delta^\zeta \leq \epsilon/8$, we have $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\dot{e}^n_j; \overline{Q}^n_j) \cap \overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} = \emptyset$ whenever $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\mathrm{dist}(\dot{e}^n_j, \mathbb{e}^n_*; Q^n) \geq \frac{1}{4}\epsilon n^{1/4}$ and $\mathrm{dist}(\dot{e}^n_j, \mathbb{e}^n_*; Q^n) \leq \frac{1}{8}\epsilon n^{1/4}$ whenever $j \geq I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$. In particular, if $\mathrm{dist}(\dot{e}^n_j, \mathbb{e}^n_*; Q^n) \geq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon n^{1/4}$ then $j \leq I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$ and $B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(\dot{e}^n_j; \overline{Q}^n_j) \cap \overline{Q}^n_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}} = \emptyset$, so condition 1 in the definition of E^n holds.

To check condition 2, we need to show that if $j \in \lfloor \delta^4 n^{3/4} \rfloor \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\mathrm{dist}(\dot{e}^n_j, \mathbb{e}^n_*; Q^n) \geq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon n^{1/4}$ then $j + \delta^4 n^{3/4} \leq I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1} - 1$. Indeed, we know that $\lambda^n([j, (j + \delta n^{3/4}) \wedge I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$ is contained in a sub-graph S of Q^n whose boundary contains $\dot{e}^n_j$ and which has Q^n-graph distance diameter at most $\frac{1}{2}\delta^{4/3-\zeta} n^{1/4}$. Such a sub-graph cannot intersect $\overline{Q}_{I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}}$ since otherwise we would have $\mathrm{dist}(\dot{e}^n_j, \mathbb{e}^n_*; Q^n) \leq \frac{1}{8}\epsilon n^{1/4} + \delta^{1/3-\zeta} n^{1/4} < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon n^{1/4}$. Hence $j + \delta n^{3/4} < I^n_{\alpha_0,\alpha_1}$, as required. □

Proof of Proposition 6.3. By Lemma 6.17, for each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and each $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$, there exists $\delta_*(\epsilon, \zeta) \in (0,1)$ such that for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_*]$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \epsilon, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, the event $E^n = E^n(4\delta, 2\zeta, \epsilon)$ from that lemma with 2ζ in place of ζ and 4δ in place of δ occurs. We will prove the proposition by showing that after possibly shrinking δ and increasing n_* (in a manner which depends only on ζ), the condition in the proposition statement holds on E^n.

Let $v \in \mathcal{V}(Q^n)$ such that $\mathrm{dist}(v, \mathbb{e}^n_*; Q^n) \geq \epsilon n^{1/4}$ and let j_v be the smallest $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which $\lambda^n(j) = \dot{e}^n_j \in B_{2\delta n^{1/4}}(v; Q^n)$. Also let j'_v be the largest $j \in \lfloor \delta^4 n^{1/3} \rfloor \mathbb{N}$ with $j \leq j_v$. By our choice of j_v and since each point of $\partial \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v} \setminus \partial Q$ lies at Q^n-graph distance at most 2 from $\lambda^n([0, j'_v]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}})$,

$$\mathrm{dist}\Big(\dot{e}^n_{j'_v}, \partial \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v} \setminus \partial Q; \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}\Big) \geq \mathrm{dist}\Big(\dot{e}^n_{j'_v}, \partial \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v} \setminus \partial Q; Q^n\Big) \geq 2\delta n^{1/4} - 4. \tag{6.17}$$

By condition 2 in the definition of E^n, there is a subgraph S of $\overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}$ with $\lambda^n([j'_v, j_v]_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}) \subset S$, $\dot{e}^n_{j'_v} \in \partial S$, and $\mathrm{diam}(\partial S; \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}) \leq (4\delta)^{4/3-2\zeta} n^{1/4}$, which is smaller than $\frac{1}{2}\delta n^{1/4}$ for small enough δ. By our choice of j_v there exists a path from v to $\lambda^n(j_v)$ in $\overline{Q}^n_{j_v} \subset \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}$ with length at most $2\delta n^{1/4}$. Such a path must pass through ∂S, whence

$$\mathrm{dist}\Big(v, \dot{e}^n_{j'_v}; \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}\Big) \leq \frac{5}{2}\delta n^{1/4}. \tag{6.18}$$

From (6.17) and (6.18), we infer that for small enough δ and large enough n,

$$B^\bullet_{\delta n^{1/4}}(v; Q^n) = B^\bullet_{\delta n^{1/4}}(v; \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}) \subset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{4\delta n^{1/4}-2}(\dot{e}_{j'_v}; \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}) \quad \text{and} \quad B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(v; Q^n) \supset B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{(4\delta)^\zeta n^{1/4}+2}(\dot{e}_{j'_v}; \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}).$$

Therefore,

$$\#\,\mathrm{cross}^n\big(B^\bullet_{\delta n^{1/4}}(v; Q^n), B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta n^{1/4}}(v; Q^n)\big) \leq \#\,\mathrm{cross}^n\Big(B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{4\delta n^{1/4}-2}(\dot{e}_{j'_v}; \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v}), B^{\mathrm{pbl}}_{(4\delta)^{2\zeta} n^{1/4}+2}(\dot{e}_{j'_v}; \overline{Q}^n_{j'_v})\Big).$$

By Lemma 6.5, this last quantity is at most $\# \mathrm{IP}^n_{j'_v}(4\delta n^{1/4}, (4\delta)^{2\zeta} n^{1/4})$ which by condition 2 in the definition of E^n is at most 6. □

7 Proof of main theorems

Throughout this section, we assume we are in the setting of Theorem 1.2. In particular, we fix $\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R > 0$ and a sequence of pairs of positive integers $(l_L^n, l_R^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $l_L^n + l_R^n$ is always even and

$$\mathfrak{l}_L^n := \mathfrak{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} l_L^n \to \mathfrak{l}_L \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{l}_R^n := \mathfrak{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} l_R^n \to \mathfrak{l}_R.$$

We recall that $(Q^n, \mathfrak{e}^n, \theta^n)$ is a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary of perimeter $l_L^n + l_R^n$, viewed as a connected metric space by replacing each edge with an isometric copy of the unit interval and that d^n, μ^n, ξ^n, and η^n, respectively, denote the rescaled metric, area measure, and boundary path. Recall also that $\mathfrak{Q}^n$ denotes the doubly curve-decorated metric measure space $(Q^n, d^n, \mu^n, \xi^n, \eta^n)$.

As per usual, we define the clusters $\{\dot{Q}_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the unexplored quadrangulations $\{\overline{Q}_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the peeled edges $\{\dot{e}_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, the color indicators $\{\theta_j^n\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, and the terminal time $\mathcal{J}^n$ for the percolation peeling exploration of $(Q^n, \mathfrak{e}^n, \theta^n)$ with l_L^n-white/l_R^n-black boundary conditions as in Section 3.3. We also define the rescaled boundary length process $Z^n = (L^n, R^n)$ as in (4.6).

By Propositions 4.8 and 5.1, for any sequence of positive integers tending to ∞ there is a subsequence $\mathcal{N}$ and a coupling of a doubly curve-decorated metric measure space $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}} = (\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\eta})$ with a two-dimensional cádlág process $Z = (L, R) : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(\mathfrak{Q}^n, Z^n) \to (\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}, Z) \quad \text{as } \mathcal{N} \ni n \to \infty \tag{7.1}$$

in the two-curve GHPU topology on the first coordinate and the Skorokhod topology on the second coordinate.

In fact, we know the limit of the laws of (Q^n, d^n, μ^n, ξ^n) and Z^n in the GHPU and Skorokhod topologies, respectively: $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\xi})$ is a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with boundary length $\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R$ equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path and Z has the law of the left/right boundary length process for a chordal SLE_6 on such a free Boltzmann Brownian disk between two points at counterclockwise boundary length distance $\mathfrak{l}_R$ from each other (although we do not know a priori that Z is the left/right boundary length process of any curve on $\widetilde{H}$). We extend ξ to $\mathbb{R}$ by periodicity, so that in particular $\xi(-\mathfrak{l}_L) = \xi(\mathfrak{l}_R)$.

We will prove Theorem 1.2 by showing that the pair $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}, \widetilde{\eta})$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.7. This will then imply that $\widetilde{\eta}'$ is a chordal SLE_6 from $\widetilde{\xi}(0)$ to $\widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ in $\widetilde{H}$ parameterized by quantum natural time and that Z is its left/right boundary length process. As we will see in Section 7.5, Theorem 1.3 is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1.2.

We start in Section 7.1 by introducing some notation and passing to a further subsequence of $\mathcal{N}$ along which not only $\mathfrak{Q}^n$ and Z^n but also the internal metric spaces corresponding to the complementary connected components of the curve $\eta^n([0,t])$ for each rational time $t \geq 0$ converge in law. We also establish that the limits of these internal metrics are free Boltzmann Brownian disks conditional on the limiting boundary length process Z and express their boundary lengths in terms of Z.

In Section 7.2, we prove several relationships among our subsequential limiting objects which eventually lead to the statement that the limits of the internal metric spaces corresponding to the complementary connected components of $\eta^n([0,t])$ are the same as the internal metric spaces corresponding to the complementary connected components of $\widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ (Lemma 7.6). The arguments in this subsection are similar to those found in [GM16a, Section 7.3]. The results of Section 7.2 allow us to prove Proposition 7.3, which says that $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}, \widetilde{\eta})$ satisfies condition 1 of Theorem 2.7.

The next two subsections are devoted to checking condition 2 of Theorem 2.7, the topology and consistency condition. In Section 7.3 we will describe the boundary length measures on the complementary connected components of $\widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ in terms of the limiting boundary length process Z. The results of this subsection imply in particular that if $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ such that an SLE_6 with left/right boundary length process Z satisfies $\eta(t_1) = \eta(t_2)$, then also $\widetilde{\eta}(t_1) = \widetilde{\eta}(t_2)$. In other words, the curve $\widetilde{\eta}$ has at least as many self-intersections as we would expect from the process Z.

As explained at the beginning of Section 7.4, the results of Section 7.3 show that there exists an SLE_6-decorated Brownian disk (H, d, μ, ξ, η) and a continuous, measure-preserving, curve-preserving surjective map $\Phi : H \to \widetilde{H}$ which is injective on $H \setminus \eta$ (Lemma 7.11). To show that Φ is a homeomorphism, we will use the results of Section 6 to argue that $\widetilde{\eta}$ can hit each point in $\widetilde{H}$ at most 6 times (Lemma 7.12). This statement together with the topological theorem [BOT06, Main Theorem] will imply that Φ is in fact a homeomorphism, whence condition 2 of Theorem 2.7 is satisfied.

In Section 7.5 we will conclude the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

7.1 Subsequential limits

Suppose we are given a subsequence $\mathcal{N}$ and a limiting coupling $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}, Z)$ as in (7.1). Before proving any additional statements about this coupling, we will pass to a further subsequence of $\mathcal{N}$ along which several additional curve-decorated metric measure spaces, corresponding to (roughly speaking) the complementary connected components of η^n at each time $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+ := \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$, equipped with their internal metrics and boundary paths, converge in the GHPU topology. See Figure 6 for an illustration of these objects.



Figure 6: Illustration of the curve-decorated metric measure spaces and their subsequential limits considered in Section 7. On the right are the main doubly curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\mathfrak{Q}^n = (Q^n, d^n, \mu^n, \xi^n, \eta^n)$ corresponding to a free Boltzmann quadrangulation equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path and a face percolation exploration path (appropriately rescaled); and their subsequential limit $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}} = (\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\eta})$, which is a free Boltzmann Brownian disk equipped with a curve $\widetilde{\eta}$ whose law we seek to identify. The spaces $\mathfrak{H}^n_{t,k} = (H^n_{t,k}, d^n_{t,k}, \mu^n_{t,k}, \xi^n_{t,k})$ for $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are free Boltzmann quadrangulations equipped with their internal metrics, natural area measures, and boundary paths (appropriately rescaled): $\mathfrak{H}^n_{t,0}$ is the unexplored quadrangulation at time $\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor$ and $\mathfrak{H}^n_{t,k}$ for $k \geq 1$ are the bubbles cut out by the percolation peeling process run up to time $\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor$, in decreasing order of boundary length. The spaces $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k} = (\widetilde{H}_{t,k}, \widetilde{d}_{t,k}, \widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}, \widetilde{\eta}_{t,k})$ are their subsequential limits. The orange arrows indicate HPU convergence in the compact metric spaces $\{(W_{t,k}, D_{t,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and (W, D) into which these spaces are isometrically embedded (see Section 7.2). The maps $f_{t,k}$ are the limits of the inclusion maps $f^n_{t,k}$ in the sense of Lemma 7.4. We show in Proposition 7.3 that the sets $f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k})$ are the connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, let

$$H^n_{t,0} := \overline{Q}^n_{\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{e}^n_{t,0} := \dot{e}^n_{\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor + 1} \tag{7.2}$$

be the time-$\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor$ unexplored region for the percolation peeling exploration, as in Section 3.3, viewed as a connected metric space as in Remark 2.4, and the $(\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor + 1)$st peeled edge, respectively.

By the Markov property of peeling the conditional law of $(H^n_{t,0}, \mathbb{e}^n_{t,0})$ given the percolation peeling σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}^n_{\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor}$ is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary and perimeter

$$\#\mathcal{E}(\partial H^n_{t,0}) = \mathbb{c} n^{1/2}(L^n_t + R^n_t + \mathfrak{l}^n_L + \mathfrak{l}^n_R). \tag{7.3}$$

Let

$$\Delta_{t,0}^n := L_t^n + R_t^n + \mathfrak{l}_L^n + \mathfrak{l}_R^n \tag{7.4}$$

be the rescaled perimeter of $H_{t,0}^n$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$, let $H_{t,k}^n$ be the bubble disconnected from the target edge $\mathbb{e}_*^n$ by the percolation peeling exploration of $(Q^n, \mathbb{e}^n, \theta^n)$ run up to time $\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor$ with the kth largest boundary length among all such bubbles (with ties broken in some arbitrary deterministic manner), or let $H_{t,k}^n = \emptyset$ if there are fewer than k such bubbles.

Let $J_{t,k}^n$ be the time at which $H_{t,k}^n$ is disconnected from ∞ by the percolation peeling exploration and let $\tau_{t,k}^n := n^{-3/4} J_{t,k}^n$. We define the root edge for $H_{t,k}^n$ to be the unique edge $\mathbb{e}_{t,k}^n$ of the peeled quadrilateral $\mathfrak{f}\left(\overline{Q}_{J_{t,k}^n - 1}, \dot{e}_{J_{t,k}^n}\right) \cap \partial \mathring{H}_{t,k}^n$ which shares an endpoint with $\dot{e}_{J_{t,k}^n}^n$.

Let $\chi_{t,k}^n$ be the indicator random variable which equals 0 if $H_{t,k}^n$ lies to the left of the peeled edge $\dot{e}_{J_{t,k}^n}$ or 1 if $\mathring{H}_{t,k}^n$ lies to the right of this peeled edge. Also let

$$\Delta_{t,k}^n := \mathbb{c}^{-1} n^{-1/2} \# \mathcal{E}(\partial H_{t,k}^n) \tag{7.5}$$

be the rescaled perimeter of $H_{t,k}^n$ so that $\Delta_{t,k}^n$ differs from the downward jump of L^n (resp. R^n) at time $\tau_{t,k}^n$ by at most a universal constant times $n^{-1/2}$ if $\chi_{t,k}^n = 0$ (resp. $\chi_{t,k}^n = 1$).

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$, let $d_{t,k}^n$ be the internal metric of d^n on $H_{t,k}^n$ (i.e., the graph metric on $H_{t,k}^n$ rescaled by $(9/8)^{1/4} n^{-1/4}$) and let $\mu_{t,k}^n := \mu^n|_{H_{t,k}^n}$. Let $\beta_{t,k}^n : \mathbb{Z} \to \partial H_{t,k}^n$ be the periodic counterclockwise boundary path of $H_{t,k}^n$ with $\beta_{t,k}^n(0)$ equal to the root edge $\mathbb{e}_{t,k}^n$, extended by linear interpolation in the manner of Remark 2.4, and let $\xi_{t,k}^n(s) := \beta_{t,k}^n\left(\mathbb{c} n^{3/4} s\right)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the curve-decorated metric measure spaces

$$\mathfrak{H}_{t,k}^n := \left(H_{t,k}^n, d_{t,k}^n, \mu_{t,k}^n, \xi_{t,k}^n\right), \quad \forall (t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{7.6}$$

We remind the reader that the case $k = 0$ is special: $H_{t,0}^n$, defined as in (7.2), is the unexplored region at time $\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor$ whereas $H_{t,k}^n$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is one of the bubbles disconnected from the target edge $\mathbb{e}_*^n$ by the percolation peeling exploration run up to time $\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor$ (or $\emptyset$).

By the Markov property of peeling, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and we condition on the σ-algebra

$$\mathcal{G}_t^n := \sigma\left(\mathfrak{P}(\overline{Q}_{j-1}^n, \dot{e}_j^n), \theta_j^n : j \in [0, tn^{3/4}]_{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor}^n \tag{7.7}$$

generated by the peeling indicators and colors of the peeled quadrangulations (but not the peeling clusters $\dot{Q}_j^n$) up to time $\lfloor tn^{3/4} \rfloor$ then the conditional law of the quadrangulations $\{(H_{t,k}^n, \mathbb{e}_{t,k}^n)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is that of a collection of independent free Boltzmann quadrangulations with simple boundary and given perimeter.

Since the laws of the processes Z^n converge in the Skorokhod topology (Proposition 4.8), the rescaled boundary lengths of the quadrangulations $\{H_{t,k}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are tight for each $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$. By [GM17c, Theorem 1.4] the laws of the curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\{\mathfrak{H}_{t,k}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (7.6) are tight in the GHPU topology.

By the Prokhorov theorem, after possibly passing to a subsequence of $\mathcal{N}$ we can find a coupling of our original subsequential limiting pair $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}, Z)$ with curve-decorated metric measure spaces

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k} := \left(\widetilde{H}_{t,k}, \widetilde{d}_{t,k}, \widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}, \widetilde{\xi}_{t,k}\right), \quad \forall (t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$$

such that the following convergence of joint laws holds as $\mathcal{N} \ni n \to \infty$:

$$\left(\mathfrak{H}^n, Z^n, \{\mathfrak{H}_{t,k}^n\}_{(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0}\right) \to \left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}, Z, \{\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k}\}_{(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}}\right) \tag{7.8}$$

in the two-curve GHPU topology on the first coordinate, the Skorokhod topology on the second coordinate, and the countable product of the GHPU topology on the third coordinate.

By the Skorokhod representation theorem, we can couple the objects of (7.8) for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ together in such a way the convergence (7.8) occurs a.s. In the remainder of this section we fix such a sequence $\mathcal{N}$ and such a coupling.

In the next two lemmas, we identify the conditional law of the curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k}$ given the limiting boundary length process Z. For this purpose we define continuum analogs of some of the above objects. For $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, define

$$\Delta_{t,k} := L_t + R_t + \mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R. \tag{7.9}$$

For $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$, let $\tau_{t,k}$ be the kth downward jump of either L or R before time t, or $\tau_{t,k} = \infty$ if no such downward jump exists. Also let $\chi_{t,k}$ be the indicator random variable which equals 0 (resp. 1) if L (resp. R) has a downward jump at time $\tau_{t,k}$ and let $\Delta_{t,k}$ be the size (in absolute value) of this downward jump.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$. In the notation introduced above, almost surely $\Delta^n_{t,k} \to \Delta_{t,k}$ and, if $k \geq 1$, then almost surely $\tau^n_{t,k} \to \tau_{t,k}$ and $\chi^n_{t,k} = \chi_{t,k}$ for large enough $n \in \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. It follows from local absolute continuity with respect to a pair of independent 3/2-stable processes with no upward jumps (Lemma 4.12) that a.s. the two coordinates L and R of Z do not have any simultaneous downward jumps and neither of these coordinates has two downward jumps of the same magnitude or a downward jump at time t. Hence the Skorokhod convergence $Z^n \to Z$ immediately implies the convergence conditions in the statement of the lemma. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. If we condition on $Z|_{[0,t]}$, then the curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\{\mathring{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ are conditionally independent free Boltzmann Brownian disks with respective boundary lengths $\{\Delta_{t,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, each equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}^n_t$ be the σ-algebra of (7.7) and note that $Z^n|_{[0,t]}$ and each $\Delta^n_{t,k}$ is $\mathcal{G}^n_t$-measurable. By the Markov property of peeling, if we condition on $\mathcal{G}^n_t$ then the curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\{\mathfrak{H}^n_{t,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ are conditionally independent free Boltzmann quadrangulations with respective perimeters $\{n^{1/2}\Delta^n_{t,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, each equipped with its rescaled metric, area measure, and boundary path. By [GM17c, Theorem 1.4] and Lemma 7.1, the above described conditional laws given $\mathcal{G}^n_t$, which are the same as the conditional laws given only $Z^n|_{[0,t]}$ and $\{\Delta_{t,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, converge as $\mathcal{N} \ni n \to \infty$ to the conditional laws described in the statement of the lemma. Since $\{\Delta_{t,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a.s. determined by $Z|_{[0,t]}$, we obtain the statement of the lemma. □

7.2 Laws of complementary connected components

Suppose we have fixed subsequence $\mathcal{N}$ and a coupling as in Section 7.1. In this subsection we will establish several facts concerning the relationship between the main curve-decorated metric measure space $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ and the curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\{\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k}\}_{(t,k)\in\mathbb{Q}_+\times\mathbb{N}_0}$ which are the subsequential limits of the complementary connected components of the curves $\eta^n([0,t])$ (up to rounding error). This will in particular lead to the following proposition, which will be used to check condition 1 of Theorem 2.7 for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Proposition 7.3 (Laws of complementary connected components)**.** *For $t \geq 0$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ be the collection of singly marked metric measure spaces of the form $(U, \widetilde{d}_U, \widetilde{\mu}_U, \widetilde{x}_U)$ where U is a connected component of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$, $\widetilde{d}_U$ is the internal metric of $\widetilde{d}$ on U, and $\widetilde{x}_U$ is the point where $\widetilde{\eta}$ finishes tracing ∂U. If we condition on $Z|_{[0,t]}$, then the conditional law of $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ is that of a collection of independent singly marked free Boltzmann Brownian disks with boundary lengths specified as follows. The elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ corresponding to the connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ which do not have the target point $\widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ on their boundaries are in one-to-one correspondence with the downward jumps of the coordinates of $Z|_{[0,t]}$, with boundary lengths given by the magnitude of the corresponding jump. The element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$ corresponding to the connected component of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ with $\widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_L)$ on its boundary has boundary length $L_t + R_t + \mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R$.*

By Proposition 2.2, there a.s. exist random compact metric spaces (W, D) and $\{(W_{t,k}, D_{t,k})\}_{(t,k)\in\mathbb{Q}_+\times\mathbb{N}_0}$ and isometric embeddings

$$\iota : (\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d}) \to (W, D), \quad \iota^n : (Q^n, d^n) \to (W, D), \ \forall n \in \mathcal{N},$$
$$\iota_{t,k} : (H_{t,k}, d_{t,k}) \to (W_{t,k}, d_{t,k}), \quad \text{and} \quad \iota^n_{t,k} : (H^n_{t,k}, d^n_{t,k}) \to (W_{t,k}, d_{t,k}), \ \forall n \in \mathcal{N}$$

such that a.s. $\iota^n(\mathfrak{Q}^n) \to \iota(\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}})$ in the D-HPU topology (Definition 2.1) and $\iota^n_{t,k}(\mathfrak{H}^n_{t,k}) \to \iota_{t,k}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k})$ in the $D_{t,k}$-HPU topology for each $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$.

We henceforth identify the doubly curve-decorated metric measure space $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ with its image under ι and each of the doubly curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\mathfrak{Q}^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with its image under ι^n. We also identify $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k}$ for $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$ with its image under $\iota_{t,k}$. Since $\mathfrak{H}^n_{t,k}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$ has already been identified with its image under ι^n (recall that $H^n_{t,k} \subset Q^n$) we write

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}^n_{t,k} = \left(\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}, \widetilde{d}^n_{t,k}, \widetilde{\mu}^n_{t,k}, \widetilde{\xi}^n_{t,k}\right) := \iota^n_{t,k}(\mathfrak{H}^n_{t,k}).$$

We also define maps

$$f^n_{t,k} = (\iota^n_{t,k})^{-1} : \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k} \to H^n_{t,k} \subset H^n. \tag{7.10}$$

See Figure 6 for an illustration of the above maps.

We now check that the maps $f^n_{t,k}$ admit subsequential limits $f_{t,k} : \widetilde{H}_{t,k} \to \widetilde{H}$ (in an appropriate sense) and establish some basic properties of the maps $f_{t,k}$. We eventually aim to show that the sets $f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k})$ are the connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H})$, which will be established in Lemma 7.6. This statement together with Lemma 7.2 will imply Proposition 7.3.

Lemma 7.4. *Almost surely there is a (random) subsequence $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ and maps $f_{t,k} : \widetilde{H}_{t,k} \to \widetilde{H}$ for $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$ such that the following hold for each $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$.*

1. *The maps $\{f^n_{t,k}\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}'}$ converge to $f_{t,k}$ in the following sense. For each $x \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$, each subsequence $\mathcal{N}'' \subset \mathcal{N}'$, and each sequence of points $x^n \in \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}''$ such that $D^n_{t,k}(x^n, x) \to 0$ as $\mathcal{N}' \ni n \to \infty$, we have $D(f^n_{t,k}(x^n), f_{t,k}(x)) \to 0$.*
2. *$f^n_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}) \to f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k})$ in the D-Hausdorff distance.*
3. *For each sequence $x^n \to x$ as in condition 1,*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\mathcal{N}'' \ni n \to \infty} \widetilde{d}_{t,k}\left(x^n, \partial \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}\right) &= \lim_{\mathcal{N}'' \ni n \to \infty} d^n\left(f^n_{t,k}(x^n), \eta^n([0,t]) \cup \partial H^n\right) \\ &= \widetilde{d}_{t,k}\left(x, \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}\right) = \widetilde{d}\left(f_{t,k}(x), \widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

   In particular, $f_{t,k}(\partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}) \subset \widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H}$ and $f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}) \cap (\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H}) = \emptyset$.
4. *For each $x \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ and $\rho \in \left(0, \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{d}_{t,k}(x, \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k})\right)$, the map $f_{t,k}|_{B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})}$ is an isometry from $(B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k}), \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$ to $(B_\rho(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d}), \widetilde{d})$.*
5. *For each x and ρ as in condition 4, we have $\widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}(A) = \widetilde{\mu}(f_{t,k}(A))$ for each Borel set $A \subset B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$.*

Proof. Each of the maps $f^n_{t,k}$ is 1-Lipschitz from $(\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}, \widetilde{d}^n_{t,k})$ to (W, D), so the existence of a subsequence $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ and maps $\{f_{t,k}\}_{(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfying condition 1 is immediate from [GM16c, Lemma 2.1] (plus a diagonalization argument to get a subsequence which works for all $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0$ simultaneously). Henceforth fix such a subsequence $\mathcal{N}'$ and maps $f_{t,k}$.

It is clear from condition 1 that any subsequential limit of the sets $f^n_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}) = H^n_{t,k}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ in the D-Hausdorff distance must coincide with $f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k})$, so since (W, D) is compact we infer that condition 2 holds.

To check condition 3, fix a subsequence $\mathcal{N}'' \subset \mathcal{N}'$ and a sequence $x^n \to x$ as in condition 1. For each $n \in \mathcal{N}''$, we have $f^n_{t,k}(\partial \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}) = \partial H^n_{t,k} \subset \eta^n([0,t]) \cup \partial H^n$, $f^n_{t,k}(\partial \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}) \cap (\eta^n([0,t]) \cup \partial H^n) = \emptyset$, and any path in $H^n_{t,k} = f^n_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k})$ which hits $\eta^n([0,t]) \cup \partial H^n$ must pass through $\partial H^n_{t,k}$. Hence

$$\widetilde{d}^n_{t,k}\left(x^n, \partial \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}\right) = d^n\left(f^n_{t,k}(x^n), \eta^n([0,t]) \cup \partial H^n\right) + o_n(1) \tag{7.12}$$

where here the $o_n(1)$ is a deterministic rounding error coming from the fact that η^n does not trace every edge of each peeled quadrilateral. Since $x^n \to x$ and $\partial \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}$ is parameterized by the path $\xi^n_{t,k}$, which converges uniformly to the parameterization $\widetilde{\xi}_{t,k}$ of $\partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$, we infer that the left side of (7.12) converges a.s. to $\widetilde{d}_{t,k}\left(x, \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}\right)$. On

the other hand, condition 1 implies that $f^n_{t,k}(x^n) \to f_{t,k}(x)$. Since $\eta^n \to \widetilde{\eta}$ and the boundary parameterizations $\xi^n \to \widetilde{\xi}$ uniformly, we infer that the right side of (7.12) converges a.s. to $\widetilde{d}\Big(f_{t,k}(x), \widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial\widetilde{H}\Big)$. Thus (7.11) holds.

The last statement of condition 3 follows since by (7.11), if $x \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ then $x \in \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ if and only if $\widetilde{d}_{t,k}\Big(x, \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}\Big) = 0$ if and only if $\widetilde{d}\Big(f_{t,k}(x), \widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial\widetilde{H}\Big) = 0$ if and only if $f_{t,k}(x) \in \widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial\widetilde{H}$.

Next we consider condition 4. Let $x \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ and $\rho \in \Big(0, \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{d}_{t,k}(x, \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k})\Big)$. Also fix $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{d}_{t,k}(x, \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}) - \rho$ and points $y_1, y_2 \in B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$.

Since $\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k} \to \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ in the $D_{t,k}$-Hausdorff distance, we can find points $y^n_1, y^n_2, x^n \in \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ such that a.s. $x^n \to x$, $y^n_1 \to y_1$, and $y^n_2 \to y_2$ as $\mathcal{N}' \ni n \to \infty$. By condition 1, a.s. $f^n_{t,k}(x^n) \to f_{t,k}(x)$, $f^n_{t,k}(y^n_1) \to f_{t,k}(y_1)$, and $f^n_{t,k}(y^n_2) \to f_{t,k}(y_2)$. By our choice of ρ and ϵ together with condition 3, for large enough $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ we have

$$\widetilde{d}^n_{t,k}\Big(x^n, \partial\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}\Big) > 3\rho + 3\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{d}^n_{t,k}(x^n, y^n_i) < \rho + \epsilon, \quad \forall i \in \{1,2\}.$$

If this is the case, then y^n_1 and y^n_2 are $\widetilde{d}^n_{t,k}$-closer to each other than to $\partial\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}$, so since every path in $H^n_{t,k} = f^n_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k})$ which exits $H^n_{t,k}$ must pass through $\partial H^n_{t,k}$,

$$\widetilde{d}^n_{t,k}(y^n_1, y^n_2) = d^n_{t,k}\big(f^n_{t,k}(y^n_1), f^n_{t,k}(y^n_2)\big) = d^n\big(f^n_{t,k}(y^n_1), f^n_{t,k}(y^n_2)\big)).$$

Taking a limit as $n \to \infty$ shows that $\widetilde{d}_{t,k}(y_1, y_2) = d(f_{t,k}(y_1), f_{t,k}(y_2))$. Therefore $f_{t,k}$ is distance-preserving on $B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$.

We still need to show that $f_{t,k}(B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})) = B_\rho(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d})$. It is clear from the preceding paragraph that $f_{t,k}(B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})) \subset B_\rho(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d})$, so we just need to prove the reverse inclusion. Since $f^n_{t,k}(x^n) \to f_{t,k}(x)$ and $Q^n \to \widetilde{H}$ in the D-local Hausdorff distance,

$$B_\rho\big(f^n_{t,k}(x^n); d^n\big) \to B_\rho\Big(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d}\Big) \tag{7.13}$$

in the D-Hausdorff distance. By (7.13), for each $z \in B_\rho\Big(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d}\Big)$, there exists a sequence of points $z^n \in B_\rho\Big(f^n_{t,k}(x^n); d^n\Big)$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ such that $z^n \to z$. By condition 3 and our choice of ρ, for large enough $n \in \mathcal{N}'$, z^n is d^n-closer to $f^n_{t,k}(x^n)$ than to $\partial H^n_{t,k}$, so $z^n \in H^n_{t,k}$ and

$$d^n\big(f^n_{t,k}(x^n), z^n\big) = d^n_{t,k}(f^n_{t,k}(x^n), z^n) = \widetilde{d}^n_{t,k}\big(x^n, (f^n_{t,k})^{-1}(z^n)\big). \tag{7.14}$$

By compactness of $(W_{t,k}, D_{t,k})$, there is a subsequence $\mathcal{N}''$ of $\mathcal{N}'$ and a $y \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ such that $(f^n_{t,k})^{-1}(z^n) \to y$ as $\mathcal{N}'' \ni n \to \infty$. By condition 1, $f_{t,k}(y) = z$. The left side of (7.14) converges to $d^n(f_{t,k}(x), z) \le \rho$ and the right side converges to $\widetilde{d}_{t,k}(x, y)$. Therefore $y \in B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$ so since our initial choice of $z \in B_\rho(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d})$ was arbitrary, we see that $f_{t,k}(B_\rho(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})) = B_\rho(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d})$.

Finally, we turn our attention to condition 5. Let $x \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$, $\rho > 0$, and $x^n \in \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ be as above and choose $\rho' > \rho$ such that $\rho' < \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{d}_{t,k}(x, \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k})$ and

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}\Big(\partial B_{\rho'}\Big(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k}\Big)\Big) = \widetilde{\mu}\Big(\partial B_{\rho'}\Big(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d}\Big)\Big) = 0.$$

By this condition together with the HPU convergence $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}^n_{t,k} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}^n \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ as $\mathcal{N}' \ni n \to \infty$,

$$\widetilde{\mu}^n_{t,k}|_{B_{\rho'}(x^n; \widetilde{d}^n_{t,k})} \to \widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}|_{B_{\rho'}(x; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})} \tag{7.15}$$

in the $D_{t,k}$-Prokhorov metric and

$$\mu^n|_{B_{\rho'}(f^n_{t,k}(x); d^n)} \to \widetilde{\mu}|_{B_{\rho'}(f_{t,k}(x); \widetilde{d})} \tag{7.16}$$

in the D-Prokhorov metric.

Conditional on everything else, for $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ let w^n be sampled uniformly from $\widetilde{\mu}^n_{t,k}|_{B_{\rho'}(x^n;\widetilde{d}^n_{t,k})}$ (normalized to be a probability measure) and let w be sampled uniformly from $\widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}|_{B_{\rho'}(x;\widetilde{d}_{t,k})}$ (normalized to be a probability measure). By (7.15) $w^n \to w$ in law, so by the Skorokhod representation theorem we can couple together $\{w^n\}_{n\in\mathcal{N}'}$ and w in such a way that a.s. $w^n \to w$ as $\mathcal{N}' \ni n \to \infty$. By condition 1, $f^n_{t,k}(w^n) \to f_{t,k}(w)$. By our choice of ρ', for each sufficiently large $n \in \mathcal{N}'$,

$$B_{\rho'}(f_{t,k}(x^n); d^n) = B_{\rho'}(f_{t,k}(x^n); d^n_{t,k}).$$

For such an n the law of $f^n_{t,k}(w^n)$ is that of a uniform sample from $\mu^n|_{B_{\rho'}(f_{t,k}(x^n);d^n)}$. By (7.16), the law of $f_{t,k}(w)$ is that of a uniform sample from $\widetilde{\mu}|_{B_{\rho'}(f_{t,k}(x);\widetilde{d})}$. We similarly infer from (7.15) and (7.16) that

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}\Big(B_{\rho'}\Big(x;\widetilde{d}_{t,k}\Big)\Big) = \widetilde{\mu}\Big(B_{\rho'}\Big(f_{t,k}(x);\widetilde{d}\Big)\Big).$$

Therefore,

$$(f_{t,k})_*\Big(\widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}|_{B_{\rho'}(x;\widetilde{d}_{t,k})}\Big) = \widetilde{\mu}|_{B_{\rho'}(f_{t,k}(x);\widetilde{d})},$$

which implies condition 5. □

From Lemma 7.4, we can deduce some further properties of the maps $f_{t,k}$.

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose we are in the setting of Lemma 7.4 and fix a subsequence $\mathcal{N}'$ and maps $\{f_{t,k} : (t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0\}$ satisfying the conditions of that lemma. Almost surely, the following is true for each $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$.*

1. *For each distinct $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $f_{t,k_1}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k_1} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k_1}) \cap f_{t,k_2}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k_2}) = \emptyset$.*
2. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\mathring{d}_{t,k}$ be the internal metric of $\widetilde{d}$ on $f(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k})$. Then $f_{t,k}$ is an isometry from $(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}, \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$ to $(f(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}), \mathring{d}_{t,k})$.*
3. *For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each Borel set $A \subset \widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}$, we have $\widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}(A) = \widetilde{\mu}(f_{t,k}(A))$.*
4. *$\widetilde{\mu}\Big(\widetilde{H} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k})\Big) = 0$.*
5. *$\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}) = \widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial\widetilde{H})$.*

Proof. Throughout the proof, we assume we are working on the full probability event that the conditions of Lemma 7.4 are satisfied, and omit the qualifier "a.s.".

To check condition 1, suppose $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k_1 \neq k_2$ and $z \in f_{t,k_1}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k_1}) \cap f_{t,k_2}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k_2})$. By condition 2 of Lemma 7.4, we can choose $x^n_1 \in \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k_1}$ and $x^n_2 \in \widetilde{H}^n_{t,k_2}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ such that $f^n_{t,k_1}(x^n_1)$ and $f^n_{t,k_2}(x^n_2)$ each converge to y as $\mathcal{N}' \ni n \to \infty$. By compactness of (W_{t,k_i}, D_{t,k_i}) for $i \in \{1,2\}$, we can find a subsequence $\mathcal{N}''$ of $\mathcal{N}'$ and points $x_1 \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k_1}$ and $x_2 \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k_2}$ such that $x^n_1 \to x_1$ and $x^n_2 \to x_2$ as $\mathcal{N}'' \ni n \to \infty$. By condition 1 of Lemma 7.4, $f_{t,k_1}(x_1) = f_{t,k_2}(x_2) = z$. Since $d^n(f^n_{t,k_1}(x^n_1), f^n_{t,k_2}(x^n_2)) \to 0$, also

$$d^n(f^n_{t,k_1}(x^n_1), \partial H^n_{t,k}) = \widetilde{d}^n_{t,k_1}(x^n_1, \partial\widetilde{H}^n_{t,k_1}) \to 0.$$

By condition 3 of Lemma 7.4, $x_1 \in \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k_1}$ so (by the last statement of that condition) $z = f_{t,k_1}(x_1) \notin f_{t,k_1}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k_1} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k_1})$.

Next we consider condition 2. By σ-compactness of the interior of the Brownian disk $(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}, \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$, we can choose countably many balls $B_j = B_{\rho_j}(x_j; \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_j \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ and $\rho_j \in \Big(0, \widetilde{d}_{t,k}(x_j, \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k})\Big)$ whose union covers $\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}$. By condition 4 of Lemma 7.4, $f|_{B_j}$ is an isometry from $(B_j, \widetilde{d}_{t,k})$ to $(f_{t,k}(B_j), \widetilde{d})$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

If $\gamma : [0,T] \to \widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ is a path with finite $\widetilde{d}_{t,k}$-length, then the image of γ is compact so we can find finitely many times $0 = s_0 < \cdots < s_N = T$ such that $\gamma([s_{i-1}, s_i])$ is contained in a single one of the balls B_j

for each $i \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence the $\widetilde{d}$-length of $f_{t,k}(\gamma)$ coincides with the $\widetilde{d}_{t,k}$-length of γ. Since this holds for every such path γ, we obtain condition 2.

To check condition 3, define the balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ as above. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_j := B_j \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i$ so that the C_j's are disjoint and their union covers $\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$. By condition 5 of Lemma 7.4, for each Borel set $A \subset \widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ it holds that $\widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}(C_j \cap A) = \widetilde{\mu}(f_{t,k}(C_j \cap A))$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Summing over all j yields condition 3.

It follows from Lemma 7.2 that a.s. $\widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}(\partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}) = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence conditions 1 and 3 together imply that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k}) \le \widetilde{\mu}(\widetilde{H}). \tag{7.17}$$

We will now argue that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k}) \overset{d}{=} \widetilde{\mu}(\widetilde{H})$, so that (7.17) is a.s. an equality, which in turn will imply condition 4.

Recall that the law of the area of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with unit boundary length is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi a^5}} e^{-\frac{1}{2a}} \mathbb{1}_{(a\ge 0)}\, da \tag{7.18}$$

where da denotes Lebesgue measure on $[0, \infty)$. The law of the area of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with boundary length $\mathfrak{l}$ can be obtained by sampling a random variable from the law (7.18) and then multiplying it by $\mathfrak{l}^2$. Let $\{X_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a collection of i.i.d. random variables with the law (7.18), independent from everything else, and recall the boundary length variables $\widetilde{\Delta}_{t,k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ defined just above the statement of Lemma 7.1. By Lemma 7.2,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mu}_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k}) \overset{d}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\Delta}_{t,k}^2 X_k. \tag{7.19}$$

The process Z has the same law as the left/right boundary length process of a chordal SLE_6 on an independent doubly marked Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$, parameterized by quantum natural time. The quantities $\{\widetilde{\Delta}_{t,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ are precisely the set of boundary lengths of the complementary connected components of such an SLE_6 curve run up to time t. If we condition on these boundary lengths, then by Theorem 2.6 the conditional law of the collection of internal metric measure spaces corresponding to these connected components is that of a collection of independent quantum disks with given boundary lengths. Since the area of a chordal SLE_6 curve on an independent doubly marked Brownian disk is a.s. equal to zero, we infer that the sum of the areas of these connected components is a.s. equal to the total mass of the Brownian disk. Therefore the right side of (7.19), and hence also the left side of (7.17), has the same law as $\widetilde{\mu}(\widetilde{H})$. Thus condition 4 holds.

Finally we turn our attention to condition 5. Condition 3 of Lemma 7.4 implies that $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}) \subset \widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H})$, so we just need to prove the reverse inclusion. To this end, suppose $z \in \widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H})$ and choose $\rho \in \left(0, \widetilde{d}(z, \widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H})\right)$. We can find a sequence of points $z^n \in Q^n$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ such that $z^n \to z$. Since $\xi^n \to \widetilde{\xi}$ and $\eta^n \to \widetilde{\eta}$ uniformly, we have $\liminf_{n\to\infty} d^n(z^n, \eta^n([0,t]) \cup \partial Q^n) > \rho$. In particular, $z^n \in Q^n \setminus (\eta^n([0,t]) \cup \partial Q^n)$, so there exists $k^n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $z^n \in H^n_{t,k^n}$ and

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} d^n(z^n, \partial H^n_{t,k^n}) > \rho. \tag{7.20}$$

We claim that $\liminf_{\mathcal{N}'\ni n\to\infty} k^n < \infty$. Suppose by way of contradiction that this is not the case, i.e. $k^n \to \infty$. Any path in Q^n from z^n to a point not H^n_{t,k^n} must pass through $\partial H^n_{t,k^n}$. Hence for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} d^n(z^n, H^n_{t,k}) \ge \liminf_{n\to\infty} d^n(z^n, \partial H^n_{t,k^n}) > \rho.$$

By condition 2 of Lemma 7.4, $\widetilde{d}(z, f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k})) > \rho$ for each such k. Hence $B_\rho(z; \widetilde{d})$ is disjoint from each $f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k})$. By condition 4, $\widetilde{\mu}(B_\rho(z; \widetilde{d})) = 0$, which contradicts the fact that the natural area measure $\widetilde{\mu}$ of the Brownian disk $\widetilde{H}$ a.s. assigns positive mass to every open set, so proves our claim.

Hence $\liminf_{\mathcal{N}'\ni n\to\infty} k^n < \infty$, so there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a subsequence $\mathcal{N}'' \subset \mathcal{N}'$ such that $k^n = k$ for each $n \in \mathcal{N}'$. By compactness of $(W_{t,k}, D_{t,k})$, after possibly passing to a further subsequence we can arrange

that $(f_{t,k}^n)^{-1}(z^n) \to x \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ as $\mathcal{N}' \ni n \to \infty$. By condition 1 of Lemma 7.4, $f_{t,k}(x) = z$ and by condition 3 of Lemma 7.4, $z \in \widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}$. Thus $z \in \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k})$, as required. □

The following lemma summarizes two of the most important implications of the preceding lemmas.

Lemma 7.6. *Suppose we are in the setting of Lemma 7.4 and fix a subsequence $\mathcal{N}'$ and maps $\{f_{t,k} : (t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0\}$ satisfying the conditions of that lemma. Almost surely, the following is true.*

1. *For each $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, the sets $f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are precisely the connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H})$.*
2. *The mass of the limiting curve $\widetilde{\eta}$ satisfies $\widetilde{\mu}(\widetilde{\eta}) = 0$.*

Proof. By condition 4 of Lemma 7.4, each of the sets $f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is open. By condition 1 of Lemma 7.5, these sets are disjoint and by condition 5 of Lemma 7.5, their union is $\widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial \widetilde{H})$. These facts together imply condition 1.

By conditions 4 and 5 of Lemma 7.5, for each $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$,

$$\widetilde{\mu}(\widetilde{\eta}([0,t])) \leq \widetilde{\mu}\left(\widetilde{H} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{t,k}) \right) = 0.$$

Sending $t \to \infty$ gives condition 2. □

Proof of Proposition 7.3. By Lemma 7.2 and condition 1 of Lemma 7.6, the statement of the proposition is true for each $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Since $\widetilde{\eta}$ is continuous, Z is right continuous, and the law of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk depends continuously on its boundary length in the GHPU topology (by scaling) the statement for general $t \geq 0$ follows by taking limits. □

7.3 Z is the boundary length process of $\widetilde{\eta}$

Recall that Z has the same law as the left/right boundary length process for a chordal SLE_6 on an independent doubly marked free Boltzmann Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$, parameterized by quantum natural time. The goal of this subsection and the next is to establish the existence of an SLE_6-decorated Brownian disk and a homeomorphism Φ satisfying condition 2 of Theorem 2.7.

In the present subsection, we will show that $\widetilde{\eta}$ intersects itself at least as often as a chordal SLE_6 whose boundary length process is Z (Lemma 7.7) and that Z determines the boundary length measure, not just the total boundary length, of the complementary connected components of $\widetilde{\eta}$ (Lemma 7.9). These two statements will be used to show the existence of the desired map Φ in Section 7.4 below (showing the injectivity of Φ will also require the estimates of Section 6).

Throughout the remainder of this section, we write

$$\sigma_0 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t = -\mathfrak{l}_L \text{ or } R_t = -\mathfrak{l}_R\}, \tag{7.21}$$

as in (4.28), for the terminal time of Z, so that $Z_t = (-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$ for each $t \geq \sigma_0$.

The following lemma tells us that the self-intersection times of $\widetilde{\eta}$ and the times when it hits $\partial \widetilde{H}$ are a superset of the self-intersection times and boundary intersection times of a chordal SLE_6 on a Brownian disk with boundary length process Z (recall (2.10) from Section 2.3.3 and the discussion just after).

Lemma 7.7. *Almost surely, the following is true.*

1. *$\widetilde{\eta}(t) = \widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ for each time t after the terminal time σ_0.*
2. *For each $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ with $t_1 < t_2$ such that either*

$$L_{t_1} = L_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} L_s \quad \text{or} \quad R_{t_1} = R_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} R_s \tag{7.22}$$

it holds that $\widetilde{\eta}(t_1) = \widetilde{\eta}(t_2)$.

3. *For each time $t \geq 0$ at which L (resp. R) attains a record minimum, it holds that $\widetilde{\eta}(t) = \widetilde{\xi}(L_t)$ (resp. $\widetilde{\eta}(t) = \widetilde{\xi}(-R_t)$).*

For the proof of Lemma 7.7, we need some elementary regularity properties for the process Z.

Lemma 7.8. *Almost surely, the limiting boundary length process $Z = (L, R)$ satisfies the following properties. Suppose $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq \sigma_0$ are such that*

$$L_{t_1} = L_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} L_s. \tag{7.23}$$

Then

1. *For each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a time $t_1' \in [t_1 - \epsilon, t_1]$ with $L_{t_1'} < L_{t_1}$.*
2. *There is no time $t \in (t_1, t_2)$ such that $L_t = L_{t_1} = L_{t_2}$.*
3. *For each $\epsilon > 0$, there are times $t_1' \in [t_1, t_1 + \epsilon]$ and $t_2' \in [t_2 - \epsilon, t_2]$ such that $L_{t_1} = L_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1', t_2']} L_s$ and L does not attain a local minimum at time t_1' or t_2'.*

The same holds with R in place of L.

Proof. Let $Z^\infty = (L^\infty, R^\infty)$ be a pair of independent totally asymmetric 3/2-stable processes with no upward jumps. Recall from [DMS14, Theorem 1.19] that Z^∞ is the left/right boundary length for a chordal SLE_6 η^∞ from 0 to ∞ on an independent $\sqrt{8/3}$-quantum wedge, parameterized by quantum natural time. If there are times $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \infty)$ with $t_1 < t_2$ such that $L^\infty_{t_1} = L^\infty_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} L^\infty_s$ and L^∞ attains a running infimum relative to time 0 at time t_1, then $\eta^\infty(t_1) = \eta^\infty(t_2)$ lies in the boundary of the quantum wedge. Since chordal SLE_6 a.s. does not have a boundary double point [DMS14, Remark 5.3], we see that a.s. no such times $t_1 < t_2$ exist.

Since L^∞ has stationary increments there a.s. do not exist times $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2$ such that $L^\infty_{t_1} = L^\infty_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} L^\infty_s$ and L^∞ attains a running infimum relative to time t_0 at time t_1. Since the law of Z is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of Z^∞ up to any time prior to the terminal time σ_0 (Theorem 2.5) we infer that there a.s. do not exist times $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq \sigma_0$ such that $L_{t_1} = L_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} L_s$ and L attains a running infimum relative to time t_0 at time t_1. Applying this with $t_0 = t_1 - \epsilon$ shows that condition 1 is satisfied.

Condition 2 is immediate from condition 1 applied with t in place of t_1.

We now consider condition 3. Almost surely, the 3/2-stable process L^∞ has only countably many downward jumps and no two such jumps have the same size. Hence a.s. each time at which L^∞ has a downward jump takes the form $\inf\{t \geq q : L^\infty_t - \lim_{s \to t^-} L^\infty_s \in I\}$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and some interval $I \subset (-\infty, 0)$ with rational endpoints. By the strong Markov property and since there are only countably many such times q and intervals I, we infer that a.s. there is no time at which L^∞ has a downward jump and attains a local minimum. By local absolute continuity the same is a.s. true of L. Hence for the proof of condition 3 we can assume without loss of generality that L does not have a downward jump at time t_2.

Now set $t_* := (t_1 + t_2)/2$ and for $\delta > 0$, let

$$t_2^\delta := \inf\{t \geq t_* : L_t \leq L_{t_1} + \delta\} \quad \text{and} \quad t_1^\delta := \sup\left\{t \leq t_* : L_t = L_{t_2^\delta}\right\}.$$

Since L does not have a downward jump at time t_2, we have $t_2^\delta < t_2$ and $t_1^\delta > t_1$. By definition, (7.23) holds with t_1^δ and t_2^δ in place of t_1 and t_2. By condition 2, $t_1^\delta \to t_1$ and $t_2^\delta \to t_2$ as $\delta \to 0$. By the preceding paragraph, L does not have a local minimum at any of the times t_2^δ at which L has a downward jump, and such times exist for arbitrarily small δ. By condition 1, L does not have a local minimum at any of the times t_1^δ. Thus condition 3 holds.

The statement for R follows from symmetry. □

Proof of Lemma 7.7. If $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, then $Z_t = (-\mathfrak{l}_L, -\mathfrak{l}_R)$ on the event $\{t > \sigma_0\}$ so since $Z^n \to Z$ in the Skorokhod topology on this event the rescaled boundary length $\Delta^n_{t,0}$ of the unexplored region $H^n_{t,0}$ at time t tends to 0 a.s. as $n \to \infty$. Since the conditional law of $H^n_{t,0}$ given $\Delta^n_{t,0}$ is that of a free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary, we infer from [GM17c, Theorem 1.4] that $\mathrm{diam}\big(H^n_{t,0}; d^n_{t,0}\big) \to 0$ in probability on the

event $\{t > \sigma_0\}$. Since $\eta^n([t,\infty)) \subset H^n_{t,0}$ (modulo rounding error) and $\eta^n \to \widetilde{\eta}$ uniformly, we infer that a.s. $\widetilde{\eta}$ is constant on $(\sigma_0,\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}_+$ and hence, by continuity, on all of $[\sigma_0,\infty)$. Since the target edges satisfy $e^n_* = \xi^n(\mathfrak{l}^n_R + O_n(n^{-1/4}) \to \widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ in (W,D), we infer that $\widetilde{\eta}(\sigma_0) = \widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$.

If $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ are such that $L_{t_1} = L_{t_2} = \inf_{s\in[t_1,t_2]} L_s$ and L does not have a local minimum at either t_1 or t_2, then the Skorokhod convergence $L^n \to L$ implies that we can find sequences $t_1^n \to t_1$ and $t_2^n \to t_2$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L^n_{t_1^n} = L^n_{t_2^n} \leq \inf_{s\in(t_1^n,t_2^n)} L^n_s.$$

By (4.2) applied to the percolation peeling process after time $\lfloor n^{3/4} t_1^n \rfloor$, this latter condition implies that $d^n(\eta^n(t_1^n), \eta^n(t_2^n)) = O_n(n^{-1/4})$ (where here the $O_n(n^{-1/4})$ comes from rounding error). Since $\eta^n \to \widetilde{\eta}$ uniformly, we infer that $\widetilde{\eta}(t_1) = \widetilde{\eta}(t_2)$ for each such pair of times t_1, t_2. By continuity of $\widetilde{\eta}$ together with condition 3 of Lemma 7.8, we find that $\widetilde{\eta}(t_1) = \widetilde{\eta}(t_2)$ whenever $L_{t_1} = L_{t_2} = \inf_{s\in[t_1,t_2]} L_s$. We similarly obtain the analogous statement with R in place of L. Hence condition 2 holds.

To check condition 3, for $r \in [0, \mathfrak{l}_L]$ let $T_r = \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t \leq -r\}$ and $T^n_r := \inf\{t \geq 0 : L^n_t \leq -r\}$. Also let $T_{r^+} = \lim_{u\to r^+} T_u$. By (4.2), $d^n(\eta^n(T^n_r), \xi^n(r)) = O_n(n^{-1/4})$. If $T_r = T_{r^+}$ (which by the monotonicity of $r \mapsto T_r$ is the case for all but countably many values of r) then by the Skorokhod convergence $Z^n \to Z$ we have $T^n_r \to T_r$. Since $\eta^n \to \widetilde{\eta}$ and $\xi^n \to \widetilde{\xi}$ uniformly, we infer that $\widetilde{\eta}(T_r) = \xi(r)$ whenever $T_r = T_{r^+}$. On the other hand, $L_{T_r} = L_{T_{r^+}} = \inf_{s\in[T_r,T_{r^+}]} L_s$, whence condition 2 implies that $\widetilde{\eta}(T_r) = \widetilde{\eta}(T_{r^+})$. By the continuity of $\widetilde{\eta}$ we infer that a.s. $\widetilde{\eta}(T_r) = \xi(r)$ for each $r \in [0, \mathfrak{l}_L]$. We similarly obtain the analogous statement for record minima of R. □

We next describe the boundary length measures on the connected components $f_{t,k}(\widetilde{H}_{t,k} \setminus \partial\widetilde{H}_{t,k})$ of $\widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]) \cup \partial\widetilde{H})$ (Lemma 7.6) in terms of the process Z. In particular, this description will be the same as the description of the boundary length measure on the complementary connected components of an SLE_6 on an independent quantum disk given at the end of Section 2.3.3.

For this purpose we introduce the notation

$$\underline{L}_t = \inf_{s\in[0,t]} L_s \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{R}_t = \inf_{s\in[0,t]} R_s.$$

We similarly define $\underline{L}^n_t$ and $\underline{R}^n_t$ for the rescaled discrete boundary length processes. To describe the boundary length measure in the case when $k = 0$ (which we recall corresponds to the complementary connected component containing the target point), for $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ and $u \in [0, L_t - \underline{L}_t]$ (resp. $u \in [0, R_t - \underline{R}_t]$) let

$$T^L_{t,0}(u) := \sup\{s \leq t : L_s \leq L_t - u\} \quad \text{and} \quad T^R_{t,0}(u) := \sup\{s \leq t : R_s \leq R_t - u\}. \tag{7.24}$$

Since L has no upward jumps, we infer that a.s. $L_{T^L_{t,0}(u)} = L_t - u$ for each $u \in [0, L_t - \underline{L}_t]$ and similarly for R.

In the case when $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we recall the indicator variable $\chi_{t,k}$ and the boundary length $\Delta_{t,k}$ of $\widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ from the discussion just above Lemma 7.1. For $(t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}$ and with $\chi_{t,k} = 0$ (resp. $\chi_{t,k} = 1$) and $u \in [0, \Delta_{t,k} \wedge (L_{\tau^-_{t,k}} - \underline{L}_{\tau^-_{t,k}})]$ (resp. $u \in [0, \Delta_{t,k} \wedge (R_{\tau^-_{t,k}} - \underline{R}_{\tau^-_{t,k}})]$), define

$$T_{t,k}(u) := \sup\Big\{s \leq t : L_s \leq L_{\tau^-_{t,k}} - u\Big\} \qquad (\text{resp. } T_{t,k}(u) := \sup\Big\{s \leq t : R_s \leq R_{\tau^-_{t,k}} - u\Big\}). \tag{7.25}$$

Lemma 7.9. *Suppose we are in the setting of Lemma 7.4 and fix a subsequence $\mathcal{N}'$ and maps $\{f_{t,k} : (t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}_0\}$ satisfying the conditions of that lemma. In the notation described just above, for each $u \in [-\mathfrak{l}_L - L_t, \mathfrak{l}_R + R_t]$ the image of the boundary path of $\widetilde{H}_{t,0}$ satisfies*

$$f_{t,0}(\widetilde{\xi}_{t,0}(u)) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\xi}(u + L_t), & u \in [-(L_t + \mathfrak{l}_L), -(L_t - \underline{L}_t)] \\ \widetilde{\eta}\big(T^L_{t,0}(-u)\big), & u \in [-(L_t - \underline{L}_t), 0] \\ \widetilde{\eta}\big(T^R_{t,0}(u)\big), & u \in [0, R_t - \underline{R}_t] \\ \widetilde{\xi}(u - R_t), & u \in [R_t - \underline{R}_t, R_t + \mathfrak{l}_R]. \end{cases} \tag{7.26}$$

Furthermore, the image of the boundary paths for the bubbles $\widetilde{H}_{t,k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\widetilde{\chi}_{t,k} = 0$ satisfy

$$f_{t,k}(\widetilde{\xi}_{t,k}(u)) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\eta}(T_{t,k}(u)), & u \in [0, \Delta_{t,k} \wedge (L_{\tau^-_{t,k}} - \underline{L}_{\tau^-_{t,k}})] \\ \widetilde{\xi}\Big(u - L_{\tau^-_{t,k}}\Big), & u \in [\Delta_{t,k} \wedge (L_{\tau^-_{t,k}} - \underline{L}_{\tau^-_{t,k}}), \Delta_{t,k}]; \end{cases} \tag{7.27}$$

and a similar formula holds with R in place of L if $\widetilde{\chi}_{t,k} = 1$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathcal{N}$, let

$$T^{L,n}_{t,0}(u) := \sup\{s < t : L^n_s \le L^n_t - u\} \quad \text{and} \quad T^{R,n}_{t,0}(u) := \sup\{s < t : R^n_s \le R^n_t - u\}$$

be the rescaled discrete analogs of the times in (7.24). Recalling (4.2), we see that for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $u \in [-\mathfrak{l}^n_L - L^n_t, \mathfrak{l}^n_R + R^n_t]$, the d^n-distance from $f^n_{t,0}(\xi^n_{t,0}(u))$ to $z^n(u)$ is bounded above by a deterministic rounding error of order $O_n(n^{-1/4})$, where here

$$z^n(u) := \begin{cases} \xi^n(u + L^n_t), & u \in [-(L^n_t + \mathfrak{l}^n_L), -(L^n_t - \underline{L}^n_t)] \\ \eta^n\left(T^{L,n}_{t,0}(-u)\right), & u \in [-(L^n_t - \underline{L}^n_t), 0] \\ \eta^n\left(T^{R,n}_{t,0}(u)\right), & u \in [0, R^n_t - \underline{R}^n_t] \\ \xi^n(u - R^n_t), & u \in [R^n_t - \underline{R}^n_t, R^n_t + \mathfrak{l}^n_R]. \end{cases}$$

For $u \in [0, L_t - \underline{L}_t]$ (resp. $u \in [0, R_t - \underline{R}_t]$) let

$$T^L_{t,0}(u^+) := \lim_{v \to u^+} T^L_{t,0}(v) \le T^L_{t,0}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad T^R_{t,0}(u^+) := \lim_{v \to u^+} T^R_{t,0}(v) \le T^R_{t,0}(u).$$

By the Skorokhod convergence $L^n \to L$, we infer that a.s. $L^n_{T^{L,n}_{t,0}(u)} \to L_{T^L_{t,0}(u)}$ for each $u \in [0, L_t - \underline{L}_t]$ such that $L_{T^L_{t,0}(u^+)} = L_{T^L_{t,0}(u)}$. By monotonicity of $u \mapsto T^L_{t,0}(u)$, the set of times $u \in [0, L_t - \underline{L}_t]$ for which this is not the case is a.s. countable. Analogous statement hold for R and R^n. Since a.s. neither L nor R has a jump at time t, we also have the a.s. convergence of running infima at time t, $\underline{L}^n_t \to \underline{L}_t$ and $\underline{R}^n_t \to \underline{R}_t$.

By combining the above boundary length process convergence statements with the a.s. uniform convergence $\eta^n \to \widetilde{\eta}$ and $\xi^n \to \widetilde{\xi}$, we see that a.s. $z^n(u) \to \widetilde{z}(u)$ for all but countably many $u \in [-\mathfrak{l}_L - L_t, \mathfrak{l}_R + R_t]$, where here $\widetilde{z}(u)$ denotes the right side of (7.26). Since also $\xi^n_{t,0} \to \widetilde{\xi}_{t,0}$ uniformly a.s., we infer from condition 1 of Lemma 7.4 and the above relation between $f^n_{t,0}(\xi^n_{t,0}(u))$ and $z^n(u)$ that a.s. (7.26) holds for all but countably many $u \in [-\mathfrak{l}_L - L_t, \mathfrak{l}_R + R_t]$.

It is clear that $u \mapsto f_{t,0}(\widetilde{\xi}_{t,0}(u))$ is continuous. We will now argue that a.s. $u \mapsto \widetilde{z}(u)$ is continuous, so that a.s. (7.26) holds for all $u \in [-\mathfrak{l}_L - L_t, \mathfrak{l}_R + R_t]$ simultaneously. By right continuity of L, it is a.s. the case that for each $u \in [0, L_t - \underline{L}_t]$,

$$L_{T^L_{t,0}(u^+)} = L_{T^L_{t,0}(u)} = L_t - u = \inf_{s \in [T^L_{t,0}(u^+), T^L_{t,0}(u)]} L_s$$

and similarly with R in place of L. By Lemma 7.7, a.s.

$$\widetilde{\eta}(T^L_{t,0}(u^+)) = \widetilde{\eta}(T^L_{t,0}(u)), \quad \forall u \in [0, L_t - \underline{L}_t] \tag{7.28}$$

and similarly with R in place of L. Since $\widetilde{\eta}$ is continuous and $u \mapsto T^L_{t,0}(u)$ and $u \mapsto T^R_{t,0}(u)$ are right-continuous function of u, we obtain the desired continuity for $\widetilde{z}$. Thus (7.26) holds.

The formula (7.27) is proven via a similar argument (here we recall Lemma 7.1). □

7.4 Existence of a homeomorphism

In this subsection we will establish the following proposition, which implies that the subsequential limit satisfies condition 2 of Theorem 2.7.

Proposition 7.10 (Topology and consistency)**.** *The topology of $(\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{\eta})$ is determined by Z in the same manner as the topology of a chordal* SLE_6 *on a free Boltzmann Brownian disk, i.e. there is a doubly curve-decorated metric measure space (H, d, μ, ξ, η) consisting of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$ equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path decorated by an independent chordal* SLE_6 *from $\xi(0)$ to $\xi(\mathfrak{l}_R)$, parameterized by quantum natural time, such that the following is true. We have $\Phi \circ \eta = \widetilde{\eta}$, $\Phi_* \mu = \widetilde{\mu}$, and for each $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, Φ a.s. pushes forward the natural length measure on the boundary of the connected component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \eta([0,t])$ with $\xi(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ on its boundary to the natural boundary length measure on the connected component of $\widetilde{X} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0,t])$ with $\widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ on its boundary (which is well-defined since we know from Proposition 7.3 the internal metric on this component is that of a Brownian disk).*

Suppose we are in the setting of Lemma 7.4 and fix a subsequence $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ and maps $\{f_{t,k} : (t,k) \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \times \mathbb{N}\}$ as in that lemma. By conditions 1 and 3 of Lemma 7.7, we have $\widetilde{\eta}(t) = \widetilde{\eta}(\sigma_0) = \widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ for each time t after the terminal time σ_0. Let N be the smallest integer which is at least σ_0 and for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty,k} = \left(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}, \widetilde{d}_{\infty,k}, \widetilde{\mu}_{\infty,k}, \widetilde{\xi}_{\infty,k}\right) := \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{N,k}$$

and $f_{\infty,k} := f_{N,k}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{t,k} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{\infty,k}$ for each rational $t \geq \sigma_0$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, the unexplored Brownian disk $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_{N,0}$ degenerates to the trivial one-point curve-decorated metric measure space and condition 1 of Lemma 7.6 implies that and that the sets $f_{\infty,k}(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{\infty,k})$ are precisely the connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta} \cup \partial \widetilde{H})$.

By Lemma 7.2 and conditions 2 and 3 of Lemma 7.5, we find that the conditional law given Z of these connected components, each viewed as a metric measure space equipped with the internal metric of $\widetilde{d}$ and the restriction of $\widetilde{\mu}$, is that of a collection of independent free Boltzmann Brownian disks with boundary lengths specified by the magnitudes of the downward jumps of the coordinates L and R. By Theorem 2.6, this conditional law is the same as the conditional law of the collection of singly-marked metric measure spaces corresponding to the bubbles cut out by a chordal SLE_6 on an independent doubly marked free Boltzmann Brownian disk with left/right boundary lengths $\mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{l}_R$, given the left/right boundary length process.

Hence there exists a doubly curve-decorated metric measure space $\mathfrak{H} = (H, d, \mu, \xi, \eta)$ such that (H, d, μ, ξ) is a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with boundary length $\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R$ equipped with its natural metric, area measure, and boundary path; and η is an independent chordal SLE_6 from $\xi(0)$ to $\xi(\mathfrak{l}_R)$, parameterized by quantum natural time such that Z is the same as the left/right boundary length process for η and the following is true a.s. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let H_k be the closure of the connected component of $H \setminus \eta$ with the kth largest boundary length; let d_k be the internal metric of d on $H_k \setminus \partial H_k$, extended by continuity to all of H_k; and let ξ_k be the periodic boundary path of H_k such that $\xi_k(0)$ is the point where η finishes tracing ∂H_k (which is well-defined since (H_k, d_k) is a Brownian disk). There is an isometry

$$g_k : (\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}, \widetilde{d}_{\infty,k}) \to (H_k, d_k) \tag{7.29}$$

such that $(g_k)_* \widetilde{\mu}_{\infty,k} = \mu|_{H_k}$ and $g_k \circ \widetilde{\xi}_{\infty,k} = \xi_k$.

For $t \geq 0$, let $H_{t,0}$ be the closure of the connected component of $H \setminus \eta([0,t])$ with the target point $\xi(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ on its boundary. The internal metric of d on $H_{t,0} \setminus \partial H_{t,0}$ is that of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk, so we can define the periodic boundary path $\xi_{t,0} : \mathbb{R} \to \partial H_{t,0}$ with $\xi_{t,0}(0) = \eta_{t,0}$.

The following lemma establishes the existence of a map satisfying all of the properties in Proposition 7.10 except that Φ is only injective on $H \setminus (\eta \cup H)$, not necessarily injective everywhere.

Lemma 7.11. *Almost surely, there exists a continuous surjective map $\Phi : (H, d) \to (\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{d})$ such that $\Phi \circ \eta = \widetilde{\eta}$, $\Phi_* \mu = \widetilde{\mu}$, $\Phi \circ \xi_{t,0} = f_{t,0} \circ \widetilde{\xi}_{t,0}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $\Phi|_{H_k \setminus \partial H_k}$ is an isometry between the internal metric spaces $(H_k \setminus \partial H_k, d_k)$ and $(f_{\infty,k}(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}), \mathring{d}_{\infty,k})$, where here $\mathring{d}_{\infty,k}$ is the internal metric of $\widetilde{d}$ on $\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}$, as in Lemma 7.5.*

Proof. Let X (resp. $\widetilde{X}$) be the topological space $\eta \cup \partial H$ (resp. $\widetilde{\eta} \cup \partial \widetilde{H}$), equipped with the topology it inherits from H (resp. $\widetilde{H}$). Then X (resp. $\widetilde{X}$) is the image of $[0, \infty) \sqcup [-\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R]$ under the continuous surjection $\eta \sqcup \xi$ (resp. $\widetilde{\eta} \sqcup \widetilde{\xi}$). Since η (resp. $\widetilde{\eta}$) extends continuously to $[0, \infty]$ (see condition 1 of Lemma 7.7 in the case of $\widetilde{\eta}$), it follows by compactness that this continuous surjection is in fact a quotient map.

By the discussion at the end of Section 2.3.3 and a compactness argument as above, X is the topological quotient of $[0, \infty) \times [-\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R]$ under the equivalence relation which identifies $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \infty)$ whenever

$$\widetilde{L}_{t_1} = \widetilde{L}_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} \widetilde{L}_s \quad \text{or} \quad \widetilde{R}_{t_1} = \widetilde{R}_{t_2} = \inf_{s \in [t_1, t_2]} \widetilde{R}_s$$

and identifies $t \in [0, \infty)$ with $\widetilde{\xi}(\widetilde{L}_t)$ (resp. $\widetilde{\xi}(-\widetilde{R}_t)$) whenever $\widetilde{L}$ (resp. $\widetilde{R}$) attains a record minimum at time t. By Lemma 7.7 and the universal property of the quotient topology, there is a continuous surjective map $\Phi_X : X \to \widetilde{X}$ such that $\Phi_X \circ \eta = \widetilde{\eta}$ and $\Phi_X \circ \xi = \widetilde{\xi}$.

Since each of the maps g_k of (7.29) is a continuous (in fact 1-Lipschitz) bijection between compact spaces $(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}, \widetilde{d}_{\infty,k})$ and (H_k, d), it follows that each g_k^{-1} is continuous from (H_k, d) to $(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}, \widetilde{d}_{\infty,k})$. Hence each

$f_{\infty,k} \circ g_k^{-1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is continuous from (H_k, d) to $(f_{\infty,k}(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}), \widetilde{d})$. By (7.27) of Lemma 7.9, each of these maps agrees with Φ_X on $\partial H_k \subset X$. Therefore, the map $\Phi : H \to \widetilde{H}$ defined by

$$\Phi(x) := \begin{cases} \Phi_X(x), & x \in X \\ (f_{\infty,k} \circ g_k^{-1})(x), & x \in H_k \end{cases}$$

is well-defined.

It is clear from surjectivity of Φ_X together with condition 5 of Lemma 7.5 that Φ is surjective. Since $\Phi_X \circ \eta = \widetilde{\eta}$ and $\Phi_X \circ \xi = \widetilde{\xi}$, the same is true for Φ. By (7.26) of Lemma 7.9, a.s. $\Phi \circ \xi_{t,0} = f_{t,0} \circ \widetilde{\xi}_{t,0}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$.

Each g_k is measure-preserving and each $f_{\infty,k}$ is measure-preserving by condition 3 of Lemma 7.5. Since $\mu(\eta) = \widetilde{\mu}(\widetilde{\eta}) = 0$ (condition 2 of Lemma 7.6) we infer that $\Phi_* \mu = \widetilde{\mu}$. Since g_k^{-1} is an isometry $(H_k, d_k) \to (\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}, \widetilde{d}_{\infty,k})$ and each $f_{\infty,k}$ restricts to an isometry $(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}, \widetilde{d}_{\infty,k}) \to (f_{\infty,k}(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}), \mathring{d}_{\infty,k})$ (condition 2 of Lemma 7.5) we infer that each $\Phi|_{H_k \setminus \partial H_k}$ is an isometry between the internal metric spaces $(H_k \setminus \partial H_k, d_k)$ and $(f_{\infty,k}(\widetilde{H}_{\infty,k} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}_{\infty,k}), \mathring{d}_{\infty,k})$.

It remains to check that Φ is continuous. To see this, suppose that we are given a sequence $\{x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in H which converges to a point $x \in H$. We must show that $\Phi(x_m) \to \Phi(x)$. By compactness of $\widetilde{H}$, for every sequences of positive integers tending to ∞ there exists a subsequence $\mathcal{M}$ along which $\Phi(x_m) \to \widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{H}$. It suffices to show that $\widetilde{x} = \Phi(x)$ for every such subsequence $\mathcal{M}$.

Since Φ_X and each $f_{\infty,k} \circ g_k^{-1}$ is continuous, it is clear that $\widetilde{x} = \Phi(x)$ if either $x_m \in X$ for infinitely many $m \in \mathcal{M}$ or there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_m \in H_k$ for infinitely many $m \in \mathcal{M}$. If this is not the case, then after possibly passing to a further subsequence we can arrange that for each $m \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists $k_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_m \in H_{k_m} \setminus \partial H_{k_m}$ and $k_m \to \infty$.

Since η (resp. $\widetilde{\eta}$) extends continuously to $[0, \infty]$, it follows that the set $H \setminus (\eta \cup \partial H)$ (resp. $\widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta} \cup \partial \widetilde{H})$) has only finitely many connected components of d- (resp. $\widetilde{d}$-) diameter larger than ϵ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Hence $\operatorname{diam}(H_k; d) \to 0$ as $\mathcal{M} \ni m \to \infty$ and, by condition 1 of Lemma 7.6, also $\operatorname{diam}(\Phi(H_{k_m}); \widetilde{d}) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. If we choose $y_m \in \partial H_{k_m}$ for $m \in \mathcal{M}$, then $d(x_m, y_m) \to 0$ and since $\Phi(x_m), \Phi(y_m) \in \Phi(H_{k_m})$ also $\widetilde{d}(\Phi(x_m), \Phi(y_m)) \to 0$. Therefore $y_m \to x$ so since $y_m \in X$ for each m, also $\Phi(y_m) \to \Phi(x)$ and hence $\Phi(x_m) \to \Phi(x)$, i.e. $\Phi(x) = \widetilde{x}$ as required. □

To prove Proposition 7.10, it remains to show that the map Φ of Lemma 7.11 is injective. This will be accomplished by means of the topological theorem [BOT06, Main Theorem], which says that a continuous map between topological manifolds which is *almost injective*, in the sense that the set of points with multiplicity 1 is dense; and *light*, in the sense that the pre-image of every point is totally disconnected, must be an embedding (i.e., a homeomorphism onto its image). The isometry condition in Lemma 7.11 implies that Φ is almost injective, so we need to check that Φ is light. In fact, we will show using the results of Section 6 that the pre-image of any point has cardinality at most 6, which amounts to proving the following.

Lemma 7.12. *Almost surely, the curve $\widetilde{\eta}$ hits each point of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \{\widetilde{\eta}(\infty)\}$ at most 6 times.*

To prove Lemma 7.12, we need to study inside-outside crossings of annular regions by the curve $\widetilde{\eta}$, which are defined in the following manner (in analogy with Definition 6.1).

Definition 7.13. For a topological space X, a curve $\eta : [0, \infty) \to X$, and sets $Y_0 \subset Y_1 \subset X$, an *inside-outside crossing* of $Y_1 \setminus Y_0$ by the path η is a time interval $[t_0, t_1] \subset [0, \infty)$ such that $\eta(t_0) \in Y_0$, $\eta(t_1) \in X \setminus Y_1$, and $\eta((t_0, t_1)) \subset Y_1 \setminus Y_0$. We write $\operatorname{cross}(Y_0, Y_1; \eta)$ for the set of inside-outside crossings of $Y_1 \setminus Y_0$ by η.

As in Section 6, we will consider crossings of annular regions between filled metric balls. For $z \in \widetilde{H}$ and $\rho \geq 0$, we define the *filled metric ball* $B_\rho^\bullet(z; \widetilde{d})$ to be the union of the closed metric ball $B_\rho(z; \widetilde{d})$ and the set of points which it disconnects from the target point $\widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$ in $\widetilde{H}$.

Lemma 7.14. *For each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and each $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$, there exists $\delta_* = \delta_*(\epsilon, \zeta) \in (0,1)$ such that for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_*]$, it holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$ that the following is true. For each $z \in \widetilde{H}$ with $\widetilde{d}(z, \widetilde{\eta}(\infty)) \geq \epsilon$, the number of inside-outside crossings (Definition 7.13) satisfies*

$$\#\operatorname{cross}\left(B_\delta^\bullet(z; \widetilde{d}), B_{\delta^\zeta}^\bullet(z; \widetilde{d}); \widetilde{\eta}\right) \leq 6. \tag{7.30}$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$. By Proposition 6.3, there exists $\delta_* = \delta_*(\epsilon, \zeta) \in (0,1)$ such that for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_*]$, there exists $n_* = n_*(\delta, \epsilon, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_*$, it holds with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$ that the following is true. For each $z^n \in Q^n$ with $d^n(z^n, \widetilde{\eta}^n(\infty)) \geq \epsilon/2$, one has

$$\#\operatorname{cross}\Big(B^\bullet_{\delta/2}(z^n; d^n), B^\bullet_{2\delta^\zeta}(z^n; d^n); \eta^n\Big) \leq 6. \tag{7.31}$$

Hence with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$, there exists a subsequence $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that (7.31) holds for each $n \in \mathcal{N}'$. Henceforth assume that this is the case. We will show that the condition in the statement of the lemma holds.

To this end, fix $z \in \widetilde{H}$ with $\widetilde{d}(z, \widetilde{\eta}(\infty)) \geq \epsilon$. Since $Q^n \to \widetilde{H}$ in the D-Hausdorff distance, there exists $z^n \in Q^n$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ such that $z^n \to z$. This implies that $\widetilde{d}(z^n, \widetilde{\eta}^n(\infty)) \geq \epsilon/2$ for large enough $n \in \mathcal{N}'$ and that

$$B_\delta(z^n; d^n) \to B_\delta(z; \widetilde{d}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\delta^\zeta}(z^n; d^n) \to B_{\delta^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d}) \tag{7.32}$$

in the D-Hausdorff distance. By compactness of (W, D), we can find a subsequence $\mathcal{N}'' \subset \mathcal{N}'$ and a subset Y of $\widetilde{H}$ such that $B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta}(z^n; d^n) \to Y$ in the D-Hausdorff distance as $\mathcal{N}'' \ni n \to \infty$.

We claim that

$$Y \subset B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d}). \tag{7.33}$$

To see this, suppose $w \in \widetilde{H} \setminus B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d})$ and let $w^n \in Q^n$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}''$ be chosen so that $w^n \to w$. There is a $\rho > 0$ and points $w = w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_N = \widetilde{\eta}(\infty)$ in $\widetilde{H}$ such that $\widetilde{d}(\widetilde{w}_{j-1}, B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d})) \geq 2\rho$ and $\widetilde{d}(w_{j-1}, w_j) \leq \frac{1}{4}\rho$ for each $j \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. From this and the Hausdorff convergence $Q^n \to \widetilde{H}$, for large enough $n \in \mathcal{N}''$ there exists points $w^n = w^n_0, w^n_1, \ldots, w^n_N = \widetilde{\eta}^n(\infty)$ in Q^n such that $d^n(w^n_j, B_{\delta^\zeta}(z^n; d^n)) \geq \rho$ and $d^n(w^n_{j-1}, w^n_j) \leq \frac{1}{3}\rho$ for each $j \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Therefore, for each such n there exists a path from w^n to $\widetilde{\eta}^n(\infty)$ in Q^n which stays at distance at least $\frac{1}{3}\rho$ from $B_{\delta^\zeta}(z^n; d^n)$, whence w^n lies at d^n-distance at least $\frac{1}{3}\rho$ from $B^\bullet_{2\delta^\zeta}(z^n; d^n)$. Since $w^n \to w$, we infer that $w \notin Y$.

It follows from (7.31), (7.32), and (7.33) that

$$\begin{aligned}\#\operatorname{cross}\Big(B_\delta(z; \widetilde{d}), B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d}); \widetilde{\eta}\Big) &\leq \#\operatorname{cross}\Big(B_\delta(z; \widetilde{d}), Y; \widetilde{\eta}\Big) \\ &\leq \limsup_{\mathcal{N}'' \ni n \to \infty} \#\operatorname{cross}\Big(B^\bullet_{\delta/2}(z^n; d^n), B^\bullet_{2\delta^\zeta}(z^n; d^n); \eta^n\Big) \leq 6.\end{aligned}$$

The only connected component of $\widetilde{H} \setminus B_\delta(z; \widetilde{d})$ which contains a point of $\widetilde{H} \setminus B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d})$ is the one which contains $\widetilde{\eta}^\infty(\infty)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{cross}\Big(B_\delta(z; \widetilde{d}), B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d}); \widetilde{\eta}\Big) = \operatorname{cross}\Big(B^\bullet_\delta(z; \widetilde{d}), B^\bullet_{\delta^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d}); \widetilde{\eta}\Big)$. □

Proof of Lemma 7.12. Fix $\zeta \in (0, 1/100)$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\epsilon_k := 2^{-k}$. Let $\delta_k = \delta_k(\epsilon_k, \zeta) \in (0, 2^{-k}]$ be chosen so that the conclusion of Lemma 7.14 holds with δ_k in place of δ_* and let E_k be the event that the following is true: for each $z \in \widetilde{H}$ with $\widetilde{d}(z, \widetilde{\eta}(\infty)) \geq \epsilon_k$,

$$\#\operatorname{cross}\Big(B^\bullet_{\delta_k}(z; \widetilde{d}), B^\bullet_{\delta_k^\zeta}(z; \widetilde{d}); \widetilde{\eta}\Big) \leq 6.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}[E_k] \geq 1 - \epsilon_k$ so by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, a.s. E_k occurs for each large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Henceforth fix $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume we are working on the event $\bigcap_{k=k_0}^\infty E_k$.

Let $z \in \widetilde{H} \setminus \{\widetilde{\eta}(\infty)\}$. By condition 1 of Lemma 7.6, it is a.s. the case that the set of connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0, t_1])$ is a strict subset of the set of connected components of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}([0, t_2])$ for each $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \widetilde{\sigma}_0$. Hence a.s. $\widetilde{\eta}$ is not constant on any positive-length interval of times.

Consequently, if $\widetilde{\eta}$ hits z more than 6 times, then there exists times $0 \leq t_1 < s_1 < t_2 < \cdots < s_6 < t_7 < s_7 < \widetilde{\sigma}_0$ such that $\widetilde{\eta}(t_1) = \cdots = \widetilde{\eta}(t_7) = z$ and $\widetilde{\eta}(s_j) \neq z$ for each $j \in [1, 7]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Choose $\rho > 0$ such that each $\widetilde{\eta}(s_j)$ lies outside $B^\bullet_\rho(z; \widetilde{d})$. Then for each $0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 \leq \rho$,

$$\#\operatorname{cross}\Big(B^\bullet_{\rho_1}(z; \widetilde{d}), B^\bullet_{\rho_2}(z; \widetilde{d}); \widetilde{\eta}\Big) \geq 7.$$

This contradicts the occurrence of E_k for $k \geq k_0$ such that $\epsilon_k \leq \rho^{1/\zeta} \wedge \widetilde{d}(z, \widetilde{\eta}(\infty))$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\eta}$ hits z at most 6 times, as required. □

Proof of Proposition 7.10. Let $\Phi : \widetilde{H} \to H$ be the continuous surjective map from Lemma 7.11. By Lemma 7.11 and compactness, it suffices to show that Φ is injective.

The map Φ restricts to a bijection from $H \setminus (\eta \cup \partial H) = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta} \cup \partial \widetilde{H}))$ to $\widetilde{H} \setminus (\widetilde{\eta} \cup \partial \widetilde{H})$. In particular, $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(z)) = \{z\}$ for each z in a dense subset of H, i.e. Φ is almost injective. Since $\Phi \circ \xi_{0,0} = \widetilde{\xi}$, $\Phi|_{\partial H}$ is a bijection from ∂H to $\partial \widetilde{H}$. By Lemma 7.9, Φ maps the intersection with ∂H of each connected component of $H \setminus \eta$ to the intersection with $\partial \widetilde{H}$ of the corresponding connected component of $\widetilde{H} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}$. In particular, $\widetilde{\eta}$ does not hit any points of $\partial \widetilde{H}$ whose pre-images under Φ are not hit by η. Since $\Phi \circ \eta = \widetilde{\eta}$, we infer that $\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\eta}) = \eta$ and $\Phi|_{H \setminus \eta}$ is injective. Hence for each point $z \in \widetilde{H} \setminus \{\widetilde{\eta}(\infty)\}$ which is hit by $\widetilde{\eta}$,

$$\Phi^{-1}(z) = \{\eta(t) : t \geq 0 \text{ with } \widetilde{\eta}(t) = z\}$$

so Lemma 7.12 implies that a.s. $\#\Phi^{-1}(z) \leq 6$. By Lemma 7.7, $\Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\eta}(\infty)) = \{\eta(\infty)\}$. Hence the map Φ is light, i.e. the pre-image of every point is totally disconnected. By [BOT06, Main Theorem], $\Phi|_{H \setminus \partial H} : H \setminus \partial H \to \widetilde{H}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image. In particular, $\Phi(H \setminus \partial H) \subset \widetilde{H} \setminus \partial \widetilde{H}$. Since $\Phi|_{\partial H}$ is injective, in fact Φ is injective, as required. □

7.5 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Proposition 7.3, Proposition 7.10, and Theorem 2.7, we infer that the subsequential limiting space $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ has the law of a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with boundary length $\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R$ decorated by an independent chordal SLE_6 from $\widetilde{\xi}(0)$ to $\widetilde{\xi}(\mathfrak{l}_R)$. Since our initial choice of subsequence was arbitrary, we obtain the convergence in the theorem statement. □

We now deduce our infinite-volume scaling limit result using local absolute continuity.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Fix $\rho > 0$, $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, and $\mathfrak{l} > 0$ to be chosen later, in a manner depending only on ρ and ϵ. Also fix a sequence of positive integers $(l_L^n, l_R^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $l_L^n + l_R^n$ is always even and $(n^{-1/2} l_L^n, n^{-1/2} l_R^n) \to (\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l})$ and define the doubly curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\mathfrak{Q}^n$ and $\mathfrak{H}$ as in Theorem 1.2 for this choice of (l_R^n, l_L^n) and for $(\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R) = (\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l})$.

By [GM17c, Proposition 4.6] and since the percolation exploration path is determined locally by the quadrangulation and the face percolation configuration, there exists $\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{l}_0(\epsilon, \rho)$ such that if $n \geq n_*$, then for $\mathfrak{l} \geq \mathfrak{l}_0$ and large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a coupling of $\mathfrak{Q}^n$ and $\mathfrak{Q}^\infty$ such that with probability at least $1 - \epsilon/2$, the ρ-truncations (Definition 2.3) satisfy $\mathfrak{B}_\rho \mathfrak{Q}^n = \mathfrak{B}_\rho \mathfrak{Q}^\infty$.

Since the quantum natural time parameterization of a chordal SLE_6 is determined locally by the underlying field, it follows from [GM16c, Proposition 4.2] that after possibly increasing $\mathfrak{l}$, we can find a coupling of the limiting spaces $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{H}^\infty$ such that with probability at least $1 - \epsilon/2$, one has $\mathfrak{B}_\rho \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{B}_\rho \mathfrak{H}^\infty$.

The theorem statement follows by combining the above two observations with Theorem 1.2. □

8 The case of triangulations

In this subsection we state analogs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 for critical ($p = 1/2$; [Ang03, Ang05]) site percolation on a triangulation of type I (self-loops and multiple edges allowed) or II (no self-loops, but multiple edges allowed) conditional on the statement that the corresponding free Boltzmann triangulation with simple boundary converges in the scaling limit to the Brownian disk (Conjecture 8.2). (Our arguments do not transfer directly to the case of type III triangulations, which have no self-loops or multiple edges, since the Markov property of peeling does not hold for such triangulations.)

Our reason for considering site percolation on a triangulation is that this is perhaps the simplest version of percolation. There are several reasons why this is the case:

- The percolation threshold for site percolation on the uniform infinite planar triangulation of type I or II is $1/2$ [Ang03, Ang05].
- Percolation interfaces take a particularly simple form (they are just paths in the dual map whose edges all have one white and one black vertex) and the peeling exploration path is exactly the percolation interface starting from the root edge [Ang05, AC15].

- An instance of the uniform infinite planar triangulation of type II decorated by a site percolation configuration can be encoded by means of a simple random walk with steps which are uniform on $\{(0,1),(1,0),(-1,-1)\}$, via a discrete analog of the peanosphere construction of [DMS14]; this encoding is described in [BHS17].

- Site percolation on the triangular lattice is the only percolation model on a deterministic lattice known to converge to SLE_6 [Smi01, CN08].

We emphasize, however, that the arguments of the present paper can be adapted to prove analogous scaling limit results for any percolation model on a random planar map with simple boundary which can be explored via peeling.

8.1 Preliminary definitions

Before stating our results for triangulations, we recall the definition of the free Boltzmann distribution on triangulations with simple boundary of given perimeter and a peeling interface for site percolation on it.

Recall that a triangulation of type I is a general triangulation (with multiple edges and self-loops allowed) and a triangulation of type II is allowed to have multiple edges but no self-loops. A triangulation of type I or II with simple boundary and its corresponding boundary path β are defined in an analogous manner as in the quadrangulation case (recall Section 1.2.1). Note that in the triangulation case the perimeter is not constrained to be even although the perimeter of a type II triangulation with simple boundary must be at least 2.

For $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}\}$ we write $\mathcal{T}^{\rightarrow}_{\mathrm{S},i}(n,l)$ for the set of pairs (T,e) where T is a triangulation with simple boundary having l boundary edges and n interior vertices and e is an oriented root edge in its boundary.

We define the free Boltzmann partition functions for triangulations of type I or II by

$$\mathfrak{Z}^{\Delta}_{\mathrm{I}}(l) := \begin{cases} \frac{2-\sqrt{3}}{4}, & l = 1 \\ \frac{(2l-5)!!6^p}{8\sqrt{3}l!}, & l \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{Z}^{\Delta}_{\mathrm{II}}(l) := \frac{(2l-4)!}{(l-2)!l!}\left(\frac{9}{4}\right)^{l-1}, \quad l \geq 2 \tag{8.1}$$

where here $m!!$ for odd $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is the product of the positive odd integers which are less than or equal to m and $(-1)!! = 1$.

Definition 8.1. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ (with $l \geq 2$ in the type II case) the *free Boltzmann triangulation of type* $i \in \{\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}\}$ *with simple boundary of perimeter* l is the probability measure on $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}^{\rightarrow}_{\mathrm{S}}(n,l)$ which assigns to each element of $\mathcal{T}^{\rightarrow}_{\mathrm{S},i}(n,l)$ a probability equal to $\rho_i^{-1}\mathfrak{Z}^{\Delta}_i(l)^{-1}$, where here $\rho_{\mathrm{I}} = \sqrt{432}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{II}} = 27/2$.

For $i \in \{\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}\}$, the $\mathrm{UIHPT}^i_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the Benjamini-Schramm local limit of the free Boltzmann triangulation of type i with simple boundary of perimeter l as $l \to \infty$ [AC15].

Suppose now that we are given $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l_L, l_R \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l_L + l_R = l$, and a triangulation $(T, \Subset)$ with a distinguished oriented root edge $\Subset \in \partial T$. Also let β be the boundary path of T starting from $\Subset$ and let $\Subset_* := \beta(l_R + 1)$. A *critical site percolation configuration on* T *with* l_L*-white/*l_R*-black boundary conditions* is a random function θ from the vertex set of T to $\{0,1\}$ such that $\theta(v) = 0$ (resp. $\theta(v) = 1$) for each vertex in the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) arc of ∂T from $\Subset$ to $\Subset_*$, including one endpoint of each of $\Subset$ and $\Subset_*$; and the values $\theta(v)$ for vertices $v \in T \setminus \partial T$ are i.i.d. Bernoulli 1/2-random variables. We say that vertices v with $\theta(v) = 0$ (resp. $\theta(v) = 1$) are open or white (resp. closed or black).

For such a site percolation configuration θ, there necessarily exists a unique path λ in (the dual map of) T from $\Subset$ to $\Subset_*$ such that each edge traversed by λ has a white vertex to its left and a black vertex to its right; see Figure 7 for an illustration. The path λ is called the *interface path*, and can be explored via peeling by iteratively revealing the boundary edge of the unexplored triangulation other than $\Subset_*$ which has one white and one black endpoint.

In contrast to the case of face percolation on a quadrangulation discussed above, for site percolation on a triangulation the percolation interface is identical to the peeling exploration path. Moreover, in the site percolation case λ can be defined on the integers rather than the half-integers since each peeled edge shares an endpoint with the previous peeled edge.



Figure 7: A finite triangulation with simple boundary together with the percolation exploration path, equivalently the percolation interface, with 7-white/7-black boundary conditions.

8.2 Conditional scaling limit results

Our scaling limit results for site percolation on a triangulation will be conditional on the following scaling limit conjecture.

Conjecture 8.2. *For $i \in \{\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}\}$, there is a distance scaling constant $\mathfrak{a}_i > 0$, an area scaling constant $\mathfrak{b}_i > 0$, and a boundary length scaling constant $\mathfrak{c}_i > 0$ such that the following is true. Let $\{l^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of integers which are at least 2 such that $\mathfrak{c}_i l^n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(T^n, \mathfrak{e}^n)$ be a free Boltzmann triangulation with simple boundary of perimeter l^n viewed as a connected metric space by identifying each edge with an isometric copy of the unit interval. Let d^n be the graph distance on T^n, scaled by $\mathfrak{a}_i^{-1} l^{-1/2}$, let μ^n be the measure on T^n which assigns each vertex a mass equal to $(\mathfrak{b}_i n)^{-1}$ times its degree, let $\beta^n : [0, l^n] \to \partial T^n$ be the boundary path of l^n, extended by linear interpolation, and let $\xi^n(s) := \beta^n(\mathfrak{c}_i c_i n^{1/2})$. The curve-decorated metric measure spaces $\mathfrak{T}^n := (T^n, d^n, \mu^n, \eta^n)$ converge in the scaling limit in the GHPU topology to the free Boltzmann Brownian disk with unit perimeter (Section 1.2.3).*

Since convergence of uniform random planar maps toward Brownian surfaces is expected to be universal, we strongly expect that Conjecture 8.2 is true. In fact, we expect that the conjecture is not particularly difficult to prove, but it has not yet appeared in the literature. We note, however, that uniform triangulations of type I without boundary are known to converge in the scaling limit to the Brownian map [Le 13].

We note that Conjecture 8.2 immediately implies the analogous scaling limit result for the $\mathrm{UIHPT}_{\mathrm{S}}^i$ toward the Brownian half-plane in the local GHPU topology by a local coupling argument using the triangulation analog of [GM17c, Proposition 4.6].

If Conjecture 8.2 holds for a given $i \in \{\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}\}$, then an argument which is essentially identical to the one used to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 yields exact analogs of these theorems in the case of site percolation on a type i triangulation. In fact, some of the arguments in the proofs of the theorems in the case of site percolation of a triangulation are slightly simpler due to the simpler form of the peeling process in this case [Ang05, AC15] and the exact agreement between the percolation exploration path and the peeling interface.

Define for $i \in \{\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}\}$ the scaling constants $\mathfrak{a}_i$, $\mathfrak{b}_i$, and $\mathfrak{c}_i$ as in Conjecture 8.2. Following (2.7), let $\mathfrak{s}_i = \frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{c}_i^{3/2}\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}}(i)^{-1}\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Stable}}$ be the time scaling constant, with $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Peel}}(i)$ the constant from the type i triangulation analog of (3.10) and $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{Stable}}$ the (non-explicit) scaling constant from (2.7).

Fix $\mathfrak{l}_L, \mathfrak{l}_R > 0$ and a sequence of pairs of positive integers $\{(l_L^n, l_R^n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathfrak{c}_i^{-1} n^{-1/2} l_L^n \to \mathfrak{l}_L$ and $\mathfrak{c}_i^{-1} n^{-1/2} l_R^n \to \mathfrak{l}_R$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(T^n, \mathfrak{e}^n)$ be a free Boltzmann triangulation of type i with simple boundary of perimeter $l_L^n + l_R^n$ viewed as a connected metric space by replacing each edge with an isometric copy of the unit interval and let θ^n be a critical face percolation configuration on T^n. Define the rescaled metric, area measure, and boundary path d^n, μ^n, and ξ^n for T^n as in Conjecture 8.2. Also let $\lambda^n : [0, \infty) \to T^n$ be the percolation interface path of $(T^n, \mathfrak{e}^n, \theta^n)$ with l_L^n-white/l_R^n-black boundary conditions (Section 8.1), extended

to a continuous path on $[0,\infty)$ which traces the edge $\lambda(j)$ during each time interval $[j-1,j]$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$; and for $t \geq 0$ let $\eta^n(t) := \lambda^n(\mathbb{s}_i n^{3/4} t)$. Define the doubly-marked curve-decorated metric measure spaces

$$\mathfrak{T}^n := (T^n, d^n, \mu^n, \xi^n, \eta^n).$$

Also let $\mathfrak{H} = (H, d, \mu, \xi, \eta)$ be a free Boltzmann Brownian disk with perimeter $\mathfrak{l}_L + \mathfrak{l}_R$ decorated by an independent chordal SLE_6 from $\xi(0)$ to $\xi(\mathfrak{l}_R)$, as in Theorem 1.2. Then conditional on Conjecture 8.2 for triangulations of type i we have the following analog of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 8.3 (conditional on Conjecture 8.2)**.** *One has $\mathfrak{T}^n \to \mathfrak{H}$ in law with respect to the two-curve Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform topology. That is, site percolation on a free Boltzmann triangulation of type i with simple boundary converges to chordal* SLE_6 *on a free Boltzmann Brownian disk.*

As in the case of Theorem 1.2, we in fact obtain the joint scaling limit of $\mathfrak{T}^n$ and the analog of the rescaled boundary length process $Z^n = (L^n, R^n)$ of Definition 4.2 for site percolation on a triangulation toward $\mathfrak{H}$ and its associated left/right boundary length process in the GHPU topology on the first coordinate and the Skorokhod topology on the second coordinate. We also obtain from Theorem 8.3 an analogous scaling limit result for site percolation on the $\mathrm{UIHPT}^i_{\mathrm{S}}$ toward chordal SLE_6 on the Brownian half-plane, using the same local coupling argument as in Theorem 1.3.

References

[AC15] O. Angel and N. Curien. Percolations on random maps I: Half-plane models. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 51(2):405–431, 2015, 1301.5311. MR3335009

[Ang03] O. Angel. Growth and percolation on the uniform infinite planar triangulation. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 13(5):935–974, 2003, 0208123. MR2024412

[Ang05] O. Angel. Scaling of Percolation on Infinite Planar Maps, I. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, December 2005, math/0501006.

[AR15] O. Angel and G. Ray. Classification of half-planar maps. *Ann. Probab.*, 43(3):1315–1349, 2015, 1303.6582. MR3342664

[BC13] I. Benjamini and N. Curien. Simple random walk on the uniform infinite planar quadrangulation: subdiffusivity via pioneer points. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 23(2):501–531, 2013, 1202.5454. MR3053754

[Ber96] J. Bertoin. *Lévy processes*, volume 121 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. MR1406564 (98e:60117)

[Bet15] J. Bettinelli. Scaling limit of random planar quadrangulations with a boundary. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 51(2):432–477, 2015, 1111.7227. MR3335010

[BG09] J. Bouttier and E. Guitter. Distance statistics in quadrangulations with a boundary, or with a self-avoiding loop. *J. Phys. A*, 42(46):465208, 44, 2009, 0906.4892. MR2552016

[BH57] S. R. Broadbent and J. M. Hammersley. Percolation processes. I. Crystals and mazes. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 53:629–641, 1957. MR0091567

[BHS17] O. Bernardi, N. Holden, and X. Sun. In preparation, 2017.

[BM15] J. Bettinelli and G. Miermont. Compact Brownian surfaces I. Brownian disks. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2015, 1507.08776.

[BMR16] E. Baur, G. Miermont, and G. Ray. Classification of scaling limits of uniform quadrangulations with a boundary. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2016, 1608.01129.

[BOT06] A. Blokh, L. Oversteegen, and E. D. Tymchatyn. On almost one-to-one maps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(11):5003–5014, 2006. MR2231882

[BR06] B. Bollobás and O. Riordan. *Percolation*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006. MR2283880

[BS01] I. Benjamini and O. Schramm. Recurrence of distributional limits of finite planar graphs. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 6:no. 23, 13 pp. (electronic), 2001, 0011019. MR1873300 (2002m:82025)

[Car92] J. L. Cardy. Critical percolation in finite geometries. *J. Phys. A*, 25(4):201–206, 1992.

[CC15] A. Caraceni and N. Curien. Geometry of the Uniform Infinite Half-Planar Quadrangulation. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2015, 1508.00133.

[CC16] A. Caraceni and N. Curien. Self-Avoiding Walks on the UIPQ. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2016, 1609.00245.

[CM15] N. Curien and G. Miermont. Uniform infinite planar quadrangulations with a boundary. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 47(1):30–58, 2015, 1202.5452. MR3366810

[CN08] F. Camia and C. M. Newman. SLE_6 and CLE_6 from critical percolation. In *Probability, geometry and integrable systems*, volume 55 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 103–130. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, math/0611116. MR2407594 (2009h:82032)

[DMS14] B. Duplantier, J. Miller, and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity as a mating of trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2014, 1409.7055.

[DS11] B. Duplantier and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and KPZ. *Invent. Math.*, 185(2):333–393, 2011, 1206.0212. MR2819163 (2012f:81251)

[GKMW16] E. Gwynne, A. Kassel, J. Miller, and D. B. Wilson. Active spanning trees with bending energy on planar maps and SLE-decorated Liouville quantum gravity for $\kappa \geq 8$. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2016, 1603.09722.

[GM16a] E. Gwynne and J. Miller. Convergence of the self-avoiding walk on random quadrangulations to SLE_8/3 on $\sqrt{8/3}$-Liouville quantum gravity. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2016, 1608.00956.

[GM16b] E. Gwynne and J. Miller. Metric gluing of Brownian and $\sqrt{8/3}$-Liouville quantum gravity surfaces. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2016, 1608.00955.

[GM16c] E. Gwynne and J. Miller. Scaling limit of the uniform infinite half-plane quadrangulation in the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov-uniform topology. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2016, 1608.00954.

[GM17a] E. Gwynne and J. Miller. Characterizations of SLE_κ for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$ on Liouville quantum gravity. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2017, 1701.05174.

[GM17b] E. Gwynne and J. Miller. Chordal SLE_6 explorations of a quantum disk. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2017, 1701.05172.

[GM17c] E. Gwynne and J. Miller. Convergence of the free Boltzmann quadrangulation with simple boundary to the Brownian disk. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2017, 1701.05173.

[GM17d] E. Gwynne and J. Miller. Convergence of the topology of critical Fortuin-Kasteleyn planar maps to that of CLE_κ on a Liouville quantum surface. In preparation, 2017.

[GPW09] A. Greven, P. Pfaffelhuber, and A. Winter. Convergence in distribution of random metric measure spaces (Λ-coalescent measure trees). *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 145(1-2):285–322, 2009, math/0609801. MR2520129

[Gri99] G. Grimmett. *Percolation*, volume 321 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1999. MR1707339 (2001a:60114)

[GS15] E. Gwynne and X. Sun. Scaling limits for the critical Fortuin-Kasteleyn model on a random planar map III: finite volume case. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015, 1510.06346.

[JS03] J. Jacod and A. N. Shiryaev. *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, volume 288 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2003. MR1943877

[Kes82] H. Kesten. *Percolation theory for mathematicians*, volume 2 of *Progress in Probability and Statistics*. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1982. MR692943

[KMSW15] R. Kenyon, J. Miller, S. Sheffield, and D. B. Wilson. Bipolar orientations on planar maps and SLE_{12}. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2015, 1511.04068.

[Le 10] J.-F. Le Gall. Geodesics in large planar maps and in the Brownian map. *Acta Math.*, 205(2):287–360, 2010, 0804.3012. MR2746349 (2012b:60272)

[Le 13] J.-F. Le Gall. Uniqueness and universality of the Brownian map. *Ann. Probab.*, 41(4):2880–2960, 2013, 1105.4842. MR3112934

[LPSA94] R. Langlands, P. Pouliot, and Y. Saint-Aubin. Conformal invariance in two-dimensional percolation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 30(1):1–61, 1994. MR1230963

[LSW01] G. F. Lawler, O. Schramm, and W. Werner. Values of Brownian intersection exponents. I. Half-plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187(2):237–273, 2001, math/0003156. MR1879850 (2002m:60159a)

[Mie13] G. Miermont. The Brownian map is the scaling limit of uniform random plane quadrangulations. *Acta Math.*, 210(2):319–401, 2013, 1104.1606. MR3070569

[MN14] L. Ménard and P. Nolin. Percolation on uniform infinite planar maps. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 19:no. 79, 27, 2014, 1302.2851. MR3256879

[MS13] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry IV: interior rays, whole-plane reversibility, and space-filling trees. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, to appear, 2013, 1302.4738.

[MS15a] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. An axiomatic characterization of the Brownian map. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2015, 1506.03806.

[MS15b] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and the Brownian map I: The QLE(8/3,0) metric. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2015, 1507.00719.

[MS15c] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity spheres as matings of finite-diameter trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2015, 1506.03804.

[MS16a] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and the Brownian map II: geodesics and continuity of the embedding. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2016, 1605.03563.

[MS16b] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and the Brownian map III: the conformal structure is determined. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2016, 1608.05391.

[MS16c] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry I: interacting SLEs. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 164(3-4):553–705, 2016, 1201.1496. MR3477777

[MS16d] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Quantum Loewner evolution. *Duke Math. J.*, 165(17):3241–3378, 2016, 1312.5745. MR3572845

[MW14] J. Miller and H. Wu. Intersections of SLE paths: the double and cut point dimension of SLE. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, to appear, 2014, 1303.4725.

[Ric15] L. Richier. Universal aspects of critical percolation on random half-planar maps. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 20:Paper No. 129, 45, 2015, 1412.7696. MR3438743

[RV14] R. Rhodes and V. Vargas. Gaussian multiplicative chaos and applications: A review. *Probab. Surv.*, 11:315–392, 2014, 1305.6221. MR3274356

[Sch00] O. Schramm. Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Israel J. Math.*, 118:221–288, 2000, math/9904022. MR1776084 (2001m:60227)

[She07] S. Sheffield. Gaussian free fields for mathematicians. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 139(3-4):521–541, 2007. MR2322706 (2008d:60120)

[She09] S. Sheffield. Exploration trees and conformal loop ensembles. *Duke Math. J.*, 147(1):79–129, 2009, math/0609167. MR2494457 (2010g:60184)

[She16a] S. Sheffield. Conformal weldings of random surfaces: SLE and the quantum gravity zipper. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(5):3474–3545, 2016, 1012.4797. MR3551203

[She16b] S. Sheffield. Quantum gravity and inventory accumulation. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(6):3804–3848, 2016, 1108.2241. MR3572324

[Smi01] S. Smirnov. Critical percolation in the plane: conformal invariance, Cardy's formula, scaling limits. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 333(3):239–244, 2001, 0909.4499. MR1851632 (2002f:60193)

[Smi10] S. Smirnov. Conformal invariance in random cluster models. I. Holomorphic fermions in the Ising model. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(2):1435–1467, 2010, 0708.0039. MR2680496 (2011m:60302)

[SS13] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield. A contour line of the continuum Gaussian free field. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 157(1-2):47–80, 2013, math/0605337. MR3101840